



Cardiff Council - November 2025

## Cardiff Replacement LDP

Final Consultation Report  
Addendum - Appendix 11  
Summary of Deposit  
Representations and  
Recommended Responses



[www.cardiffldp.co.uk](http://www.cardiffldp.co.uk)



02920 872087



[LDP@cardiff.gov.uk](mailto:LDP@cardiff.gov.uk)

Mae'r ddogfen hon ar gael yn Gymraeg/  
This document is available in Welsh



| Rep Number | Rep Name            | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number             | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed            | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1          | Stephen Lingwood    | 01         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4                       | Priority should be to build beautiful liveable city which is distinctly Welsh and Cardiff, built to last, resilient to extreme weather caused by climate change, connected to green energy, affordable and connected to public transport.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 1          | Stephen Lingwood    | 02         | Heritage and the Historic Environment               | Strategic Policies | SP14                      | Where possible historic Cardiff buildings should be preserved.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 1          | Stephen Lingwood    | 03         | Process   | Whole Plan         |                           | Found virtual consultation and websites entirely confusing and impenetrable   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 2          | Tamsin Llwyd Graves | 01         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |                           | Plan prioritises tall buildings and neglects the need for natural green space and the preservation of historic architecture. New developments over shadow heritage sites. Plan should provide attractive architecture, pleasing views, more green space and fewer tall buildings. |  | Comments noted.  |
| 3          | Helena Fox          | 01         | Affordable Housing                                  | Detailed Policies  | H3                        | Target for affordable housing is too low and contrary to Future Wales   | Target for affordable housing should be amended. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 3          | Helena Fox          | 02         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies  | CP1                       | Support for allocation of land around North West Cardiff as countryside to be enhanced and protected especially around Radyr and Morganstown which have a low level of public open space  |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 3          | Helena Fox          | 03         | Transport   | Detailed Policies  | T1                        | Active Travel Plans do not take account of terrain and lack of pavements which deter less active people. Fully integrated public transport is needed to reduce car use  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 3          | Helena Fox          | 04         | Transport   | Detailed Policies  | T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6 | Transport policies rely on assumptions which are unrealistic in NW Cardiff. Transport White Paper is now outdated with vague cost estimates. Cardiff Cross Rail may not be complete or started by 2035  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name               | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 3          | Helena Fox             | 05         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | Support for the Plan not proposing any new greenfield development. Support for Para 6.131  |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 3          | Helena Fox             | 06         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17          | Support for SP17 imposing control on development in the countryside  |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 3          | Helena Fox             | 07         | Infrastructure                                      | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Delay in completing Plas Dwr Strategic Site will delay the completion of community facilities  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 3          | Helena Fox             | 08         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | Support for the Deposit Plan   |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 4          | Whitchurch Tennis Club | 01         | Open Space  | Detailed Policies  | C2            | Supports policy wording regarding the value of community facilities which gives opportunities for pupils of schools in the area.   | Recommends amendments to the policy wording: add in reference to outdoor sports facilities, add 'within a reasonable timeframe' to end of paragraph i, amend 'and also' in paragraph iii to 'for example through playing a part', change full stop at end of iii to a semi colon. | These minor changes to the policy are not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.  |
| 4          | Whitchurch Tennis Club | 02         | Open Space  | Detailed Policies  | OS1           | Suggests amending sentence iii   | Amend sentence iii of the policy so it reads ' <i>either - a the open space is of no quality; or the developers make satisfactory compensatory provision; and in all cases</i> '  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 5          | John Isaacson          | 01         | Open Space  | Detailed Policies  | OS1           | Inequality in access to green space across Cardiff. While areas like Rhiwbina benefit from beautiful parks, others—such as Adamsdown—have very limited greenery, which is unacceptable for residents in those communities. |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic                                   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|---------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 5          | John Isaacson | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure   | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Inequality in access to green space across Cardiff. While areas like Rhiwbina benefit from beautiful parks, others—such as Adamsdown—have very limited greenery, which is unacceptable for residents in those communities.   |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 6          | Kelvin Hughes | 01         | Tall Buildings                          | Detailed Policies  | D1            | Recently built skyscrapers are generally ugly and bear little resemblance to what was approved. Need to rigidly enforce what was agreed and build structures that are beautiful in appearance as well as protecting what is left of our city's heritage.   |                                       | Comment noted.  |
| 6          | Kelvin Hughes | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure   | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Cumulative biodiversity depletion - has a negative impact on well being. Mitigation has been woefully inadequate - must include provisions not to further contribute to the Climate emergency.   |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 6          | Kelvin Hughes | 03         | Transport                               | Strategic Policies | SP18          | Cardiff's road, rail and public transport infrastructure is not fit for purpose. City wide transport network cannot cope with current volume of traffic let alone another approx. 25000 houses. Remains to be seen if the Metro will help. Question whether new development such as Plasdwr are sustainable development. Hope new households on such developments are examined to see whether they use sustainable forms of transport rather than driving. |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 7          | Chris Poole   | 01         | Affordable Housing                      | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Plan expects to deliver between 5,000 and 6,000 new affordable homes which falls significantly short of the identified need  |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 7          | Chris Poole   | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure   | Detailed Policies  | BG4           | Unclear how "Net Benefits for Biodiversity" will be practically achieved with the scale of development proposed, particularly on the strategic sites that will remove substantial areas of greenfield land   |                                       | Comment noted.  |
| 7          | Chris Poole   | 03         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources | Detailed Policies  | W3            | Inconsistent application of flood risk policy. The plan states development will not be permitted in flood risk areas but some of the strategic sites and regeneration areas (particularly those near Cardiff Bay) and Proposed Gypsy and Traveller site are in locations near to flood risk concerns.  |                                       | All the proposed development sites in the plan have been assessed in terms of flood risk to ensure they meet the acceptability tests in TAN15 and where appropriate mitigation measures identified. |
| 7          | Chris Poole   | 04         | Gypsy and Travellers                    | Detailed Policies  | H11           | Note that the plan acknowledges this allocation does not satisfy the overall need and doesn't provide a clear strategy for addressing the shortfall of 37 pitches.   |                                       | Comments noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name   | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section                  | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|--|------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 7          | Chris Poole  | 05         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies             | RE2           | Reference in RE2 to exceptions where net zero carbon cannot be achieved due to financial reasons creates a potential loophole where economic considerations could override climate commitments   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 7          | Chris Poole  | 06         | Welsh Language                                | Detailed Policies             | WL1           | Whilst the plan includes policies to promote Welsh Language growth it doesn't clearly articulate how development policies will achieve the ambitious target to increase Welsh speaker numbers by 1,200 annually  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 7          | Chris Poole  | 07         | Monitoring and Implementation                 | Monitoring and Implementation |               | Gaps in outcome measures - some areas where tangible measures are less clear: Limited quantifiable health and wellbeing metrics, few specific community cohesion measures, not many direct quality of life indicators, limited measures for design quality and placemaking success                     |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 7          | Chris Poole  | 08         | Monitoring and Implementation                 | Monitoring and Implementation |               | Notable gaps in inequality measurement - income inequality metrics, educational attainment gaps, health inequality targets, gender inequality measures and ethnicity-based inequality metrics  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 7          | Chris Poole  | 09         | Monitoring and Implementation                 | Monitoring and Implementation |               | The plan lacks detail on enforcement procedures, powers, priorities, resources and public reporting and does on provide a clear framework for how planning permissions will be enforced representing a potential gap in the plan meaning there's a risk development might not be delivered as approved |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 7          | Chris Poole  | 10         | Transport                                     | Strategic Policies            | SP18          | Implementation of transport infrastructure improvements seems to lag behind housing development, potentially creating more transport problems before solutions are in place  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 7          | Chris Poole  | 11         | Whole Plan                                    | Whole Plan                    |               | Inherent tension between ambitious growth targets and equally strong commitments to environmental protection, carbon neutrality, and green infrastructure.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 01         | Affordable Housing                            | Detailed Policies             | H3            | With more than 8,000 people on the Council's housing waiting list the proposal to deliver 5000 to 6000 affordable homes depending on the make up of sites is disappointing and contrary to Future Wales policies that at least 50% of the 66,000 houses in the SE Wales region need to be affordable.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name   | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number             | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|---|--------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 02         | Transport   | Detailed Policies  | T1, T2, T3, T4, T5 and T6 | Transport policies are weak. Policies T1 to T6 are not realistic in NW Cardiff. Improvements to the Core Valley Lines, which policy T3 particularly relies on, from Radyr from 2025 will help a little and the increase in daily seats from approximately 15,000 to 32,000 is to be welcomed but many of these will be occupied by passengers from north of Cardiff |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 03         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1                       | There is no need for any greenfield sites to be included in a reserve list as Plas Dwr will still be more than 1500 houses short completion by the end of the Plan in 2036. If further land is needed, completion of Plas Dwr should be a priority.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17                      | Support SP17 Managing Spatial Growth through Settlement Boundaries.   |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 05         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1                       | Need to set out the rationale to justify the population growth projections that are more than double the Welsh Government 2018 Principal Forecast, and household projections that are three times the actual growth experienced by Cardiff over the last decade 2011-2021.  |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name   | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 06         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Cardiff represents less than 25% of the total population of SE Wales, yet is proposing to build 40% of the Future Wales requirement for SE Wales region. Growth in Cardiff will therefore come at the expense of other areas in SE Wales. Is this acceptable in a regional context? More evidence needed to show Cardiff has taken account of the strategies of neighbouring areas in the SE Region.  |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 07         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Welcome no new greenfield development over and above the Strategic Sites in the current RLDP are proposed and welcome the plan allocates considerable amounts of land in NW Cardiff as countryside to be enhanced and protected.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 08         | Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Concerned about the build rate of 1,600 dwelling per annum. Over the last 10 years, an average of only 1,047 dwelling per annum have been built. The Council's current estimate that more than 1,500 homes planned for the Plas Dwr Strategic Site will not be completed by 2036 means that only around 70% of the "garden city" will be built 20 years after it commenced. Concerned about the implications of this for the delivery of infrastructure e.g. schools, medical facilities and for placemaking and a sense of community. What steps will be taken to review the "trigger points" to ensure that important infrastructure is provided, despite this delay in house building? |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name   | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed              | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 09         | Transport                             | Strategic Policies | SP18          | Proposals to improve the level of active travel are welcome yet ignore the hilly topography and lack of pavements in North West Cardiff which deters less-active members of the population. The key has to be full integration of active travel, bus and car into fixed rail in the suburbs with integrated ticketing. The improvement of bus services in the North West Corridor is important and the provision of bus lanes in Llantrisant Road is welcome but the LDP contains no detailed policies to indicate how bus times will be improved through current bottlenecks of Llandaff and Cathedral Road. |  | Comments noted.  |
| 8          | Radyr and Morganstown Local Development Plan Group | 10         | Whole Plan                            | Whole Plan         |               | Fully support the strategy of the RLDP  |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 9          | CAMRA  | 01         | Community Facilities and Safety       | Detailed Policies  | C2            | Suggested new policy and reasoned justification on the loss of community facilities   | Add new policy on the loss of community facilities | Policy C2 of the Deposit RLDP seeks to protect community facilities including public houses. |
| 10         | Glamorgan Gwent Archaeology (Heneb)                | 01         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies  | HE1           | The plan notes historic statutorily protected assets however this is only a small proportion of the sites recorded in the Historic Environment Record. These are all considered 'non-designated' historic assets. These should not be seen as any constraint to development but viewed with the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 10         | Glamorgan Gwent Archaeology (Heneb)                | 02         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies  | HE1           | The positivity of protecting and enhancing the historic environment and historic assets is noted - this can also contribute to other goals of the Plan such as health and wellbeing, social cohesion, open spaces, placemaking and the economy.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 10         | Glamorgan Gwent Archaeology (Heneb)                | 03         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies  | HE1           | Mitigation may be necessary for some sites due to the impact on archaeological deposits from proposed development. Climate change should also be taken into account in terms of the impact on the historic environment.   |  | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                              | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section         | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|----------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 10         | Glamorgan Gwent Archaeology (Heneb)   | 04         | Heritage and the Historic Environment         | Detailed Policies    | HE1           | The Plan document includes reference to previously superseded legislation which was consolidated within the Historic Environment (Wales) Act 2023. This should be amended.  |                                       | This minor change to the supporting text is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes. |
| 10         | Glamorgan Gwent Archaeology (Heneb)   | 05         | Tourism                                       | Strategic Policies   | SP10          | Increased visitor numbers may have an adverse impact on remains - but can be mitigated by limited time, areas of access and visitor tax.  |                                       | Comment noted.  |
| 10         | Glamorgan Gwent Archaeology (Heneb)   | 06         | Final Integrated Sustainability Report        | Supporting Documents | HE1           | Generally supports the role that the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) plays in ensuring that the historic environment and heritage is preserved and enhanced through the development process. Important to acknowledge that all forms of planned activity will have an impact on the historic environment. Policies HE1 and SP13 & SP14 should ensure this is protected.   |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 10         | Glamorgan Gwent Archaeology (Heneb)   | 07         | Habitat Regulations Assessment                | Supporting Documents | HE1           | The Habitats Regulations Assessment notes that there must be a balance in developments where the impact on the historic environment must be justified. The Historic Environment Record shows there are features and sites relating to the historic environment which are within areas of importance to the natural environment - these should not be considered in isolation. The threats to the natural environment are those faced by the historic environment.                           |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 11         | Johnathan Davies (Spring Consultancy) | 01         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies    | RE2           | Policy doesn't include clear targets for building emissions. Groups like LETI, RIBA, and UKGBC use specific carbon targets, which help guide low-carbon building design. Without clear standards, developers might misunderstand the rules, and carbon savings could be missed. Setting strong targets would help Cardiff meet its climate goals and make expectations clearer for everyone involved. Other councils in England and Wales are already using or developing similar policies. |                                       | Comments noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|---------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 12         | Angela Gray   | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | Broadly support the plan - in particular policy SP16 which protects areas outside the current settlement boundary  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 12         | Angela Gray   | 02         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17          | Broadly support the plan - in particular policy SP17 which protects areas outside the current settlement boundary  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 12         | Angela Gray   | 03         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Would like to see more information on the current status of the masterplan for Strategic Site C Plasdwr as its completion contributes a large percentage of the RLDP Growth Strategy.  |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 13         | Tom Vaughan   | 01         | Transport   | Strategic Policies | SP18          | RLDP should require sustainable transport infrastructure to be in place when people move in to avoid people getting used to relying on cars  |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 14         | Steve Convery | 01         | Infrastructure                                      | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Concerned about the uncontrolled development in NW Cardiff (Radyr and Morganstown). Plas Dwr lacks infrastructure including transport and drainage with resultant impacts of residents and ecology, and does not meet the local and national requirements for such a scale of development. Proposals for yet more development is unacceptable. |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 15         | David Silver  | 01         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Support for Deposit Plan with no new greenfield sites. Completion of Plasdwr should be prioritised.  |                                       | Comments noted.      |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic                                   | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response  |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------|--|--|---|
| 16         | Cwmpas                     | 01         | Affordable Housing                      | Detailed Policies           | H3            | The plan should make specific reference to 'community led affordable housing' and include text explaining its definition.  | The plan should include policies setting out 1) site specific allocation for community led affordable housing, 2) Provision for community led housing as part of strategic site allocations or regeneration sites, 3) Repurposing redundant buildings, land or open spaces for community led affordable housing. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |
| 16         | Cwmpas                     | 02         | Vision, Aims and Objectives             | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | There is a clear synergy between the key objectives and vision statement within the Plan and community led affordable housing programmes and projects.   |  | Comment noted.  |
| 16         | Cwmpas                     | 03         | Process                                 | Whole Plan                  |               | It is positive that the consultation document is underpinned throughout by the principles of placemaking, good design and the role of local community distinctiveness and character.   |  | Support is welcomed.  |
| 17         | Julie Morgan Senedd Member | 01         | Affordable Housing                      | Detailed Policies           | H3            | Concern that the 5,000–6,000 affordable homes may not meet the needs of the 8,000+ people on the housing waiting list.   |  | Comment noted.  |
| 17         | Julie Morgan Senedd Member | 02         | Child Friendly City                     | Detailed Policies           | P1            | Welcome the deposit plans's recognition that Cardiff is a child friendly city. It is important that the future of Cardiff is designed with children in mind.   |  | Support is welcomed.  |
| 17         | Julie Morgan Senedd Member | 03         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources | Detailed Policies           | W3            | All new development must be designed and built in a way that does not increase floodrisk. Pleased to see the focus on SuDS   |  | Comment noted.  |
| 17         | Julie Morgan Senedd Member | 04         | Gypsy and Travellers                    | Detailed Policies           | H11           | Concerned that the proposed temporary Gypsy and Traveller site is above the recommended site size in national guidance, It is considered that a site of this size will not work and will create tensions within the community. |  | As set out in the Deposit RLDP the provision of a temporary site will enable work to progress on firming up proposals to improve and extend the existing Rover Way Gypsy and Traveller site to meet the need for pitches identified in the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA). There are strong reasons to support the proposed size of the site |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                      | Rep Number | Topic  | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------|--|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |                               |            |  |                   |               |   |                                       | <p>although it is acknowledged the site would be of a large scale in a Wales context and above recommended site size in national guidance. It is important to note the guidance also states that local authorities may consider it necessary to be flexible by allowing more pitches on a site when taking into account local circumstances and the current level of need. Furthermore, the Council's experience in operating the Shirenewton site of 59 pitches has demonstrated that large sites can be very effectively managed providing both benefits for the Gypsy and Traveller community and enabling the effective delivery of supporting services. The site allows for a logical phased development where pitches can be tailored to family groupings and can be provided in a managed and orderly manner whilst integrating the provision of supporting facilities. There has also been a comprehensive engagement exercise undertaken with the Gypsy and Traveller community and the site is generally welcomed as an opportunity to improve the existing Rover Way Gypsy and Traveller site and meet the need for new pitches within the city. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored.</p> |
| 17         | Julie Morgan<br>Senedd Member | 05         | Net Zero,<br>Renewable<br>Energy and<br>Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | Welcome the commitment that all new build developments will be expected to be net zero, including social, affordable and private housing. Properties should no longer be built with gas boilers |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 17         | Julie Morgan<br>Senedd Member | 06         | Accessibility                                       | Strategic Policies | SP18          | It is important to have a transport system everyone can navigate. All proposals should be made in co-production with disabled people and charities and others to ensure the system works for everyone.  |                                       | Comment noted.  |
| 17         | Julie Morgan<br>Senedd Member | 07         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | Concerned that land north of the M4 is to remain Green Wedge and not Green Belt   |                                       | Designation of a Green Belt is beyond the scope of the Plan |
| 17         | Julie Morgan<br>Senedd Member | 08         | Economy   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Support the creation of 32,300 new jobs   |                                       | Support is welcomed.  |
| 17         | Julie Morgan<br>Senedd Member | 09         | Economy   | Strategic Policies | SP2           | Supports the inclusion of employment land in northeast Cardiff as part of housing-led regeneration. Strong support for the Life Science Park at Forest Farm.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.  |
| 17         | Julie Morgan<br>Senedd Member | 10         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Considerable areas of Cardiff North are earmarked as strategic housing which equates to 650 dwellings. There are also 2 housing led regeneration areas (H2.2 and H2.3). These developments need to be sustainable, integrated and cohesive with the communities around them. I welcome the commitment in the Deposit Plan for this. |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 17         | Julie Morgan<br>Senedd Member | 11         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Support the overall housing target. Support the commitment to prioritise brownfield development and limit greenfield use to already allocated sites. Where greenfield sites are required to be utilised considerable mitigation will be required.   |                                       | Support is welcomed.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                                     | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response     |
|------------|--|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---|----------------------|
| 17         | Julie Morgan<br>Senedd Member                | 12         | Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Welcome the commitment to secure new infrastructure.  |   | Support is welcomed. |
| 17         | Julie Morgan<br>Senedd Member                | 13         | Transport      | Strategic Policies | SP18          | Pleased to see the emphasis on transport infrastructure, especially the Transport White Paper which sets a target of 75% of trips made by sustainable transport by 2030. Commend the work done so far but the current infrastructure doesn't allow for this. Support the inclusion of more routes and facilities for walking and cycling, bus corridors and bus priority measures, improved rail network and seamless integration between all modes. Support propose stations at Gabalfa and Velindre which are on Transport for Wales's list but with no timescales for delivery.          |   | Support is welcomed. |
| 18         | National Grid<br>Electricity<br>Transmission | 01         | Economy        | Detailed Policies  | EC2           | Proposed Development Sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET asset WG ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: TREMORFA - USKMOUTH – WHITSON & ABERTHAW - TREMORFA Policy needs to acknowledge the NGET asset present within the site. Propose wording to be added to the policy. Proposed Development Sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET asset XM ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: CARDIFF EAST - USKMOUTH – WHITSON & ABERTHAW - TREMORFA Policy needs to acknowledge the NGET asset present within the site. Propose wording to be added to the policy. | Add Development proposals will include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Overhead Cable Route present within the site which demonstrates how the National Grid Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage and how the impact of the assets has been reduced through good design. | Comment noted.       |
| 18         | National Grid<br>Electricity<br>Transmission | 02         | Economy        | Detailed Policies  | EC3           | EC3.23 - Proposed Development Sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET asset VP ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: ABERTHAW - CARDIFF EAST – PYLE & CARDIFF EAST - USKMOUTH - WHITSON Policy needs to acknowledge the NGET asset present within the site. Propose wording to be added to the policy.   | Add Development proposals will include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Overhead Cable Route present within the site which demonstrates how the National Grid Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage and how the impact of the assets has                                   | Comment noted.       |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                               | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response |
|------------|--|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|--|---|------------------|
|            |  |            |         |                   |               |  | been reduced through good design.   |                  |
| 18         | National Grid Electricity Transmission | 03         | Economy | Detailed Policies | EC3           | EC3.25 Proposed Development Sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET asset VP ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: ABERTHAW - CARDIFF EAST – PYLE & CARDIFF EAST - USKMOUTH - WHITSON Policy needs to acknowledge the NGET asset present within the site. Propose wording to be added to the policy.                                      | Add Development proposals will include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Overhead Cable Route present within the site which demonstrates how the National Grid Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage and how the impact of the assets has been reduced through good design.     | Comment noted.   |
| 18         | National Grid Electricity Transmission | 04         | Economy | Detailed Policies | EC3           | EC3.3 Proposed Development Sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET asset WG ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: TREMORFA - USKMOUTH – WHITSON & ABERTHAW - TREMORFA, TREMORFA 275KV Substation, TREMORFA 33KV Substation Policy needs to acknowledge the NGET asset present within the site. Propose wording to be added to the policy. | Add Development proposals will include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Overhead Cable Add Route present within the site which demonstrates how the National Grid Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage and how the impact of the assets has been reduced through good design. | Comment noted.   |
| 18         | National Grid Electricity Transmission | 05         | Economy | Detailed Policies | EC3           | EC3.6 Proposed Development Sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET asset VP ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: ABERTHAW - CARDIFF EAST – PYLE & CARDIFF EAST - USKMOUTH - WHITSON Policy needs to acknowledge the NGET asset present within the site. Propose wording to be added to the policy.                                       | Add Development proposals will include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Overhead Cable Route present within the site which demonstrates how the National Grid Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage and how the impact of the assets has been reduced through good design.     | Comment noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                               | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response |
|------------|--|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|--|---|------------------|
| 18         | National Grid Electricity Transmission | 06         | Economy | Detailed Policies  | EC3           | EC3.9 Proposed Development Sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET asset XM ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: ABERTHAW - CARDIFF EAST – PYLE & ABERTHAW - TREMORFA Policy needs to acknowledge the NGET asset present within the site. Propose wording to be added to the policy.         | Add+A104:N109 Development proposals will include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Overhead Cable Route present within the site which demonstrates how the National Grid Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage and how the impact of the assets has been reduced through good design. | Comment noted.   |
| 18         | National Grid Electricity Transmission | 07         | Housing | Detailed Policies  | H2            | Proposed Development Sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET asset VP ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: ABERTHAW - CARDIFF EAST – PYLE & CARDIFF EAST - USKMOUTH - WHITSON Policy needs to acknowledge the NGET asset present within the site. Propose wording to be added to the policy. | Add Development proposals will include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Overhead Cable Route present within the site which demonstrates how the National Grid Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage and how the impact of the assets has been reduced through good design.           | Comments noted.  |
| 18         | National Grid Electricity Transmission | 08         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Proposed Development Sites crossed or in close proximity to NGET asset VP ROUTE: 275Kv Overhead Transmission Line route: ABERTHAW - CARDIFF EAST – PYLE & CARDIFF EAST - USKMOUTH - WHITSON Policy needs to acknowledge the NGET asset present within the site. Propose wording to be added to the policy. | Add Development proposals will include a strategy for responding to the National Grid Overhead Cable Route present within the site which demonstrates how the National Grid Design Guide and Principles have been applied at the master planning stage and how the impact of the assets has been reduced through good design.           | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                               | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 18         | National Grid Electricity Transmission | 09         | Infrastructure     | Strategic Policies | SP5           | The way NGET generate energy is changing rapidly, NGET need to make changes to the network of overhead lines, pylons, cables and other infrastructure that transports electricity. These changes include a need to increase capability of the electricity transmission system between the North and the Midlands, and between the Midlands and the South, and to facilitate the connection of proposed new offshore wind and subsea connections.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 19         | Watkin Jones Group                     | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Policy not sufficiently detailed nor flexible enough to appropriately enable development and does not consider the dynamics of BTR where affordable housing is provided on site as discount market rent (DMR), owned and operated alongside the remainder of the development and let at rents no higher than 8- % market rent. Need to revisit Viability Assessment to assess viability of different tenure mixes and types of housing and consider setting lower viability threshold which is deliverable across the city. | Amend H3 to be more flexible to reference DMR model or a payment in lieu and update paragraph 7.24 to make an allowance for viability in the delivery of housing | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 19         | Watkin Jones Group                     | 02         | Housing            | Detailed Policies  | H6            | Suggested changes - criteria i to ii are combined and update to reference student accommodation is located in areas that are accessible by non-car modes to Cardiff city centre and to university and college campuses. Criteria iv update to delete reference to communal kitchen and dining areas and paragraph 7.44 amended to remove any reference to minimum space standards for private and communal areas.   |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 19         | Watkin Jones Group                     | 03         | Housing            | Detailed Policies  | H7            | Suggested changes - update supporting text to include more clarity on the space standards that may be introduced through SPG. Update paragraph 7.48 to delete reference to specific services and instead require applications to demonstrate that a good array of communal facilities are provided and which would be appropriate in this location.   | Update paragraph 7.49 to remove requirement that communal and dining spaces should be provided on every floor within a building                                  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name             | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|----------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 20         | David and Sue Salway | 01         | Design             | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Too much emphasis on commercial development that does not take into account the aesthetics, and the need to keep green spaces. The city has been over shadowed by high rise blocks with very little consideration to quality of materials. Station approach is a concrete wasteland, no greenery or anything to make the area feel pleasant. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 21         | Frederick Ager       | 01         | Housing            | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.2 Roads in Whitchurch are currently heavily and inappropriately used and inadequate to support the 400 additional houses planned for Velindre   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 21         | Frederick Ager       | 02         | Infrastructure     | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Water and sewerage systems are inadequate, especially in Llandaff North, Whitchurch and Rhiwbina. Capacity needs to be increased prior to additional house building being permitted  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police   | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Request that all affordable homes are all built to Secured by Design gold standard.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic                           | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 22         | South Wales Police | 02         | Community Facilities and Safety | Detailed Policies | C4            | All schools/extensions should be built to Non-Commercial Secured by Design Standards with early consultation and engagement with SWP.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 03         | Gypsy and Travellers            | Detailed Policies | H10           | South Wales Police would welcome Cardiff Council to achieved Secured by Design residential (Gold) award on Gypsy and Traveller Sites in Cardiff   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 04         | Housing                         | Detailed Policies | H4            | Request that all flats meet WDQR standards and achieve a Secured by Design Silver award.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 05         | Housing                         | Detailed Policies | H5            | All conversions (Where planning permits) and new build houses of multiple occupants to be converted/built to Secured by Design Standard and achieve at last a silver award.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 06         | Housing                         | Detailed Policies | H6            | Student accommodation should be built in accordance with the Secured by Design Residential Homes Guide.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 07         | Housing                         | Detailed Policies | H7            | The layout and buildings should be built to Secured by Design Standards and that the following are made as conditions: 1) A pre-commencement agreement is made at the pre planning stage with South Wales Police and the developer that the development is built to Secured by Design standard; 2) Leases should be for a period of 6 months or more and no sub-letting permitted; 3) The properties should be controlled by one management company and a management plan set out for the lifetime of the building. |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic                       | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 22         | South Wales Police | 08         | Housing                     | Detailed Policies           | H8            | Any change of use application should be flagged to South Wales Police Designing out crime officers  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 09         | Housing                     | Detailed Policies           | H9            | Any redevelopment on unallocated sites should also be built to secured by Design Standards.   |   | Comment noted.   |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 10         | Transport                   | Detailed Policies           | T1            | Cardiff City Highways should have early consultation with South Wales Police - all walking and cycling routes comply with standards in Secured by Design guides.      |   | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 11         | Design                      | Strategic Policies          | SP4           | Request that advice is sought from South Wales Police and pre commencement plans are put in place to ensure buildings are built to Secured by Design Standards.       |   | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 12         | Objectives                  | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Request that consultation takes place with Designing Out Crime Officers and Counter Terrorism Policing Wales in respect of all community facilities and environments. |   | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 13         | Objectives                  | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | SWP should be consulted with at the pre-planning stage of any new infrastructure in Cardiff.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 14         | Objectives                  | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Early consultation with the community and SWP Designing Out Crime officers should be sought on design and layout of developments and public spaces.                   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 22         | South Wales Police | 15         | Vision, Aims and Objectives | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Crime and disorder should be included as one of the vision and objectives and Designing out Crime and Secured by Design to be mentioned and supported in the RLDP     | Crime and disorder should be included as one of the vision and objectives and Designing out Crime and Secured by Design to be mentioned and supported in the RLDP | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 23         | Jennifer Williams  | 01         | Tall Buildings              | Detailed Policies           | D1            | Concern about high rise development.  |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                                    | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|---|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 23         | Jennifer Williams                           | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Value Civic Centre and Bute Park Green Spaces, as well as the green spaces in Rhiwbina. Concern over disparity in other areas                               |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 23         | Jennifer Williams                           | 03         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods            | Strategic Policies | SP12          | Council should plan to make the city a good place for everyone to live in, especially young families  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 23         | Jennifer Williams                           | 04         | Whole Plan                            | Whole Plan         |               | Plan should aim to make a city where people can live in a safe and pleasant environment.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 24         | Cardiff North Labour Party Disability Group | 01         | Transport                             | Detailed Policies  | T1            | Ensure all new public transport and walking routes are fully accessible. Limit the use of shared pathways without clear separation for walkers and cyclists |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 24         | Cardiff North Labour Party Disability Group | 02         | Design                                | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Ensure new housing includes sufficient fully accessible homes   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 24         | Cardiff North Labour Party Disability Group | 03         | Design                                | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Ensure parks and community buildings and shopping areas are designed for everyone, including those with mobility and sensory needs                          |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 24         | Cardiff North Labour Party Disability Group | 04         | Economy                               | Strategic Policies | SP2           | Ensure disabled workers are supported, making new job opportunities accessible , with businesses encouraged to hire and support disabled people.            |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 25         | George Estyll                               | 01         | Affordable Housing                    | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Making developers provide affordable housing within the areas they develop is an issue  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 25         | George Estyll                               | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies  | BG4           | Concern about nature decline and providing better protection for habitat. Strong policy needed to protect biodiversity                                      |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 25         | George Estyll                               | 03         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies  | HE1           | Concern regarding protection of heritage in the city. Strong policy needed to protect heritage  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name     | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 26         | John Bennett | 01         | Miscellaneous                         | Miscellaneous     |               | Concerned the Council are wasting public money, lacking foresight and not listening to the public. There is a lack of social support for homeless and ill and uncared for community resources including libraries, swimming pools and play areas which are being taken away. The state of the roads are the worst in the UK.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 27         | Paul Rock    | 01         | Affordable Housing                    | Detailed Policies | H3            | The percentage of affordable housing should be based on land area rather than number of units to prevent loophole where developers can provide a percentage of affordable units at high density in small areas of the overall development.  | Percentage of affordable housing should be based on land area rather than the number of units   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 27         | Paul Rock    | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG5           | Policy does not provide sufficiently strong protection for hedgerows and other wildlife corridors. The significant and clearly defined public amenity benefits cited in the policy can easily be abused by developers. The policy should explicitly ban the temporary removal of hedgerows during building projects. The policy should require building to be done within traditional field boundaries and hedgerows should not be removed. | Policy should be amended to provide stronger protection for hedgerows and wildlife corridors. It should require building to be within traditional field boundaries and not remove hedgerows. Explicitly ban the temporary removal of hedgerows during construction. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name  | Rep Number | Topic                           | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 27         | Paul Rock | 03         | Community Facilities and Safety | Detailed Policies | C4            | No recognition of falling rolls at the city's existing schools and that most schools are now operating in budget deficits. The building of additional schools should not be supported. No further schools should be built until existing schools in the area are 95% full   |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 27         | Paul Rock | 04         | Economy                         | Detailed Policies | EC10          | Lack of balance in this section. Reads as though the intention is to create a 'Stag and Hen' type destination. Development which will appeal to children, families and older people should be encouraged. Developments associated with heavy drinking should be discouraged. Policy resists the closure of pubs, but should also recognise the importance of churches and faith buildings in supporting culture.  | Policy should recognise the importance of churches and faith buildings in supporting culture  | Comment noted.   |
| 27         | Paul Rock | 05         | Open Space                      | Detailed Policies | OS2           | Policy does not allow local populations access to natural open spaces which is vital for human wellbeing and children's development. Specific requirement for local access to significant natural open space and encounters with nature should be added to the policy. Artificial and all-weather pitches and fenced-off sports facilities should be included in open space calculations. The creation of all-weather or fenced-off pitches should be mitigated by an equivalent amount of natural open space being made available. | Specific requirement for local access to significant natural open space and encounters with nature should be added to the policy. The creation of all-weather or fenced-off pitches should be mitigated by an equivalent amount of natural open space being made available. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 27         | Paul Rock | 06         | Play                            | Detailed Policies | P1            | Implications are vague. Play sufficiency guidelines should apply not only to new developments, but older areas of the city with little or no capacity for new development   | Play sufficiency guidelines should apply to both new and existing developments  | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name             | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section             | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 27         | Paul Rock            | 07         | Tall Buildings                        | Detailed Policies        | D1            | Unclear how many of the criteria a proposal would have to meet to be supported. Should be reworded to '.....will be generally supported where they meet all of the following criteria'. Tall buildings should be required to allow public access to top floors as a tourist attraction. No explicit protection of views of the city's built heritage. Policy should provide protection of views of the city' iconic buildings from streets and popular locations such as railway stations. | Policy should be reworded to '.....will be generally supported where they meet all of the following criteria'.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 27         | Paul Rock            | 08         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies       | SP21          | Section fails to recognise the ecological and human wellbeing benefits of urban and suburban brownfield sites. Rewilded brownfield sites are important for biodiversity and ecosystems as well as people's wellbeing. These areas are generally far more biodiverse than intensively farmed fields. RLDP should provide protection for rewilded urban and suburban brownfield sites.   | Policy should provide protection for rewilded urban and suburban brownfield sites  | Comments noted.  |
| 27         | Paul Rock            | 09         | Infrastructure                        | Strategic Policies       | SP5           | Requirements in policy for essential, enabling and necessary infrastructure is far too weak. It means large housing estates can be built with no frequent walkable public transport, active travel, community facilities, sewerage etc. Policy should be tightened so that large scale house building cannot go ahead until all the infrastructure deemed necessary for the development to be truly sustainable is in place.   | Policy should be tightened so that large scale housing cannot go ahead until all the infrastructure deemed necessary for the development to be truly sustainable is in place | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 28         | Swifts Local Network | 01         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies        | BG2           | Reference to swift nest boxes is welcome but not sound - reference to swift bricks should be included and should be installed in new developments. They are more beneficial than external bird boxes as they are a permanent feature of the building.  | Include reference to swift bricks in the policy.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 29         | Bogod Group          | 01         | Alternative Housing Site              | Alternative Housing Site |               | Site accords with the RLDP objectives a can provide up to 60 homes over the plan period. This site should be a housing allocation in the plan.   | Candidate Site 51 Land north west of Druidstone Road should be a housing allocation in the plan.   | The representation supports the inclusion of a greenfield site not included in the Deposit RLDP. Having considered the policy context, Plan strategy and other relevant material factors, it is concluded there is no demonstrable need to include the site in the Plan. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 29         | Bogod Group      | 02         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | To reduce delivery risks in Cardiff's housing targets—due to green infrastructure demands, land constraints, and brownfield site complexities (e.g. remediation) the flexibility allowance should be increased to 20%. Recommend the lower risk housing requirement would be 28,800 homes. | Flexibility allowance should be increased to 20%  | There is no set flexibility figure required for housing supply within development plans. However, the current adopted RLDP includes a flexibility allowance of 10% which was supported by the Inspectors at the examination of the RLDP and is considered appropriate for city . It is good practice to allow for unknowns and the need for flexibility within a development plan is inherent from Welsh Government guidance. In Cardiff's case provision has been made to deliver an additional 10% flexibility allowance which is considered appropriate to enable to plan to cope with uncertainties that may arise over the plan period. |
| 30         | Mr James Robbins | 01         | Proposals Map  | Proposals Map      |               | SP1 is inaccurate the boundary for housing commitments over 100 dwellings includes land within the St Fagans Conservation Area and the SLA.  | Amend Proposals Map and exclude land within the St Fagans Conservation Area and the SLA | This minor change of policy is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.   |
| 31         | Mary Davis       | 01         | Housing        | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.4 and H2.5. No mention of provision for facilities for play, sport and general recreation in the policy - nothing "Green"   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 31         | Mary Davis       | 02         | Tall Buildings | Detailed Policies  | D1            | Developers of tall buildings need to take the need for green space seriously.  |   | Comment noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 01         | Transport                             | Detailed Policies  | T2            | Request additional focus on transport and services to northwest villages                              |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 02         | Miscellaneous                         | Miscellaneous      |               | Recommend the plan further recognises all current and anticipated societal changes.                   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 03         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | SP21 Protecting , Compensating and Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - supports policy. |                                       | Support is welcomed. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | SP16 Protecting the Setting of the City through a Green Wedge - supports and welcomes the principle being strengthened.   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 05         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17          | SP17 Managing Spatial Growth through Settlement Boundaries - supports and welcomes the principle being strengthened.  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 06         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability           | Strategic Policies | SP13          | SP13 Health & Wellbeing The health service designs services without regard for public transport limitations and bus services have been removed from the most isolated communities in Cardiff.                                 |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 07         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Strongly object to any further development on greenfield sites and recommend investigating reuse of brownfield site and repurposing buildings given the increasing amount of retail and commercial properties that are empty. |                                       | Comments noted.      |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 08         | Housing            | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Population growth has not materialised. Significant development sites have not progressed as expected and candidate sites should not be considered solely on their attractiveness to developers.  |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 09         | Housing            | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Welcomes the Strategy which reflects a lower level of growth than current RLDP.   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 10         | Infrastructure     | Strategic Policies | SP5           | SP5 Securing New Infrastructure - significant shortfalls already exist for schools, health services, facilities for young and elderly, cycling & walking routes, public transport. Recommend the Council explains what it is doing to address these service shortfalls. |                                       | Comment noted.       |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 11         | Minerals and Waste | Strategic Policies | SP11          | PCC is mindful of the environmental damage caused by the operation of the quarries in the area.   |                                       | Comments noted.      |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic                      | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 12         | Minerals and Waste         | Strategic Policies | SP11          | SP11 Maintaining a Supply of Minerals - quarry activity impacts residents and local biodiversity. While we recognise the need to maintain supplies we urge careful consideration of permissions related to extraction and consideration of recycled materials where possible. |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 13         | Minerals and Waste         | Strategic Policies | SP23          | SP23 Managing Waste -recommends Council review the recycling centres available to residents in NW Cardiff.  |                                       | Comment noted.       |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 14         | Natural Resources          | Strategic Policies | SP22          | SP22 Impact on Natural Resources recommends Council commit resources to mapping the quality of agricultural land.   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 15         | Placemaking Plans          | Strategic Policies | SP7           | SP7 Supporting Place Making Plans - welcomes place plans and request involvement in the development of place plans.   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 16         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods | Strategic Policies | SP12          | SP12 Delivering Sustainable Neighbourhoods, Social Cohesion and Affordable Housing PCC recognise the importance of this policy & looks forward to seeing plans to connect our villages.   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic      | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 17         | Tourism    | Strategic Policies          | SP10          | SP10 Supporting Tourism - This policy would benefit from improved public transport in and out of Cardiff and from the airport and improvements to connections between car, rail, bus and air transport.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 18         | Transport  | Strategic Policies          | SP18          | SP18 Delivering Sustainable Transport and Active Travel - supports but has concerns about delivery in Pentyrch. Concerns include severe lack of public transport, increasing volumes of traffic and lack of connection to active travel routes and increasing numbers of cars parking in unsuitable locations and recommends special consideration to improving transport links for Pentyrch residents.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 19         | Transport  | Strategic Policies          | SP19          | SP19 Securing New Transport Infrastructure - supports but has concerns about delivery in Pentyrch. Concerns include severe lack of public transport, increasing volumes of traffic and lack of connection to active travel routes and increasing numbers of cars parking in unsuitable locations and recommends special considerations to improving transport links for Pentyrch residents.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 20         | Objectives | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Objective 5: To make the city easier to move around with a focus on active travel requires further consideration in relation to the specific public transport challenges faced by residents in villages along the NW edge of Cardiff and the severe limitations of active travel options to the villages due to its location and infrastructure. More village to village centre public transport is needed so residents can travel around the outer most areas of Cardiff, in addition to the city centre and Heath Hospital. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 21         | Objectives | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Objective 3: To ensure the adequate and timely provision of new infrastructure to support communities and future growth requires further consideration in relation to the additional  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic                       | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
|            |                            |            |                             |                             |               | infrastructure needed to ensure residents in villages along the NW edge of Cardiff have adequate access to public services including public transport, active transport routes schools and health facilities. |                                       |                      |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 22         | Objectives                  | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Support Objective 9:and especially the intention that the plan will promote development in the most sustainable locations with brownfield first priority  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 23         | Objectives                  | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Support Objective 10: To ensure the resilience of ecosystems by protecting and enhancing Cardiff's green and blue infrastructure, its biodiversity and other natural assets.                                  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 24         | Vision, Aims and Objectives | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | In general support the Strategic Vision and Objectives  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic      | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 25         | Objectives | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Objective 11 Historic and Cultural Assets PCC recognises that it is home to a significant number of Cardiff's conservation areas and historic monuments and requests involvement in discussions about their future. |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 26         | Whole Plan | Whole Plan                  |               | Protection of the integrity of the special landscape area and green wedge should be an overriding aim of the Deposit Plan   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 27         | Whole Plan | Whole Plan                  |               | The 23 Strategic Policies are supported in principle  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council | 28         | Housing    | Detailed Policies           | H1A           | SH1.4 Land to the South of Llantrisant Road site should only be considered as part of the wider development of Site D. No further access points should be permitted to the Llantrisant Road.                        |                                       | Comments noted.      |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                                       | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section             | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council                     | 29         | Housing   | Detailed Policies        | H1A           | SH1.5 The western portion of this site should be enhanced and protected as part to the RLDP and PCC objects to the inclusion of this site.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 32         | Pentyrch Community Council                     | 30         | Minerals and Waste                                  | Detailed Policies        | MW1           | Ton Mawr Quarry Object to inclusion on highway safety grounds and the adverse impact on environment and residential property. If this site is included limits should be placed on the number of vehicle trips to the from the quarry every day and on the times of operation of vehicles accessing and leaving the quarry. e.g. not in rush hour. The quarry should establish liaison arrangements with community. |  | Comments noted.  |
| 33         | Neurodivergent Friendly Cardiff (Eluned Evans) | 01         | Design  | Strategic Policies       | SP4           | Policy is positive with reference to inclusive design and accessibility. Should also include specific reference to hidden disabilities and neurodivergence   | Policy should include specific reference to hidden disabilities and neurodivergence          | Comments noted.  |
| 34         | Ruth Evans                                     | 01         | Miscellaneous                                       | Miscellaneous            |               | Objects to the works carried out at Roath Recreation Ground and the Canal Quarter off Queen Street, the blue and red bag recycling scheme and cutting down trees in Llandaff Fields to create an event venue.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 35         | Asbri Planning                                 | 01         | Alternative Housing Site                            | Alternative Housing Site |               | Candidate site should now be considered in the Plan.   | Request Candidate Site 15 Land at Robin Hill is considered a housing allocation in the plan. | The representation supports the inclusion of a greenfield site not included in the Deposit RLDP. Having considered the policy context, Plan strategy and other relevant material factors, it is concluded there is no demonstrable need to include the site in the Plan. |
| 35         | Asbri Planning                                 | 02         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Proposals Map            | CP3           | As shown on the Deposit Plan Proposals Map, the Robin Hill site is incorrectly retained within the boundary of the SLA (which does not accord with the conclusions of the evidence base)   | Request boundary change. Land at Robin Hill should be deallocated from the SLA               | Comments noted.  |
| 35         | Asbri Planning                                 | 03         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Proposals Map            | SP16          | The site comprising Land at Robin Hill makes no contribution to the function of the Green Wedge. This area of the Green wedge should be deallocated, it has been established as part of the RLDP evidence base that the site   | Requests boundary change. Land at Robin Hill should be deallocated from the Green Wedge.     | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                                | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                            | Council Response   |
|------------|---|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|            |   |            |   |                    |               | comprising Land at Robin Hill makes no contribution to the function of the Green Wedge.  |  |  |
| 35         | Asbri Planning                          | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Proposals Map      | SP17          | Amend settlement boundary to include Land at Robin Hill.   | Request Settlement Boundary change to include Land at Robin Hill | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 36         | Radyr and Morganstown Community Council | 01         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Note that the present agreed development within the Community Council area is not expected to be completed on schedule.                                |  | Comments noted.  |
| 36         | Radyr and Morganstown Community Council | 02         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | Note that this will be last RLDP for Cardiff alone and that future RLDP's will cover south east Wales  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 36         | Radyr and Morganstown Community Council | 03         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | Support the Replacement RLDP. In particular the conclusion to exclude further development on Green field sites and to concentrate on brownfield sites. |  | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name          | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 37         | Theatres Trust    | 01         | Community Facilities and Safety                     | Detailed Policies  | C2            | Welcome and support Policy C2 and its protection of valued facilities.   | Amend opening paragraph to make it clear that cultural facilities such as theatres and music venues should be considered as community facilities contributing cultural and social well being. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 38         | Mr & Mrs McDonald | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | Object to the inclusion of Site 13 The Old Forge within the Green Wedge as it does not assist in achieving any of the 5 purposes set out in paragraphs 3.67 of PPW (the purposes of a green belt) and is therefore contrary to national policy and fails to adhere to Test 1 of the Tests of Soundness.  |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 38         | Mr & Mrs McDonald | 02         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17          | Object to the Settlement Boundaries as defined in Policy 17. Policy SP17 advises that the purpose of Settlement Boundaries is to manage and limit growth and further development, but much of the land within the Candidate Site is already developed. Furthermore, the remainder is a longstanding allocation and aspiration of the LPA for further, new residential development. It is therefore illogical and without reason as to why one element (current undeveloped) should be included with the proposed Settlement Boundaries, whilst the other element (existing development), that it will clearly be connected to should be excluded. The exclusion of Site 13 Land at the Old Forge from the defined Settlement Boundary is inconsistent with the Plan's own policies and so fails to adhere to Test 1 of the Tests of Soundness resulting in the RLDP being unsound. |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name          | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section             | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------|------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 38         | Mr & Mrs McDonald | 03         | Housing   | Strategic Policies       | SP1           | Proposed level of growth unachievable and will not be delivered with the plan period. In order to ensure the plan is "sound" further housing sites will need to allocated  |   | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 38         | Mr & Mrs McDonald | 04         | Alternative Housing Site                            | Alternative Housing Site |               | This site should be allocated for housing in the Plan.   | Candidate Site 13 Land at the Old Forge, Llantrisant Road should be allocated for housing in the Plan.  | The representation supports the inclusion of a greenfield site not included in the Deposit RLDP. Having considered the policy context, Plan strategy and other relevant material factors, it is concluded there is no demonstrable need to include the site in the Plan.   |
| 39         | Llandaff Society  | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies        | CP4           | <p>Suggested policy wording. Development will not be permitted that would harm the character and quality of the Ely, Taff (including the Nant Fawr Corridor) and Rhymney River Corridors.</p> <p>The natural heritage, character, important green infrastructure function and other key features of Cardiff's river corridors will be protected, promoted and enhanced, together with facilitating sustainable access and recreation.</p> <p>Development proposals will need to demonstrate that the function of a river corridor remains unimpeded, ensure access does not lead to harm to the rivers or their setting.</p> | <p>Suggested policy wording. Development will not be permitted that would harm the character and quality of the Ely, Taff (including the Nant Fawr Corridor) and Rhymney River Corridors.</p> <p>The natural heritage, character, important green infrastructure function and other key features of Cardiff's river corridors will be protected, promoted and enhanced, together with facilitating sustainable access</p> | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
|            |                  |            |                                       |                    |               |   | and recreation.<br><br>Development proposals will need to demonstrate that the function of a river corridor remains unimpeded, ensure access does not lead to harm to the rivers or their setting. |  |
| 39         | Llandaff Society | 02         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies  | HE1           | Support the policy which is stronger and more comprehensive than EN9 in the adopted plan. Policy HE1 is focused on protecting the city's heritage. It gives strong importance to preserving historic places such as listed buildings, archaeological sites, and conservation areas. The policy clearly outlines how these should be treated in planning decisions. This stronger protection is especially welcomed for places like Rookwood Hospital. |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 39         | Llandaff Society | 03         | Housing                               | Detailed Policies  | H1B           | Supports Policy H1.2 - the allocation of the redundant Rookwood Hospital for housing, provided that its significant heritage assets are conserved sympathetically and the site's biodiversity is protected.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 39         | Llandaff Society | 04         | Transport                             | Detailed Policies  | T4            | Policy seen as too weak to support the transport goals unless Policy SP3 is strengthened. This includes ensuring that health services, local jobs, and community facilities are built alongside new housing. Key transport hubs, like the one planned at Heath Hospital, also need early delivery and more developer funding to succeed.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 39         | Llandaff Society | 05         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Strengthen policy by adding the following words:<br>"v. Hydrological and biodiversity networks including strategically important river valleys of the Ely, Taff etc...  | Strengthen policy by adding the following words:<br>"v. Hydrological and biodiversity networks including strategically important river valleys of the Ely, Taff etc...                             | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 39         | Llandaff Society | 06         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Strategic Policies | SP13          | Strengthen policy by adding the reference to River Valleys. Add the words "including the strategically important River Valleys "after "accessible and useable green and blue spaces is referenced.   | Strengthen policy by adding the reference to River Valleys. Add the words "including the strategically important River Valleys "after "accessible and useable green and blue spaces is referenced. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 39         | Llandaff Society | 07         | Infrastructure                            | Strategic Policies | SP5           | There is support for Policy, however, concern that the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) is not mentioned. It is suggested that the Council should include CIL as a way to fund city-wide infrastructure—especially from the increased land value of greenfield development sites—in addition to using Section 106 (S106) agreements for local, on-site improvements.  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 39         | Llandaff Society | 08         | Masterplanning                            | Strategic Policies | SP3           | <p>There are concerns about Cardiff's goal for 75% of trips to be made by walking, cycling, or public transport by 2030. Achieving this will be difficult without more funding and better planning. While some progress has been made—like new cycleways and improved rail services—bus services have been reduced, and car use is still rising.</p> <p>Policy SP3, which promotes masterplanning, should help reduce car travel, but past developments have remained car-focused. Without stronger action, traffic in areas like Llandaff is expected to increase, especially with new parking charges pushing people to drive elsewhere.</p> |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 40         | Judith James     | 01         | Miscellaneous                             | Miscellaneous      |               | Concern about the number of electric bikes around in Cardiff and also concern about the number of campervans and motorhomes parked on Alder Road.  |  | Comments noted. This issue is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic                | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 41         | Gypsy and Traveller Wales | 01         | Gypsy and Travellers | Detailed Policies | H11           | <p>Concerns have been raised about site H11 being proposed as a temporary Gypsy and Traveller site for at least 10 years, while improvements to the existing Rover Way site are planned. Given the long wait for upgrades, a temporary solution offers little certainty, whereas permanent accommodation is typically expected in local plans.</p> <p>The site's scale—6.8 hectares with around 80 pitches—would make it the largest in Wales and possibly the UK. This appears to conflict with Welsh Government guidance, which recommends sites of no more than 20 pitches unless exceptional circumstances apply. Even then, smaller sites should be prioritised, and proper consultation is required.</p> <p>Unclear whether meaningful engagement with the Gypsy and Traveller communities has taken place. If the site proceeds, it's suggested it be broken into smaller micro sites with landscaping buffers, tailored to family groupings and allowing for future expansion. Clear development timelines should also be shared with the community.</p> <p>There is also a request to review and comment on any alternative sites the council considered and rejected.</p> |                                       | <p>As set out in the Deposit RLDP the provision of a temporary site will enable work to progress on firming up proposals to improve and extend the existing Rover Way Gypsy and Traveller site to meet the need for pitches identified in the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA).</p> <p>There are strong reasons to support the proposed size of the site although it is acknowledged the site would be of a large scale in a Wales context and above recommended site size in national guidance. It is important to note the guidance also states that local authorities may consider it necessary to be flexible by allowing more pitches on a site when taking into account local circumstances and the current level of need. Furthermore, the Council's experience in operating the Shirenewton site of 59 pitches has demonstrated that large sites can be very effectively managed providing both benefits for the Gypsy and Traveller community and enabling the effective delivery of supporting services. The site allows for a logical phased development where pitches can be tailored to family groupings and can be provided in a managed and orderly manner whilst integrating the provision of supporting facilities.</p> <p>There has also been a comprehensive engagement exercise undertaken with the Gypsy and Traveller community and the site is generally welcomed as an opportunity to improve the existing Rover Way</p> |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                     | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |                              |            |   |                   |               |  |                                       | <p>Gypsy and Traveller site and meet the need for new pitches within the city.</p> <p>The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored.</p> |
| 42         | Ramblers Cymru Cardiff Group | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies | CP4           | Support protecting the river corridors from inappropriate development and ensure that they are available for recreational use. |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                     | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 42         | Ramblers Cymru Cardiff Group | 02         | Open Space  | Detailed Policies  | OS1           | Welcome policy to protect open spaces but question whether point iv should be deleted as it is difficult to see how any new open space would be the equivalent of a space that has developed over many years.   | Delete point iv   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 42         | Ramblers Cymru Cardiff Group | 03         | Transport   | Detailed Policies  | T1            | Welcome that planning permission will only be granted if it priorities walking and cycling and in particular T1 (ii) although we would emphasis that there is a need to ensure that walkers and cyclists are clearly separated to ensure the safety of walkers. | Amend T1 (ii) to emphasis that there is a need to ensure that walkers and cyclists are clearly separated. | Comments noted.  |
| 42         | Ramblers Cymru Cardiff Group | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17          | Support the policy as it is important to maintain open spaces for recreational use which lie south of the M4  |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 42         | Ramblers Cymru Cardiff Group | 05         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods                          | Strategic Policies | SP12          | Important to keep the countryside north of the M4 free from development. Area is important for recreational walking which is enhanced by the biodiversity that results from extensive open countryside with a variety of woods and other green spaces           |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 43         | S. Kirk                      | 01         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change       | Detailed Policies  | RE2           | How will the implementation of the policy be monitored - will there be a requirement to build net zero homes with solar panels, heat pumps, etc.  |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic                         | Plan Section                  | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 43         | S. Kirk  | 02         | Miscellaneous                 | Miscellaneous                 |               | Would like Cardiff to be a city where the Planning System works for citizens rather than developers and where citizens are actively encouraged to participate in decisions about our city (community Town hall concepts) |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 43         | S. Kirk  | 03         | Monitoring and Implementation | Monitoring and Implementation |               | The plan needs to detail how it will measure success against the key objectives  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|----------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 43         | S. Kirk  | 04         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure         | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Would like Cardiff to be a place where biodiversity loss is taken seriously and acted upon (why was planning allowed on the Velindre site immediately adjacent to the nature reserve)  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 43         | S. Kirk  | 05         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Question the continuing desire to approve/allow more and more high rise towers given existing high rise developments down the Bay evidence poor design/build quality. Better to engage with communities and ask what they want/need and allow more local building contractors to build smaller scale developments giving a greater variety in the type/design of housing being built to far better standards. Large housebuilding companies appear to have far to much influence on developments in the city and question why developers are allowed to develop sites that end up looking completely different to the original plans (e.g. Brains Brewery development) |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 43         | S. Kirk  | 06         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Strategic Policies | SP20          | Would like Cardiff to be a place where Climate Change is taken seriously and acted upon  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name     | Rep Number | Topic                       | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 43         | S. Kirk      | 07         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods  | Strategic Policies          | SP12          | Would like Cardiff to be a place where the 15 minute city concept is adopted   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 43         | S. Kirk      | 08         | Vision, Aims and Objectives | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Making Cardiff more "beautiful" needs to be adopted in the plan - Cardiff Residents when asked what might make Cardiff more beautiful stated "Care, Biodiversity, Nurtured spaces, pedestrian friendly, heritage, trees, planning for community, equality a sense of place, history awareness, urban oasis, consider the human, made for local people, inclusive design  |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 44         | Jane Foulner | 01         | Whole Plan                  | Whole Plan                  |               | Cardiff should be better maintained and it is important to preserve the character of historic areas like St. Mary Street and avoid the potential negative impact of new developments, such as tall buildings. Would like more frequent, smaller buses and affordable transport; better maintained and accessible parks and more public toilets. Such a difficult job but proper consultation with Cardiff's amazing residents would pay so many dividends. |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name       | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|----------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 45         | John Griffiths | 01         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources       | Detailed Policies  | W3            | Climate change means areas are being built on that will be subject to future flooding. Flooded roads are inconvenient, but flooded houses result in cost and misery                                     |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 45         | John Griffiths | 02         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies  | RE2           | House building should follow stricter standards on low energy and climate resilience. Houses should not be built without solar panels, heat pumps etc.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 45         | John Griffiths | 03         | Housing                                       | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Intention to allocate no new greenfield sites is welcomed.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 45         | John Griffiths | 04         | Infrastructure                                | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Existing RLDP was not a success in delivering s106 and infrastructure improvements. Where development is allowed, there needs to be much more pressure on developers to provide what has been promised. |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name            | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 45         | John Griffiths      | 05         | Transport   | Strategic Policies | SP18          | No clear vision for a traffic free, or reduced traffic, city. There is little encouragement to change habits and have public transport options.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 46         | Breedon Trading Ltd | 01         | Housing   | Detailed Policies  | H2            | Object to the proposal for high density housing immediately adjacent to an operational wharf. Needs of the wharves to operate, discharge vessels during unsociable hours due to tides is incompatible with housing due to potential for dust, noise, HGVs movements and high intensity lighting. Policy has potential to constrain operations to make them unviable. In compatible with MW6 H2.4 Roath Dock (North Side ) be deleted |                                       | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan and any subsequent outcome as a result of implementation. The comments are noted and a statement of common ground will be prepared to help inform considerations around this issue at the forthcoming examination. |
| 47         | Jane Williams       | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | Support the Green Wedge Policy.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name          | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 48         | Mr Owain Williams | 01         | Welsh Language | Detailed Policies  | WL1           | Amend Policy wording from consider providing bilingual sign to provide.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 48         | Mr Owain Williams | 02         | Welsh Language | Proposals Map      | SP15          | Suggest that Cardiff being an Area of Linguistic importance be identified on the Proposals Map to be consistent with other designations restrictions . |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 49         | Bethan Enticott   | 01         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | No consideration for the devastating loss of habitat for wildlife and the devastating loss of green spaces in Thornhill and Lisvane                    |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic         | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response |
|------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---|------------------|
| 50         | John Prior Morris  | 01         | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous     |               | I agree with the comments that have been submitted on behalf of Llandaff Society.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 51         | Matthew Nixon      | 01         | Transport     | Detailed Policies | T6            | Clarification needed on the Metro and its timeline. Details required on the precise locations of new Metro stations and when they are projected to be built and usable | Policy should include more details about the proposed Metro stations and their timeline | Comments noted.  |
| 52         | Gaynor Morgan      | 01         | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous     |               | Agree entirely with the proposals put forward by the Llandaff Society regarding the revised RLDP.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 53         | Vanessa Cunningham | 01         | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous     |               | I agree with the comments that have been submitted on behalf of the Llandaff Society   |   | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name       | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|----------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 54         | Dr Elinor Back | 01         | Miscellaneous                                     | Miscellaneous     |               | Agree with the comments submitted on behalf of the Llandaff Society   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 55         | Gilbert Lloyd  | 01         | Miscellaneous                                     | Miscellaneous     |               | I agree with the comments that have been submitted on behalf of The Llandaff Society  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 56         | Angela Thomas  | 01         | Air, Noise, Light Pollution and Contaminated Land | Detailed Policies | PC1           | Cardiff has high levels of air pollution. Public awareness programme to highlight the dangers of idling engines (including fines) required.                             |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 56         | Angela Thomas  | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure             | Detailed Policies | BG5           | Too many mature trees lost particularly at busy road junctions and parks. Planting new trees takes years to provide the same benefit as older established mature trees. |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 56         | Angela Thomas  | 03         | Heritage and the Historic Environment             | Detailed Policies | HE1           | Protect Heritage and do not replace with high rise buildings.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 56         | Angela Thomas  | 04         | Miscellaneous                                     | Miscellaneous     |               | Certain areas are prone to poor litter management e.g. Canal on Churchill Way.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                              | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 56         | Angela Thomas                         | 05         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Instead of building new housing there are many empty buildings which could be adapted for housing.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 56         | Angela Thomas                         | 06         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | The RLDP is hugely detailed and most of it looks extremely laudable.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 57         | Simon Bradwick (Friends of Bute Park) | 01         | Air, Noise, Light Pollution and Contaminated Land | Detailed Policies  | PC1           | Sound is mentioned as important in public spaces, but no specific mention of temporary planning. The importance of sound can be devalued or ignored for long periods of time covered by temporary planning permission, with seemingly less regulation. | To include temporary planning for initiatives which may impede the wider goal of a greener city and sustainable development. Include more about how current 'quiet areas', such as Bute Park are protected. Add more quiet areas and more about the general approach to soundscapes. | Comments noted.  |
| 57         | Simon Bradwick (Friends of Bute Park) | 02         | Transport   | Detailed Policies  | T1            | Should be more emphasis on transport for travel to green spaces, rather than focussing on commuting.   | Greater emphasis on independent and active transport to and from green spaces.   | Comments noted.  |
| 57         | Simon Bradwick (Friends of Bute Park) | 03         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure             | Strategic Policies | SP21          | No clear plan on how to protect or adapt green spaces , including how communities and groups could use green space.  | More on how children and older people can interact with their city and green spaces.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                              | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 57         | Simon Bradwick (Friends of Bute Park) | 04         | Process   | Whole Plan         |               | There has been a low capacity for community engagement and consultation. Drop-in sessions were not convenient. The document should be broken into smaller subjects, communicated in different ways and available in hubs to increase engagement, particularly with those usually under-represented.   | Another consultation period should be added including a more accessible format and increased capacity for community engagement. Document should be broken in to smaller topic areas to increase engagement. | The RLDP consultation process has been carried out in accordance with the Delivery Agreement which has been agreed with the Welsh Government. The Consultation Report sets out the extensive consultation and engagement undertaken. |
| 57         | Simon Bradwick (Friends of Bute Park) | 05         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | The energy crisis and climate crisis mean sustainable development goals need to be delivered within the RLDP period. Economic growth and sustainable development are not compatible and continued search for growth will lead to resource collapse.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 57         | Simon Bradwick (Friends of Bute Park) | 06         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | The Plan is not radical enough. The sustainable development goals are positive, but the plan's actions are about delivering the status quo and 'economic growth'. Headline statements are untrue and an attempt to manipulate the public into supporting political or private sector demands.   |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.               |
| 58         | Rhydlafar Residents Group             | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17          | Strongly support policy SP17 and the fact that a significant amount of land in NW Cardiff is 'countryside to be enhanced and protected.'  |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City                    | 01         | Affordable Housing                                  | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Unlike Sections H4 and H5, this section do not include the requirement that this housing must include cycle storage facilities that are secure, safe, and very convenient to use.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City                    | 02         | Community Facilities and Safety                     | Detailed Policies  | C3            | Significant risk that simplistic interpretation of Secured by Design principles will conflict with Detailed Policy T1 and Planning Policy Wales, Therefore the RLDP must require new housing developments to be designed around well designed footpaths and cycleways that provide easy access to facilities such as schools, shops and parks etc . In general terms this means they should be safe, direct, wide, well lit, straight, and devoid of hiding places in order |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic                           | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
|            |                    |            |                                 |                   |               | that residents make use of them as soon as they move in.   |                                       |                  |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 03         | Community Facilities and Safety | Detailed Policies | C4            | All new schools must include walking and cycling infrastructure that enables and encourages pupils to travel actively, along with secure cycle storage sized for most pupils. The Council should expand School Streets linked to active travel routes, and schools should offer cycle training and make use of schemes providing free bikes and equipment for pupils who need them.                                |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 04         | Economy                         | Detailed Policies | EC1 - EC10    | Most existing commercial and industrial areas—like industrial estates, retail parks, and recycling depots—lack Active Travel routes. There should be a commitment to providing protected routes to these areas. New employment developments must include infrastructure for walking and cycling, with secure cycle storage for employees and customers, and facilities like showers and clothes storage for staff. |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 05         | Housing                         | Detailed Policies | H1A           | Each of these sites must have detailed requirements of the cycling and walking infrastructure required to ensure they are well connected to the wider networks that permit and encourage Active Travel around the city.  |                                       | Comment noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic                      | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 06         | Housing                    | Detailed Policies | H1B           | Each of these sites must have detailed requirements of the cycling and walking infrastructure required to ensure they are well connected to the wider networks that permit and encourage Active Travel around the city.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 07         | Housing                    | Detailed Policies | H2            | Each of these sites must have detailed requirements of the cycling and walking infrastructure required to ensure they are well connected to the wider networks that permit and encourage Active Travel around the city.  |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 08         | Housing                    | Detailed Policies | H6            | Unlike Sections H4 and H5, this section do not include the requirement that this housing must include cycle storage facilities that are secure, safe, and very convenient to use.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 09         | Retail and Evening Economy | Detailed Policies | R1-R9         | All new retail developments must also provide the infrastructure required to allow employees and the public to access them by walking and cycling. Together, with appropriate cycle storage for both employees and customers, and for employees, clothes storage and showers . |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|--------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 10         | Transport                                 | Detailed Policies  | T1            | <p>Fully support policy. The Council should prioritise a safe, accessible walking and cycling network linking homes to key destinations. Infrastructure must support all users, including those using adaptive bikes and mobility aids. Public land along rivers, parks and the Bay must be protected for walking and cycling, with strong safeguards against loss through development.</p> <p>A new, improved cycle hire scheme is urgently needed, Integration with public transport should include hire options at rail stations and secure storage. Quiet lanes and green routes must be protected from vehicle access and development to support active travel for all.</p>  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 11         | Design                                    | Strategic Policies | SP4           | <p>Require that safe walking, cycling, and public transport infrastructure is fully operational before any development is occupied, enabling sustainable travel from day one. New housing should follow the “15-minute neighbourhood” principle.</p> <p>Secure, convenient cycle storage must be standard in all new homes, a. Low car parking levels should be maintained, with car-free developments encouraged where sustainable transport options are strong, supported by Controlled Parking Zones and Car Clubs. Layouts must prioritise pedestrians, cyclists, and those with limited mobility, avoiding cul-de-sacs and ensuring permeability along natural desire lines. Developments must support cycle hire schemes and contribute to necessary infrastructure. There must also be a clear commitment to secure cycle parking at key destinations and a major increase in visitor cycle stands across public and commercial space.</p> |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 12         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Strategic Policies | SP13          | <p>Cardiff's outlying areas like Ely, Caerau, and Llanrumney lack safe, connected active travel routes, limiting access to jobs and services. These communities often have lower incomes and car ownership, making them more reliant on affordable transport. Investing in cycle paths over roads would improve accessibility, support public health, and promote social equity.</p>  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|--------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 13         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Support principles of policy. Additional housing must always be linked to local facilities and services by Active Travel routes properly designed to allow new residents to access them from day one of occupation.   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 14         | Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Require that safe walking, cycling, and public transport infrastructure is fully operational before any development is occupied, enabling sustainable travel from day one. New housing should follow the “15-minute neighbourhood” principle. Secure, convenient cycle storage must be standard in all new homes, a. Low car parking levels should be maintained, with car-free developments encouraged where sustainable transport options are strong, supported by Controlled Parking Zones and Car Clubs. Layouts must prioritise pedestrians, cyclists, and those with limited mobility, avoiding cul-de-sacs and ensuring permeability along natural desire lines. Developments must support cycle hire schemes and contribute to necessary infrastructure. There must also be a clear commitment to secure cycle parking at key destinations and a major increase in visitor cycle stands across public and commercial space. |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 15         | Transport      | Strategic Policies | SP18          | There is a clear need for more resources and a detailed plan to meet Cardiff’s active travel targets. While recent cycling infrastructure is encouraging uptake, future schemes must be designed to handle growing demand. The council should adopt a more ambitious goal of 30% of journeys by bike by 2030. To achieve meaningful modal shift, the Transport Strategy must not only promote active travel and public transport but also de-incentivise car use through measures like road user charging, parking fees, and filtered permeability. High-quality infrastructure, clean air zones, and low traffic neighbourhoods are essential. Promotion in schools and workplaces, alongside clear signage and journey time indicators, will support uptake. Progress should be tracked through specific modal split targets, with  |                                       | Comments noted.      |

| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|--------------------|------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
|            |                    |            |   |                             |               | milestones and transparent measurement methods.   |                                       |                  |
| 59         | Cardiff Cycle City | 16         | Vision  | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Cycling is central to delivering the Council's Vision. If new development leads to more car travel, it will harm sustainability, public health, air quality, and economic vitality. Cardiff's compact and flat layout makes cycling a practical alternative to driving, especially with the rise of electric bikes. Unlike public transport, cycling offers immediate flexibility and reach, and requires less investment and time to implement. While long-term integration with walking and public transport is important, the Council should clearly commit to making Cardiff the UK's leading cycling city. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 60         | Helen Stewart      | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies           | CP4           | The River Corridors contribute to several of the Plans Objectives and should be definitively protected from further erosion by development and increase protection from flooding.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 60         | Helen Stewart      | 02         | Transport   | Detailed Policies           | T1            | Fulfil the purposes of the Wellbeing and Future Generations Act by increasing provision for walking and cycling and increase green spaces and ensure all opportunities to improve biodiversity are covered. New footpaths should link in to existing to further encourage walking and cycling   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 60         | Helen Stewart      | 03         | Miscellaneous                                       | Miscellaneous               |               | Agree with the submission by the Llandaff Society   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 60         | Helen Stewart      | 04         | Miscellaneous                                       | Miscellaneous               |               | I agree with the comments that have been submitted on behalf of Llandaff Society.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 60         | Helen Stewart | 05         | Miscellaneous                         | Miscellaneous      |               | Amend to restrict the number of planning applications to which a single location maybe subject to which is a waste of time and resources              |                                       | This issue is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan and will require changes to Welsh Government Regulations and guidance. |
| 60         | Helen Stewart | 06         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Future developments to be earmarked on brownfield first to contribute to the retention of as much greenfield and biodiversity as possible.            |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 60         | Helen Stewart | 07         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Specific guidance is lacking re protecting biodiversity, particularly along the river corridors and the Severn Estuary                                |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 60         | Helen Stewart | 08         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Fully address the climate and nature emergencies by protecting existing green spaces and ensure greenspace is distributed evenly throughout the city. |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                        | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|---------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 60         | Helen Stewart                   | 09         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Architectural design should be aesthetically pleasing and coherent with its surroundings as well as being functional.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 60         | Helen Stewart                   | 10         | Heritage and the Historic Environment         | Strategic Policies | SP14          | Heritage buildings and sites should be retained and protected from over development without exception.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 60         | Helen Stewart                   | 11         | Planning Obligations                          | Strategic Policies | SP6           | S106 agreements should be compulsory and be fulfilled at the outset of development not at the end.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 60         | Helen Stewart                   | 12         | Whole Plan                                    | Whole Plan         |               | Policy in the Plan should not be left vague with over reliance on SPG   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 61         | Jenny Rathbone<br>Senedd Member | 01         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies  | RE2           | Efficiency & Cost-Effectiveness: Ground Source Heat Pump (GSHP) are more efficient than Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHPs). Installing them collectively in alleys behind terraced housing could reduce costs and improve energy efficiency for adjacent homes.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 61         | Jenny Rathbone<br>Senedd Member | 02         | Miscellaneous                                 | Miscellaneous      |               | Multi-Use Space: Alleys can serve multiple community purposes—walking, cycling, and urban food growing—while still accommodating GSHPs infrastructure. Safety & Accessibility: To ensure safety, alleys could be opened at dawn and closed at dusk, maintaining controlled access while supporting community and environmental goals. |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                        | Rep Number | Topic                  | Plan Section           | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 61         | Jenny Rathbone<br>Senedd Member | 03         | Housing                |                        | H1A           | SH1.1 I neither support nor object. The main drawback is the lack of public transport. The Redrow development opposite Corpus Christi RC school has generated lots of unwelcome additional cars. There is no dedicated bike path either to Llanishen HS or anywhere else. That would need to change if this goes ahead. At the very least, there would need to be a dedicated bus service to both Llanishen High School and to the Heath train station – by the time this proposed development were built the Bus Bill will have become law, making that connectivity possible. Will all the housing be net zero in line with Building Regs? How will you ensure 30% will be social housing integrated across the scheme? Will the developer be obliged to deposit a sum to guarantee that pledge? |  | Comments noted.  |
| 61         | Jenny Rathbone<br>Senedd Member | 04         | Housing                |                        | H1A           | SH1.6 The lack of public transport would generate lots of unwelcome additional cars. What is the agricultural value of this land? If it is Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land, it will be needed in the future to feed the population of Cardiff.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 61         | Jenny Rathbone<br>Senedd Member | 05         | Housing                |                        | H2            | H2.3 The lack of public transport makes this unsuitable for further development until and unless there is a metro connection.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 62         | Welsh Government                | 01         | Context and Key Issues | Context and Key Issues |               | Need to clarify correct affordable housing from approved LHMA.   |  | This minor change of policy is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes. |
| 62         | Welsh Government                | 02         | Affordable Housing     | Detailed Policies      | H3            | To maximise the supply of affordable homes the Council should consider whether it would be appropriate to allocate sites for affordable housing led developments where at least 50% of homes will be affordable.   | Consider whether it would be appropriate to allocate sites for affordable housing led developments where at least 50% of homes will be affordable. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change       |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
|            |                  |            |                                       |                   |               |  |   | is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 03         | Affordable Housing                    | Detailed Policies | H3            | Need to clarify status of LHMA   |   | This minor change of policy is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.   |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 04         | Affordable Housing                    | Detailed Policies | H3            | Policy H3 does not include an affordable housing target.   | This should be rectified to reflect the 5,000-6,000 target set out in para 6.23. The current status of the LHMA should also be clarified i.e. has it been formally agreed by WG. The LPA need to demonstrate how the delivery of much need affordable homes has been maximised by the growth strategy chosen. | Reference to the affordable housing target in the plan policies is supported and included as a minor change in the Schedule of Minor Changes together with clarification on the status of the LHMA which was approved by Welsh Government in 2025. It is considered that the target for the delivery of affordable homes is appropriate taking into account the landbank of existing sites with planning permission, the Council's Housing Partnership Programme and findings of the Avison Young Viability study which established the viability targets for further housing development. |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 05         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG6           | Policy BG6 Soils is welcomed. Although current allocations involving BMV will be developed, it is the WG view that the authority has so far demonstrated a sensible and pragmatic approach to considering BMV loss in the context of national planning policy and on that basis no objection is offered. |   | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response     |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|----------------------|
| 62         | Welsh Government | 06         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG7           | The Council should be confident that development proposed within and adjoining the Gwent Levels such as H1.1 Land at areas 9-12 St Mellons and the G&T allocation at Pengam Green will not damage or negatively impact on any statutory and non statutory designations, biodiversity and supporting habitats.   |   | Comments noted.      |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 07         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG7           | WG support the principle of Policy BG7: Severn Estuary and Cardiff Beech Woods Recreation Pressure.   | It will be for the authority to evidence how the policy can be implemented in practice. | Support is welcomed. |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 08         | Gypsy and Travellers                  | Detailed Policies | H11           | The WG understand that once improvements have been made at Rover Way this site will accommodate the full 117 pitch need by 2036. The site includes the smaller G&T site previously considered for inclusion in the adopted RLDP which was not considered suitable due to flood risk by Inspectors in 2014. Clarification sought on new G& T site. With the scheme to improve flood defences along the sea wall fronting Pengam Green it will be for the Council in discussion with NRW to evidence significant improvements to flood risk and delivery of both sites at Pengam Green and Rover Way taking into account the requirements of national policy and the current/replacement TAN15 and Flood Maps for Planning. A Phase 2 Strategic Flood Consequences Assessment was undertaken by JBA in 2024. It is for the Council to confirm this position and the issue has been addressed, along with potential implications on the SINC designation, land ownership, delivery timelines and that the site is supported by a transparent and robust site selection process that aligns |   | Comment noted.       |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic                                   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
|            |                  |            |   |                   |               | with the requirements of Circular 005/2018 and any relevant guidance.  |                                       |                  |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 09         | Minerals and Waste                      | Detailed Policies | MW1           | Minerals- the plan has a specified 'Preferred Area of Search' to the west of Ton Mawr Quarry which should be sufficient to meet the identified shortfall in crushed rock. The WG has no objection on this issue. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 10         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources | Detailed Policies | W3            | The plan will need to demonstrate that it is in accordance with the Revised TAN15, specifically the reference to an Infrastructure Plan, thereby ensuring that the development proposed can be delivered.        |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 62         | Welsh Government | 11         | Economy | Strategic Policies | SP1           | No objection to the scale/location of employment allocations.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 12         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | No substantial concerns with the level of homes and jobs proposed which are considered to be in general conformity with Future Wales. |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response     |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|--|---|----------------------|
| 62         | Welsh Government | 13         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | On balance the Deposit Plan, including the scale and distribution of growth is in general conformity with key policies in Future Wales .                           |   | Comments noted.      |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 14         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Delivery & Implementation - the phasing, timing & delivery of sites will be critical to ensure the plan delivers the scale & growth required over the plan period. | The Council need to demonstrate that both individual sites and sites in combination (Including windfall assumptions) are deliverable through a housing trajectory prepared in conjunction with the Housing Stakeholder Group. Statements of Common Ground for key allocations, especially those that have been 'rolled over' from the adopted plan would be advantageous to demonstrate the sites will come forward in the identified timescales. The WG does not object to the housing trajectory but consider it may require clarification. | Comments noted.      |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 15         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The WG supports the spatial strategy which accords with PPW and is in general conformity with Future Wales.  |   | Support is welcomed. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response  |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|---|
| 62         | Welsh Government | 16         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods            | Strategic Policies | SP12          | Need to clarify correct affordable housing from approved LHMA.  |  | This minor change of policy is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.  |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 17         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Welcome the authority's recognition of the importance of the Gwent Levels and its ongoing collaboration with WG, neighbouring authorities and key stakeholders to inform pilot planning guidance for the Levels.  | Once published the guidance must be considered as part of the plan making process and for future applications in the Gwent Levels.   | Comments noted.   |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 18         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Strengthen Policy SP21  | Strengthen Policy SP21 to include 'and enhanced' after maintained.   | This minor change of policy is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.  |
| 62         | Welsh Government | 19         | Cross Boundary                        | Whole Plan         |               | The Council alludes to the strategy having no negative effects on neighbouring authorities but there is limited evidence to support this. Future Wales brings a new perspective that all RLDPs have to embrace on how each RLDP sits within the region as a whole and the relationship to other RLDPs i.e. a strategic approach to cross boundary relationships in advance of the formal commencement of the SDP. | Further evidence is required to demonstrate how regional collaboration has influenced the scale of growth at Cardiff and the relationship to adjoining LAs. More work required to understand how the plan has been developed within the wider regional context and how it will promote and enhance Cardiff's strategic role in the wider region. | Significant cross-boundary collaborative dialogue has taken place to help inform the Plan and the plan specifically helps deliver the vision of Cardiff being at the heart of a thriving city-region and there was a strong consensus for this approach as evidenced by the fact adjoining authorities have raised no objections to the Plan. Dialogue will continue with partners from around the city-region, particularly with the requirement to prepare a Strategic Development Plan for the Cardiff Capital Region. However, a new SDP is not likely to be in place until at least 2031 and RLDPs will remain part of the Development Plan hierarchy. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                 | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section           | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 62         | Welsh Government         | 20         | Whole Plan                            | Whole Plan             |               | The RLDP is in general conformity with Future Wales  |   | Comment noted.   |
| 63         | Penny Owen               | 01         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies      | BG1           | Fails test of soundness of delivery as identifying other locally designated wildlife sites such as SINC's and LNRs will not help maintain the biodiversity of Cardiff as these have no effective legal protection and are being built on already. Parks should be included as wildlife sites and given effective legal protection.                                 | Add text "Parks, SINC's and LNRs make important contributions to maintaining the biodiversity of Cardiff. They will not be built on except in the exceptional circumstances of essential infrastructure necessary for the safe functioning of the city where it has been demonstrated that this essential infrastructure can not be built anywhere else". | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 63         | Penny Owen               | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies      | BG1           | Fails test of soundness of delivery as it will continue to allow green spaces to be built on.  | Add text "Proposed developments on SINC's, LNRs and parks will on be considered for essential infrastructure necessary for the safe functioning of the city where it has been demonstrated the infrastructure can not be built at any other site".  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 63         | Penny Owen               | 03         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Influences on the Plan |               | 4th Bullet point fails the test of soundness as it provides no specific details of how the council will work with residents to develop a strategy with a target of no net loss of biodiversity. The Council does not listen to residents' concerns about the loss of green space and mature trees, requiring resident campaigns to be set up to try and save them. | Add text "Working with residents will include meaningful consultations which incorporate residents' concerns and suggestions into plans for meeting the target of no net loss of biodiversity".   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 64         | Castell y Mynach Estates | 01         | Housing                               | Detailed Policies      | H1A           | SH1.4 Support for allocation SH1.4 (Land South of Llantrisant Road for 300 units.  |   | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                 | Rep Number | Topic                           | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 64         | Castell y Mynach Estates | 02         | Housing                         | Proposals Map     |               | Support for the allocation of Land South of Creigiau as a housing commitment site.   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 65         | Hughes Family            | 01         | Community Facilities and Safety | Detailed Policies | C2            | Recommend that the site remains as unallocated land within the settlement boundary, allowing the opportunity for the site to be redeveloped in the future to meet Cardiff's target of between 19,000 and 30,500 new homes during the Plan period (2021 to 2036), provided the community use can be relocated to a suitable site. |                                       | Comments noted.      |

| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                         | Council Response   |
|------------|----------|------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 66         | RhAG     | 01         | Welsh Language | Detailed Policies | C4            | Raise concerns in relation to Welsh medium education provision: paragraph 7.229 pages 126 - 127 does not align with the strategy. Rather than 50% of all new provision being Welsh medium this should be 100%. Need to review 3 year old education policy, Paragraph 7.231 how capacity for Welsh Medium education is considered needs to be changed, rather than looking at the County as a whole should consider on an area or cluster basis. In order for the vision for the Welsh language to permeate every community in Cardiff, the entire city needs to see the same services - including Welsh education, particularly those areas in the south of the city in the International Sports Village and Tiger Bay - consider there is a need for a WM secondary school in this area. | amend to say 100% of all new school provision is Welsh medium | This issue is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan and will require changes to the Council's current approach to the provision of Welsh Medium education. |
| 66         | RhAG     | 02         | Welsh Language | Detailed Policies | WL1           | Wish for any significant new development in our capital city to ensure that the Welsh language is at the centre and a core part of that development.  |   | Comment noted.   |
| 66         | RhAG     | 03         | Welsh Language | Detailed Policies | WL1           | Plan has a clear vision of where the Welsh language is to be part of the next plan. Sections on Opportunities to grow and maintain the Welsh language, Naming and the Welsh language, The Welsh language and Major Developments, and Impact Assessments on the Welsh language are all sensible and firmly identify important elements that should be part of such a key plan for the capital over the next period. Whilst the narrative about the Welsh language is positive it must correspond with the provision. This must change if we are to contribute to achieving the target of a million or more   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 66         | RhAG     | 04         | Welsh Language | Detailed Policies | WL1           | Support Policy WL1: Welsh in particular Point ii.   |   | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name               | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 66         | RhAG                   | 05         | Welsh Language | Detailed Policies | WL1           | Support the status of the Welsh language in Cardiff as set out on pages 138-141 and the designation of the County as an area of linguistic importance.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 67         | Allied Bakeries        | 01         | Economy        | Detailed Policies | EC3           | The site currently falls within the Cardiff Business Park and Land North of Maes y Coed Road, Llanishen employment designation (EC3.7). However, due to its current use and planning context, it should be removed from this designation, as its inclusion is no longer necessary and contradicts the aims of the designation. The site currently falls within the Cardiff Business Park and Land North of Maes y Coed Road, Llanishen employment designation (EC3.7). However, due to its current use and planning context, it should be removed from this designation, as its inclusion is no longer necessary and contradicts the aims of the designation. |                                       | The representation supports the removal of the site from the boundary of protected employment land EC3 . However, it is not considered appropriate to remove the site at this moment in time, particularly with regard to the existing employment uses on the site and the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. Due to operational changes, should the site become vacant the site during the plan period, any proposed alternative use of the site will be considered against Policy EC5A: Alternative Use of Employment Land and Premises. |
| 68         | Canal Quarter PLH Ltd. | 01         | Economy        | Detailed Policies | EC10          | The Canal Quarter must be supported by appropriate detailed development control policies, e.g. the overall objective of the Canal Quarter may be to make it a vibrant place to visit, work and live and should people decide to live in the area, they should recognise the area is vibrant and sometimes noisy given its city centre location. This should be acknowledged and recognised by appropriate conditions.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name               | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|------------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 68         | Canal Quarter PLH Ltd. | 02         | Objectives         | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Endorse sub heading of Objective 7 to bring forward the Canal Quarter development.   |                                       | Support is welcomed.  |
| 69         | Huw Flynn Hughes       | 01         | Process            | Whole Plan                  |               | No reference to working with higher and further education sectors to achieve the goals set out in the Plan. It should not ignore the massive benefits generated by Cardiff's education sector.                       |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |
| 70         | Nick Carter            | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies           | H3            | Rather than a requirement of 20/30% affordable housing on Brownfield/Greenfield sites can the plan prioritise building enough affordable houses for the people on the waiting list and make this the minimum target. |                                       | The targets are derived from the findings of a housing viability study carried out by Avison Young which assessed the viability of a range of housing scenarios for different development types with varying levels of affordable housing. In order to demonstrate viability and take account of the higher costs associated with the development of brownfield sites the study recommended a two tiered affordable housing target and confirms that affordable housing is viable at 30% on greenfield sites and 20% on brownfield sites. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name    | Rep Number | Topic                         | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|-------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 70         | Nick Carter | 02         | Affordable Housing            | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Rather than a requirement of 20/30% affordable housing on Brownfield/Greenfield sites can the plan prioritise building enough affordable houses for the people on the waiting list and make this the minimum target. |                                       | It should be stressed that the targets are derived from the findings of the housing viability study undertaken by Avison Young which assessed the viability of a range of housing scenarios for different development types with varying levels of affordable housing. In order to demonstrate viability and take account of the higher costs associated with the development of brownfield sites the study recommended a two tiered affordable housing target and confirms that affordable housing is viable at 30% on greenfield sites and 20% on brownfield sites whilst making provision for other 'policy costs' including community infrastructure. |
| 70         | Nick Carter | 03         | Economy                       | Detailed Policies  | EC10          | Agrees with the aim to place music at the heart of Cardiff's future, but further protection is need - suggest that Womanby Street gets specific protection against adjacent new developments.                        |                                       | Comment noted.  |
| 70         | Nick Carter | 04         | Economy                       | Detailed Policies  | EC2           | The proposed new rail station should be for residents of Eastern Cardiff, located to serve them not property developers. The rail station infrastructure must be built first not last.                               |                                       | Comment noted.  |
| 70         | Nick Carter | 05         | Transport                     | Detailed Policies  | T6            | The proposed new rail station should be for residents of Eastern Cardiff, located to serve them not property developers. The rail station infrastructure must be built first not last.                               |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 70         | Nick Carter | 06         | Transport                     | Detailed Policies  | T7            | Please provide specific EV targets that will fulfil demand.  |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 70         | Nick Carter | 07         | Central and Bay Business Area | Strategic Policies | SP8           | Agrees with the aim to place music at the heart of Cardiff's future, but further protection is need - suggest that Womanby Street gets specific protection against adjacent new developments.                        |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 70         | Nick Carter | 08         | Transport                     | Strategic Policies | SP19          | The proposed new rail station should be for residents of Eastern Cardiff, located to serve them not property developers. The rail station infrastructure must be built first not last.                               |                                       | Comments noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                                 | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 70         | Nick Carter                              | 09         | Vision                                    | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Suggests amending the wording of the Vision   | Amend the word 'enhance' to 'prioritise.'  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 01         | Community Facilities and Safety           | Detailed Policies           | C1            | <p>Community facilities should be co-located with green space, health services, food growing, or early years provision where possible, supporting the 15/20-minute neighbourhood model. This promotes wellbeing, sustainable travel, and access for those without cars.</p> <p>The deposit plan lacks a requirement for community engagement in developing these facilities. We recommend embedding this through Health Impact Assessments or local place plans (SP7), ensuring developments reflect local strengths, needs, and priorities.</p>  |  | Comment noted.   |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 02         | Community Facilities and Safety           | Detailed Policies           | C4            | We welcome the support in Para 7.237 for sharing or co-locating school buildings with other services. School playing fields should be accessible to the wider community outside school hours to promote active lifestyles and equitable access to green space. We also encourage co-location with safe walking and cycling routes, School Streets, and food growing areas to support child wellbeing and climate-friendly travel.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 03         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Detailed Policies           | HF1           | Amend paragraph 7.262 to include reference to ' <b>access</b> ' to services, for example, "It reflects the fact that 'the planning system must consider the impacts of new development on existing communities and <b>their access to community, healthcare and social care services</b> and maximise health protection and well-being and safeguard amenity. The expectation that developers engage in pre-application discussions with CAVUHB to determine the need for health care facilities in the development is welcomed. Support the requirement for HIA in residential developments but would like to see further guidance of what constitutes "other developments where there is likely to be a significant impact on health and wellbeing". HIA should also consider cumulative impact across multiple smaller developments in | Amend Para 7.262 to include reference to 'access' to services, for example, "It reflects the fact that 'the planning system must consider the impacts of new development on existing communities and their access to community, healthcare and social care services and maximise health protection and well-being and safeguard amenity" | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                                 | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                                | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|            |  |            |   |                   |               | the same area, and whether they trigger thresholds collectively. Should be further SPG to aid developers in meeting the requirements of this (healthy food retail environment).  |  |  |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 04         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Detailed Policies | HF2           | The deposit plan states that “Residential development will be expected to contribute one statutory allotment plot of 250m² for every 45 dwellings it creates” but later (7.269) refers to a requirement. We would like to see this confirmed as a requirement, not an expectation.   | Rephrase contribution to be a requirement instead of an expectation. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 05         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Detailed Policies | HF3           | Deposit plan states that “All new residential developments intended for permanent or long-term occupation are expected to provide suitable space for on-site food growing by residents ”but later (7.278) refers to a requirement. Would like to see this confirmed as a requirement, not an expectation. Would like to see the further Supplementary Planning Guidance to aid developers in meeting the requirements of this policy .   | Rephrase with required to replace expected in policy wording.        | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 06         | Housing                                   | Detailed Policies | H1A           | The Gas Works Scheme should be considered for a healthcare or multipurpose facility to support over 1,000 new residents from the development, as well as additional smaller schemes in the area. With around 3,000 new residents expected in Butetown by 2026, infrastructure planning must reflect this growth.   |  | Comment noted.   |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 07         | Open Space                                | Detailed Policies | OS2           | Support the Open Space SPG to guide provision of open space, recreation, and play in new developments, and welcome the complementary Play SPG informed by the Ministerial Review and Cardiff’s Play Sufficiency Assessment. The Play SPG should evolve into a wider Child-Friendly SPG, recognising play across streets, public spaces, and movement through the city, drawing on best practice like Hackney’s SPD. Both SPGs should embed inclusive design for neurodiverse children, those with disabilities, and girls, addressing unequal access to play and recreation. |  | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                                 | Rep Number | Topic                      | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed    | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 08         | Retail and Evening Economy | Detailed Policies  | R8            | Concerned about a potential lack of control in limiting hot food takeaways and encouraging healthy options, particularly near schools. We would like to see restrictions on hot food takeaways referenced in the deposit plan, including specific reference to proximity to school settings with further details developed, as a priority, within the Food and Drink Uses SPG.   |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 09         | Transport                  | Detailed Policies  | T7            | Agree that electric vehicles can help with NO2 emissions, but it should be noted in the RLDP that EV's still produce particulate matter which has been linked to serious illness.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 10         | Transport                  | Detailed Policies  | T1            | Support the provisions contained in policy, but note the absence of reference to people living with disabilities and suggest adding 'wheeling' as well as walking and cycling .  | Integrate the term 'wheeling' in policy  | Comments noted.  |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 11         | Transport                  | Detailed Policies  | T6            | Support the provisions contained in policy, but note the absence of reference to people living with disabilities and suggest adding 'wheeling' as well as walking and cycling .  | Integrate the term 'wheeling' in policy. | Comments noted.  |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 12         | Design                     | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Development should also result in a permeable network of distinct streets and other public routes that are appropriate for people moving by foot, cycle, public transport or other vehicle.' In line with Welsh Government Wales Transport Strategy and the sustainable transport hierarchy, it should be made explicit that these routes should be prioritised in this fashion; as currently worded this could allow a permeable network of streets only appropriate for people moving by 'other vehicle' e.g. private car. |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                                 | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 13         | Infrastructure | Strategic Policies          | SP5           | Based on the current location of GP premises across the city and the location of the Strategic Sites identified in the RLDP, likely that there would be a need for additional practices to be developed either within, or in the vicinity / catchment of Strategic Site C (Northwest Cardiff), and Sites F/G (Northeast Cardiff – E&W of Pontprennau). Strategic Sites C and F should include healthcare facilities within multipurpose buildings or through upgrades to nearby GP premises. Sites E and D must provide access to community health and wellbeing services. Site G should be considered alongside Site F, with options like a branch surgery or adapting existing premises to meet demand. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 14         | Transport      | Strategic Policies          | SP18          | Support policy but note the absence of reference to people living with disabilities . Suggest that the plan is explicit that all new active travel infrastructure should meet the statutory Active Travel Design Guidance (as mentioned in T1, 7.402); and also that infrastructure should be built to a specification to ensure resilience as extreme weather events increase, and suggest adding 'wheeling' as well as walking and cycling.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 15         | Transport      | Strategic Policies          | SP19          | Support policy but note the absence of reference to people living with disabilities . Suggest that the plan is explicit that all new active travel infrastructure should meet the statutory Active Travel Design Guidance (as mentioned in T1, 7.402); and also that infrastructure should be built to a specification to ensure resilience as extreme weather events increase, and suggest adding 'wheeling' as well as walking and cycling.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 71         | Cardiff and Vale University Health Board | 16         | Objectives     | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | It should be explicit that the delivery of bus and cycling infrastructure should be high quality infrastructure, which in the case of cycling should meet the Active Travel Design Guidance.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                             | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 72         | Mr Rhys Jones                        | 01         | Housing            | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Population numbers in the Plan are out of date and incorrect. The proposed number of new homes should be increased substantially to account for the dramatically increased migration. This could be achieved without the development of new candidate sites by making it easier to convert terraced housing to blocks of flats within the inner city area, by encouraging greater heights and densities when regenerating areas and by decreasing affordable housing quotas for brownfield sites to make them more commercially viable. This is needed to achieve the existing targets and the more stretching targets that should be set. |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 73         | Reading Room - Engineer Project Team | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Need a more progressive and inclusive definition of affordable housing. Need rent control and how income matches locally to housing not market rate. Urban crisis from a failure to produce funds to ensure provision of necessary services for the city to function and citizens to thrive especially the most vulnerable. Question who the high density affordable housing is for. Need to address potential issues with achieving high quality (80% holes)  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 73         | Reading Room - Engineer Project Team | 02         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Question the differentiation of affordable housing requirements development requirements (e.g., 20% vs. 80%) and the definition of "exceptional circumstances". Note that requirements need to be deliverable. Need to define brownfield and greenfield.   |                                       | It should be stressed that the targets are derived from the findings of the housing viability study undertaken by Avison Young which assessed the viability of a range of housing scenarios for different development types with varying levels of affordable housing. In order to demonstrate viability and take account of the higher costs associated with the development of brownfield sites the study recommended a two tiered affordable housing target and   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                             | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
|            |                                      |            |   |                   |               |   |  | confirms that affordable housing is viable at 30% on greenfield sites and 20% on brownfield sites whilst making provision for other 'policy costs' including community infrastructure.                                 |
| 73         | Reading Room - Engineer Project Team | 03         | Housing   | Detailed Policies | H5            | HMO's - need to define 'high quality standard. Consider there is potential harm to well being, linked to the Well Being and Future Generations Act, need to discuss impact on long term occupiers vs tenants and permanent residential amenity. Need to clarify the process for classifying new HMO's compared to existing. |  | Comments noted.  |
| 73         | Reading Room - Engineer Project Team | 04         | Housing   | Detailed Policies | H7            | Co-living - suggest using the term 'secure' regarding cycle storage and potentially "secure sheltered" housing, noting potential discrimination in language use.  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 73         | Reading Room - Engineer Project Team | 05         | Housing   | Detailed Policies | H1A and H1B   | Need to define strategic and non strategic with a comprehensive definition  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council          | 01         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure               | Detailed Policies | BG4           | Include existing greenfield sites in biodiversity policies.   | Plan must ensure biodiversity net benefit applies to outstanding greenfield sites not just brownfield sites. | Comment noted.   |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council          | 02         | Community Facilities and Safety                     | Detailed Policies | C3            | Creating safe environments requires the police to be consulted on planned developments.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council          | 03         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies | CP1           | Welcome emphasis on countryside protection but suggest it is too late given the amount of greenfield development still taking place.  |  | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response  |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|---|---|
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies | CP1           | Welcome designation of NW Cardiff as countryside to be protected and enhanced.  | Requires definition of how land will be enhanced and the specific protection it will be afforded. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.                        |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 05         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies | CP2           | Confused over statement in paragraph 7.319 which mentions some buildings having a detrimental effect on the character and quality of Cardiff's countryside. We agree, but shouldn't this be something that is controlled by planning. |   | Comments noted.   |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 06         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources             | Detailed Policies | W3            | Cardiff should adopt 1 in 1000 year flood risk standards.   | Plan should adopt 1 in 1000 year flood risk standards.  | The evidence base for the plan has been prepared in accordance with the latest Welsh Government guidance set out in TAN15. This is a requirement to ensure the plan is sound and therefore the proposed change is not considered appropriate. |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 07         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources             | Detailed Policies | W3            | Disappointing the policy references TAN15 (2004) which is over 20 years old.  |   | The revised TAN15 was issued on 31st March 2025 after the Deposit Plan was published. Reference to the revised guidance is incorporated as a minor change in the Schedule of Minor Changes.   |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 08         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability           | Detailed Policies | HF2           | Allotments should be delivered early and be accessible. Concerned if allotments are provided off site and how far is considered acceptable.   |   | Comments noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic                      | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 09         | Retail and Evening Economy | Detailed Policies | R7            | Questions Plasdwr Local Centre's alignment with transport policy. Policy R7 requires new centres on a public transport corridor. Llantrisant Road with a poor bus service hardly qualifies. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 10         | Transport                  | Detailed Policies | T1            | Calls for a fully integrated cycling and pedestrian network.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 11         | Transport                  | Detailed Policies | T2            | Questions the definition and delivery of Bus Rapid Transit.   | Define bus rapid transit.             | Comments noted.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 12         | Transport                  | Detailed Policies | T3            | Taking bikes on a train should be made easier and more convenient.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 13         | Transport                  | Detailed Policies | T5            | The interchange between rail, regular bus services and the coach station should be made easier.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 14         | Transport                  | Detailed Policies | T7            | Queries EV charging provision for terraced/town houses.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed            | Council Response  |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|--|---|
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 15         | Miscellaneous                             | Miscellaneous      |               | Questions the claim that the planning system has a long standing track record in promotion of sustainable development. The greenfield development in NW Cardiff proves otherwise.  |  | Comments noted.   |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 16         | Design                                    | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Welcome commitment to good quality and sustainable design and support the development of public open spaces that feel safe but this has not been applied in NW Cardiff.  | Needs to be applied to NW Cardiff.               | Comments noted.   |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 17         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Strategic Policies | SP13          | Sustainable neighbourhoods that deliver health, well being and resilience require infrastructure and facilities that are currently absent from the ongoing greenfield developments. Facilities are promised for the future, but how long will residents be expected to wait?                                 | Apply policy to ongoing greenfield developments. | Comments noted.   |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 18         | Housing                                   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Support emphasis on regeneration and renewal.  |  | Support is welcomed.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 19         | Housing                                   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Questions the high household growth projections (1,500/year vs 470/year actual from 2011-2021. Criticises reliance on Edge Analytics whose previous projections were overestimated and argues that Cardiff's share of regional growth is disproportionate and may negatively impact other areas in SE Wales. |  | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
|            |                             |            |                |                    |               |  |   | proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound.   |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 20         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Challenges the assumed build rate of 1,600 homes a year, given historical averages are significantly lower.  |   | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 21         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Strongly supports no new greenfield development.   |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 22         | Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Refers to the slow completion and lack of infrastructure in existing greenfield developments and that further greenfield development undermines sustainability goals, threatens biodiversity and green infrastructure and will not address homelessness of housing need. | Provision of infrastructure should apply to new estates in NW Cardiff and be provided in a timely manner. | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response     |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---|----------------------|
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 23         | Masterplanning                                | Strategic Policies | SP3           | Queries how a masterplanning approach applied to existing and future strategic sites will deliver net zero development. Will developments be required to install heat pumps that require a 3m space between properties in Wales and 1m space in England. | Issue should be raised with WG.   | Comments noted.      |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 24         | Masterplanning                                | Strategic Policies | SP3           | Supports high density development and mixed use development along existing public transport corridors.   |   | Support is welcomed. |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 25         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Strategic Policies | SP20          | Welcome the sentiments but a condition should be applied to existing greenfield sites under construction to not place an additional de carbonisation burden on the city.   | Apply policy to ongoing greenfield developments.  | Comments noted.      |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 26         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods                    | Strategic Policies | SP12          | Sustainable neighbourhoods are currently absent from the ongoing greenfield developments. Facilities are promised for the future, but how long will residents be expected to wait?   | Apply policy to ongoing greenfield developments.  | Comments noted.      |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 27         | Tourism                                       | Strategic Policies | SP10          |  |   | Comments noted.      |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 28         | Transport                                     | Strategic Policies | SP19          | Question vague commitments like 'aspirations' for NW corridor connectivity.  | Plan needs more than aspiration to better connect the communities of Llantrisant, Talbot Green and Cardiff. | Comments noted.      |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic         | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 29         | Objectives    | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Recommends stronger use of S106 agreements and early community involvement in sustainable design and placemaking.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 30         | Whole Plan    | Whole Plan                  |               | Supports most of the objectives but has serious concerns about implementation and delivery.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 74         | St Fagans Community Council | 31         | Whole Plan    | Whole Plan                  |               | Object to the release of any further greenfield sites across the city. No further releases are necessary and the city will benefit from the regeneration of brownfield sites. |   | Comments noted.  |
| 75         | Pip Beattie                 | 01         | Proposals Map | Proposals Map               | H2.2          | Areas within H2.2 Whitchurch Hospital are dormouse habitat mitigation areas from the Northern Meadows development. These should be removed from areas for housing.            | Remove areas of H2.2 which are dormouse mitigation areas, so not to be used for housing development | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 75         | Pip Beattie                | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Existing policies aimed at protecting green spaces, nature and wildlife are totally ineffective. Green spaces need unbreakable protection. Any protection offered by these policies is pointless as you allow mitigation. Mitigation is impossible when you destroy nature and cut down trees.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 75         | Pip Beattie                | 03         | Housing                               | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Cannot keep building on green spaces on a planet of finite resources. We need different ideas to house everyone at an affordable level.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 75         | Pip Beattie                | 04         | Transport                             | Strategic Policies | SP18          | Public transport is not reliable or frequent enough, forcing people in to cars. Cycle paths are useless or ridiculous.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 75         | Pip Beattie                | 05         | Process                               | Whole Plan         |               | Process is too difficult for average people to understand, plan is too long with too many policies.   | Consultation process should be changed to ask residents what they'd like to see in the city.   | Comments noted.  |
| 76         | Land Securities Properties | 01         | Retail and Evening Economy            | Detailed Policies  | R2            | Support the change from Central Shopping Area to Central Retail and Commercial Area. R2 as drafted would support regeneration and repurposing proposals for appropriate town centre uses.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 76         | Land Securities Properties | 02         | Retail and Evening Economy            | Detailed Policies  | R2            | Paragraph 7.159 contains a list of use classes which are considered likely to be acceptable in the City Centre. However this list is unhelpful as it is not exhaustive and may present a problem from a development management perspective in due course. Certain use classes are left out such as C6 which may also be appropriate in the city centre. | Either expand the range of uses to include C6 and Sui Generis or delete it all together. Alternatively reword the text to state that the list is not exhaustive. | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic                                    | Plan Section         | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|--|----------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 76         | Land Securities Properties | 03         | Retail and Evening Economy               | Detailed Policies    | R3            | Believe that because the geographic extent of Policy R2 and R3 substantially overlap, the two draft policies appear to be pulling in opposite directions. R3 as drafted fails to distinguish between primary and secondary areas and would fail Test 2 (Is the Plan appropriate).   | Request that the supporting text to R3 at paragraph 7.166 is amended to omit specific reference to Use Class A2 and A3. As it stands paragraph 7.166 suggests that uses including A2 and A3 could be considered appropriate in the Protected Shopping Frontage. Whilst this is appropriate, there is a wider range of other uses that would be acceptable in the town centre. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 76         | Land Securities Properties | 04         | Central and Bay Business Area            | Strategic Policies   | SP8           | SP8 appears to have been rolled forward from the adopted RLDP, however as the context of the Bay Business Area and the Central Business Area are now so unique - these two areas cannot be addressed under one policy.  | Request a new policy which specifically focuses on the City Centre  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 76         | Land Securities Properties | 05         | Masterplanning                           | Strategic Policies   | SP3           | Generally support the concept, however it is not always possible in a city centre location. Within the Central Retail and Commercial Area - we request that the threshold is increased from 100 homes to 300 and that a commercial floorspace threshold is introduced at 2,000sq.m. | Increase threshold from 100 to 300 homes and add a commercial floorspace threshold at 2,000sq.m   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 76         | Land Securities Properties | 06         | Transport                                | Strategic Policies   | SP18          | The policy should be amended in order to balance varying emerging RLDP objectives in the consideration of proposals seeking to alter existing transportation infrastructure as well in formulating the spatial strategy to encourage sustainable modes.                             | Insert additional bullet point under SP18 to read: xiii Maintain and enhance the role of the Central Commercial Area as a focus for employment, retail, leisure, cultural and tourism.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 76         | Land Securities Properties | 07         | Retail and Leisure Assessment (Jan 2023) | Supporting Documents |               | Wholly support the recommendations for the study - in particular defining the role of the city centre more broadly and flexibly than as being purely for shopping activities.   |   | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic                                      | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|--|-----------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 76         | Land Securities Properties | 08         | Objectives                                 | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Support the aims of Objective 7 - in particular bullet point 5 regarding a presumption for mixed uses and further investment alongside the key retail role.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales   | 01         | Alternative Housing Site                   | Alternative Housing Site    |               | Goitre Fawr (Site 61) and Plasdŵr Uchaf (Site 23)—have been submitted as strategic extensions to Plasdŵr, building on existing and planned infrastructure in North West Cardiff. Together, they offer three new neighbourhoods masterplanned around placemaking principles. Goitre Fawr (c. 1,000 dwellings) is a designated flexibility site, ready for immediate development with infrastructure already in place along Llantrisant Road. Plasdŵr Uchaf (c. 1,800 dwellings) comprises Trewern and Crofft y Genau (c. 900 dwellings each), positioned around the Ty Du Moor SSSI and well-placed to benefit from the South Wales Metro. Both sites are suitable, unconstrained, and align with the RLDP's placemaking goals. Their omission risks undermining the plan's coherence and its ability to meet housing needs, potentially failing the "appropriateness" and "deliverability" soundness tests. | Add Candidate Site 61 Goitre Fawr and Site 23 Plasdŵr Uchaf into the policy as Strategic Housing Site Allocations. | The representation supports the inclusion of a greenfield site not included in the Deposit RLDP. Having considered the policy context, Plan strategy and other relevant material factors, it is concluded there is no demonstrable need to include the site in the Plan. |
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales   | 02         | Health, well being and Food Sustainability | Detailed Policies           | HF2           | Supports community gardening and local food production, but concern that this policy is more onerous than current guidance and may affect the viability of residential developments by requiring more space for allotments. This adds to cumulative policy burdens, reducing developable land and impacting deliverability. The Council must fully consider the combined effect of these requirements, including s106, building regulations, and SuDS. Further clarification is needed on why Cardiff Council has changed its approach to allotments, especially for strategic sites. RLDP does not clearly justify the need for this policy or provide sufficient supporting evidence. As such, the plan may not meet the second test of soundness.  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                 | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales | 03         | Health, well being and Food Sustainability    | Detailed Policies | HF3           | Policy is unclear whether additional food growing space is being required over and above other public open space and allotment policy requirements. Concern that this approach adds to the increased cumulative policy requirements on new allocations. This in turn reduces the net development area available on sites and the deliverability of development being required over and above other public open space and allotment policy requirements.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales | 04         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | Aspiration for Net Zero Homes is something that is better delivered through building regulations. The use of building regulations provides a greater degree of certainty for all parties. It will be important that there is some flexibility applied to any Net Zero policy to take account of viability considerations and balance it against competing policy objectives.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales | 05         | Open Space                                    | Detailed Policies | OS2           | Supports the principle in paragraph 7.454 that new residential developments should provide open space based on population, using a standard of 2.43 hectares per 1,000 people. However, they believe the policy should explicitly reflect the flexibility mentioned in the supporting text, to account for changing recreational trends. While traditional sports remain important, there is growing demand for informal activities like running, cycling, and yoga. This shift highlights the need for adaptable open spaces that serve a broader range of community interests. |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales | 06         | Retail and Evening Economy                    | Detailed Policies | R6 and R7     | The shift in consumer behaviour and rise of online shopping means retail floorspace must adapt. The RLDP should reflect the need for flexible, multi-functional spaces and acknowledge reductions in traditional retail floorspace where appropriate. This ensures centres remain vibrant and responsive to community needs. The failure to address this issue means the RLDP does not meet the second test of soundness ("Is the plan appropriate?").   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                 | Rep Number | Topic     | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales | 07         | Transport | Detailed Policies  | T2            | Should be recognition in policy that new developments will be supported that are well located in relation to existing Strategic Bus Corridors. Plasdŵr has amongst other items funded major improvements to the Llantrisant Road strategic bus corridor which in turn has created the capacity for additional development to come forward at Goitre Fawr and Plasdŵr Uchaf.  |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales | 08         | Transport | Detailed Policies  | T6            | Supports Policy and highlights that Plasdŵr Uchaf can contribute to the South Wales Metro, improving access and connectivity in North West Cardiff. The proposed neighbourhoods—Trewern and Crofft y Genau—are mixed-use and centred around the future Metro route, with potential for a new station and community hub. Together with Goitre Fawr, the site supports integration with Metro, active travel links, and the Llantrisant Road Strategic Bus Corridor.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales | 09         | Design    | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Policy is too detailed and should be simplified to key requirements. Instead, the additional information should be set out in the supporting text as advice or alternatively in SPG.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 77         | Redrow Homes South Wales | 10         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The Council's proposed growth option of 1,600 dwellings per year is considered inadequate to meet Cardiff's needs as a capital city and regional economic driver, falling short of national policy aims and the CCR City Deal. This level of housing would not support current employment growth or address the urgent need for more affordable homes, meaning the Deposit Plan fails the first two tests of soundness. Recommends a minimum of 1,850 homes per year, plus a 10% flexibility allowance, resulting in a total supply of around 2,775 homes annually. This higher figure is seen as both ambitious and achievable, reflecting pre-recession delivery rates, the readiness of strategic sites, and the need to support employment and affordable housing. |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |                               |            |         |                   |               |  |                                       | considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound.  |
| 78         | Velindre NHS Trust and CAVUHB | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | Request that the South West parcel (4.3ha) is excluded from any development allocation as it is not available for development due to requirements of the European Protected Species Licence. Suggest that the site could either be allocated as 2 separate residential allocations consistent with the candidate site representations in terms of capacity under H1 as non strategic sites H1.3 Whitchurch Hospital 270 units 18.1ha and H1.4 Existing Velindre Cancer Centre 140 units 3.98ha. The Policy justification could then state that both of these sites are anticipated to include an element of mixed use including community, commercial and /or healthcare or alternatively H2 Housing Led Regeneration Areas supporting text could H2.2 be elaborated to state that 'where high density development cannot be achieved due to site constraints development densities should be maximised and robustly justified. In terms of affordable housing, again it could elaborate that 'in cases where the 20% affordable housing contribution cannot be met due to site constraints and/or viability issues it will need to be fully justified in accordance with the requirements of policy H3 and national planning guidance'. This would give greater flexibility to help to deliver these two sites. |                                       | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 78         | Velindre NHS Trust and CAVUHB | 02         | Housing   | Proposals Map     |               | Request that the South West parcel (4.3ha) is excluded from any development allocation as it is not available for development due to requirements of the European Protected Species Licence. Suggest that the site could either be allocated as 2 separate residential allocations consistent with the candidate site representations in terms of capacity under H1 as non strategic sites H1.3 Whitchurch Hospital 270 units 18.1ha and H1.4 Existing Velindre Cancer Centre 140 units 3.98ha. The Policy justification could then state that both of these sites are anticipated to include an element of mixed use including community, commercial and /or healthcare or alternatively H2 Housing Led Regeneration Areas supporting text could H2.2 be elaborated to state that 'where high density development cannot be achieved due to site constraints development densities should be maximised and robustly justified. In terms of affordable housing, again it could elaborate that 'in cases where the 20% affordable housing contribution cannot be met due to site constraints and/or viability issues it will need to be fully justified in accordance with the requirements of policy H3 and national planning guidance'. This would give greater flexibility to help to deliver these two sites. | Amend the Proposals Map               | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan. |
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth  | 01         | Air, Noise, Light Pollution and Contaminated Land | Detailed Policies | PC1           | The RLDP needs to be explicit about how contaminated legacy sites will be analysed for their risk to human health and nature. The RLDP should include detailed strategies and specified actions to identify and remediate new contaminated and legacy sites and to prevent polluters now and in the future from damaging land, water and nature and the well-being of people affected by these contaminants.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth  | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure             | Detailed Policies | BG1           | The RLDP should make it clear that Council will not approve development applications that damage Sites of Scientific Interest (SSSIs) and Sites of Interest for Nature Conservation (SINCs), including those that meet the criteria for SINC designation, but not yet designated. We ask that the RLDP protects brownfield sites which have high value for biodiversity.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                     | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth | 03         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure         | Detailed Policies | BG2           | The RLDP should implement protected nature corridors between parks, rivers, recreational areas and green infrastructure that would allow migration/distribution of animals, reptiles, invertebrates, aquatic species and plants to ensure biodiversity protection and opportunities to diversify gene pools. |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth | 04         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources       | Detailed Policies | W2            | The RLDP should set measurable targets and a binding timetable for "progressive reduction" of pollutants entering rivers and groundwater   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth | 05         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources       | Detailed Policies | W3            | The RLDP, in focussing on regulation of new developments and growth should include more detailed, robust and timetabled plans for delivering flood mitigation to existing communities, particularly those in the Southern Arc.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth | 06         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | The RLDP should give priority to insulating homes to a high standard, particularly those in the Cardiff districts which have high levels of fuel poverty.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth | 07         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | Solar panels and heat pumps should be required for all new developments subject to building regulations.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth | 08         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | Whole Life Carbon Assessments should be routinely applied to inform development, redevelopment and growth decisions with implications for Cardiff reaching net zero by 2050. Whole Life Carbon Assessments should inform decisions balancing the protection of heritage and development.                     |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                     | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth | 09         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Strategic Policies | SP20          | RLDP needs to clearly specify: How strong, fair and green Cardiff is now at baseline; How sustainability will be measured, monitored and evaluated; How accountability will be apportioned; How policy and standards will be enforced and how those will be sanctioned; How those who comply will be encouraged and rewarded; How policies and strategies implemented will be budgeted and budgetary decisions will be made, scrutinised and revised; How priorities will be decided; Given tensions between sustainability and short and long term financial commitments will arise, how these will be resolved and against what criteria. |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 79         | Cardiff Friends of the Earth | 10         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Strategic Policies | SP20          | RLDP should clearly specify an enforceable timetable and scrutiny process for achieving a Carbon Neutral City by 2030 and for reviewing evidence that the Council is implementing the OPC strategy for tackling climate and nature crises in Cardiff.   |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 80         | Mudiad Meithrin              | 01         | Welsh Language                                | Detailed Policies  | C4            | Emphasises the importance of accessible and affordable Welsh medium childcare to encourages uptake and normalise bilingual education.   | Recommends including Cylch Meithrin provision in all new developments to support bilingualism from early years. | Comment noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name        | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 80         | Mudiad Meithrin | 02         | Welsh Language                                    | Detailed Policies  | C4            | Cites the Groes-wen Cylch Meithrin in Plasdwr as a successful model and recommends replicating this in other strategic sites.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 80         | Mudiad Meithrin | 03         | Welsh Language                                    | Detailed Policies  | C4            | Criticises the 50% Welsh medium school provision target as insufficient to meet WESP 2022-2032 goals and calls for more Welsh medium schools in new developments.   |                                       | This issue is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan and will require changes to the Council's current approach to the provision of Welsh Medium education. |
| 80         | Mudiad Meithrin | 04         | Welsh Language                                    | Strategic Policies | SP15          | Welcomes Cardiff's designation as an area of linguistic significance and the intention to grown and retain numbers of Welsh speaks in relation to housing, work and community facility developments                                 |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 81         | Sheryn Edwards  | 01         | Air, Noise, Light Pollution and Contaminated Land | Detailed Policies  | PC1           | Polluting uses are concentrated in Splott. Need strategy due to risks to people and health. Last census showed Splott had a lower life expectancy than the rest of the city - how will the RLDP increase life expectancy in Splott? |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 81         | Sheryn Edwards  | 02         | Community Facilities and Safety                   | Detailed Policies  | C1            | Splott area has little growth in terms of shops and community facilities. No meeting places for people or cultural events to enjoy or participate in.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name       | Rep Number | Topic                | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed             | Council Response  |
|------------|----------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|---|
| 81         | Sheryn Edwards | 03         | Gypsy and Travellers | Detailed Policies | H11           | Gypsy site is too large and current services such as GPs, schools, dentists, traffic and noise could not support it | Reduce size and scale of Gypsy and Traveller site | As set out in the Deposit RLDP the provision of a temporary site will enable work to progress on firming up proposals to improve and extend the existing Rover Way Gypsy and Traveller site to meet the need for pitches identified in the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA). There are strong reasons to support the proposed size of the site although it is acknowledged the site would be of a large scale in a Wales context and above recommended site size in national guidance. It is important to note the guidance also states that local authorities may consider it necessary to be flexible by allowing more pitches on a site when taking into account local circumstances and the current level of need. Furthermore, the Council's experience in operating the Shirenewton site of 59 pitches has demonstrated that large sites can be very effectively managed providing both benefits for the Gypsy and Traveller community and enabling the effective delivery of supporting services. The site allows for a logical phased development where pitches can be tailored to family groupings and can be provided in a managed and orderly manner whilst integrating the provision of supporting facilities. There has also been a comprehensive engagement exercise undertaken with the Gypsy and Traveller community and the site is generally welcomed as an opportunity to improve the existing Rover Way Gypsy and Traveller site and meet the need for new pitches |

| Rep Number | Rep Name        | Rep Number | Topic             | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
|            |                 |            |                   |                    |               |  |                                       | within the city. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored. |
| 81         | Sheryn Edwards  | 04         | Transport         | Detailed Policies  | T1            | Splott is used as a rat run to avoid congestion on Rover Way. No cycle paths, one way systems or traffic calming that other parts of the city have.  |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 81         | Sheryn Edwards  | 05         | Placemaking Plans | Strategic Policies | SP7           | Splott area has too many social housing sites that use any vacant buildings to provide housing for single people. Housing should be for families and housing provision does not meet local need. We need a place plan. | Introduce Place Plans.                | Comments noted.   |
| 82         | Margaret Knight | 01         | Housing           | Detailed Policies  | H1A           | Regarding Land South of Creigiau, housing commitments 14 +15 and Strategic Sites SH1.4 and SH1.b - be delivered to include green corridors?  |                                       | Comment noted.  |
| 82         | Margaret Knight | 02         | Housing           | Detailed Policies  | H2            | Housing led regeneration areas seems a sensible idea.  |                                       | Comments noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name        | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 82         | Margaret Knight | 03         | Minerals and Waste                                  | Detailed Policies  | MW7           | What are the implications for the Limestone Safeguarding Area (MW7) that surrounds Creigiau?   |                                       | Further information on this matter is presented in the Background Technical Paper Number 6 relating to Minerals which is available as a supporting document to the plan. |
| 82         | Margaret Knight | 04         | Transport   | Detailed Policies  | T1            | Concerns about the lack/slow development of infrastructure to support large housing developments. Specifically the lack of cycle access to/from Creigiau to link with other cycleways.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 82         | Margaret Knight | 05         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Miscellaneous      |               | Support the vision and in particular the preservation of the Green Wedge, River Valleys, Open Spaces together with the minimal use of greenfield sites.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 82         | Margaret Knight | 06         | Miscellaneous                                       | Miscellaneous      |               | Support the tightening of planning controls to require net benefits to biodiversity, demanding renewable and low carbon energy development, providing support for play and informal recreation together with the creation of an environment for the Welsh Language and our cultural and historical assets to thrive. |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 82         | Margaret Knight | 07         | Placemaking Plans                                   | Strategic Policies | SP7           | Placemaking plans is a sensible idea   |                                       | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name  | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section                  | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|---|------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 83         | National Grid Electricity Distribution (South West) Plc | 01         | Infrastructure                                      | Proposals Map                 |               | <p>NGED does not object to land allocations that include its infrastructure, but emphasises the importance of safeguarding existing grid assets in light of the UK's Net Zero 2050 goals. Retaining infrastructure helps meet future energy demands and preserves embodied carbon.</p> <p>Local Planning Authorities are encouraged to engage early with NGED during plan-making to assess grid capacity and explore options for retaining high-voltage overhead lines. Where retention isn't possible, early discussions should establish feasible routes for diversion or undergrounding. For larger or strategically significant sites—especially those with multiple pylons—NGED recommends early masterplanning and the use of Supplementary Planning Documents to confirm site capacity and guide infrastructure integration, including provision for new substations where needed.</p> |  | Comments noted.  |
| 84         | Lightwood Strategic                                     | 01         | Alternative Housing Site                            | Alternative Housing Site      |               | Consider that there are several under utilised Candidate sites that could bridge the supply gap however it is considered that Candidate Site 62 land to the East and West of Thornhill Road and North and South of Capel Gwilym Road is also needed to be allocated in the Plan.  | Candidate Site 62 land to the East and West of Thornhill Road and North and South of Capel Gwilym Road should be a housing allocation in the plan. | The representation supports the inclusion of a greenfield site not included in the Deposit RLDP. Having considered the policy context, Plan strategy and other relevant material factors, it is concluded there is no demonstrable need to include the site in the Plan. |
| 84         | Lightwood Strategic                                     | 02         | Monitoring and Implementation                       | Monitoring and Implementation |               | Consider para to be inadequate given the Changes to the Planning System in respect of the introduction of a sub regional tier of plan making. Section 8 should introduce and summarise the role of the South East Wales SDP, its timetable and in broad terms how this will shape the next review of planning policy affecting Cardiff (beyond a review of Future Wales 2040). Should also introduce an RLDP Lite and its limited scope compared to the full RLDP   |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 84         | Lightwood Strategic                                     | 03         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies            | SP16          | Consider the Council should have assessed whether there are any exceptional circumstances to identify land within the Green Wedge for development before the SDP is in place.   |  | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 84         | Lightwood Strategic       | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | The justification of rolling forward the Green Wedge policy rests on the Council's position that there is no housing land supply shortfall. Lightwood's comments on SP1 challenge the soundness of this position. If the SP1 case isn't accepted consider the site to be assessed as a strategic site as part of the South East Wales SDP, consider the Thornhill Site can play a dual growth and management role. If SP1 case is accepted the examination process should reappraise the principle of a green wedge as it will be weakened and candidate sites in the Green Wedge will need to be assessed in the round.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 84         | Lightwood Strategic       | 05         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The supply based housing requirement for Cardiff is too low and represents a less progressive policy on approach than will be experienced by Bristol, the West of England and England. Do not consider it good policy for Cardiff, or an appropriate or sound one, as it will see Cardiff perform less well than competing locations. Consider that the minimum housing requirement of the RLDP should be 28,400, the minimum supply figure should be 31,020, and another 4,620 homes should be identified as part of the current plan-making process. The plan should be more forward thinking, as in England, and look forward by at least 15 years to 2041, which would put it more on a par with the current National Spatial Strategy (Future Wales 2040). This would require another 5-year of supply to be identified. It is not appropriate for Cardiff to be planning for relatively lower annual additional housing supply than the West of England, or to be planning for a less strategic period. In Lightwood's assessment, the proposed housing requirement is not in tune with Policy 33 of Future Wales 2040 and its objectives for Cardiff within the SE Wales National Growth Area. | Amend the housing requirement - the minimum housing requirement of the RLDP should be 28,400, the minimum supply figure should be 31,020, and another 4,620 homes should be identified as part of the current plan-making process | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 01         | Affordable Housing                                  | Detailed Policies  | H3            | A further issue is that the proposed housing figure and associated affordable housing requirement set out in Policy H3 result in a significant under delivery of affordable homes against the need identified in the LHMA. One way the plan could help to reduce this would be to allocate more sites for new homes.  |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 02         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources   | Detailed Policies | W1            | The HBF are unsure how these policies requirements differ from the requirements of SuDS which are a separate statutory requirement, so do not need to be repeated in this policy. Also, PPW12 requires submission of a drainage strategy as part of the green infrastructure statement for larger developments, so such issues would already be part of the planning application process. Further many of the issues identified in the policy are covered by policies W2 & W3.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 03         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Detailed Policies | HF3           | The HBF considers that currently this is a confusing and vague policy as the wording talks about all new residential developments, yet the supporting text at para 7.278 references 'appropriate residential development' what does this mean how is it defined? Who will be responsible for the management of these spaces, will they be adopted by the Council? Will there be a requirement to do this in addition to the requirements of Policy HF2 or will it be one or the other? All these questions and unknowns will cause delivery problems for developers. |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 04         | Housing                                       | Detailed Policies | H1B           | The HBF notes that the plan only allocates two non-strategic sites and these are still large site, leaving SME builders brown field sites with the urban boundary as the only opportunity to deliver new homes. This effectively limits the number of developers available and does not help with the plan's deliverability. Should during the remaining plan making process the opportunity arise to allocate additional sites consideration should be given to including some smaller sites of below 50 units. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 05         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | As stated in the supporting text 'PPW enables Local Planning Authorities to assess strategic sites to identify opportunities to require higher sustainable building standards (including zero carbon) where requirements are demonstrated to be evidence-based and viable. The HBF does not consider sites of 100 units are strategic, so this requirement should only apply to the larger strategic allocations as listed in policy H1A.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 06         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | The HBF question how financial contributions to support carbon reduction projects will this be calculated, we are not aware of any commonly used calculation method for such a payment. This uncertainty is likely to lead to a delay in development delivery.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 07         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies  | RE2           | Para. 7.472 states: PPW...specifies the current sustainable building standards in Wales, This is not correct these words are not used in PPW.   |                                       | This minor change of policy is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.   |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 08         | Welsh Language                                | Detailed Policies  | WL1           | The HBF objects to the use of the phrase 'where relevant' as there is no clarification in the policy or supporting text of what this means. This makes the policy vague and could lead to delays in development delivery.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 09         | Key Diagram                                   | Key Diagram        |               | No new areas of housing growth are identified on the key diagram, most of the symbols are identify 'protection of' areas. This is a plan for the future so should show proposed areas of growth as well as constraints.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 10         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure         | Strategic Policies | SP21          | National policy requires developers as part of an application to submit a Green Infrastructure Statement [GIS] not a Green Infrastructure Assessment.   |                                       | This minor change of policy is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.   |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 11         | Housing                                       | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The HBF considers that the proposed strategy to provide 26,400 units lacks aspiration with regard to the Cardiff's status as the Capital City of Wales and its role as identified by the National Plan. One of the reasons given for not having a higher housing requirement is |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the                                |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |                           |            |         |                    |               | deliverability, however, the proposed housing supply figure of 24,000 equates to an annual delivery rate of 1,600 well below the results from the latest survey, relating to the year 1st April 2023 to 31st March 2024, indicate that 2,641 homes were under construction. Further the Councils own housing trajectory [Appendix7] based on information gained from developers, indicates a annual delivery rate in excess of 2,000 per year for the next six years of the plan. This would indicate that the argument made in the plans supporting documents that a higher rate would be undeliverable is incorrect. |                                       | overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound.   |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 12         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The HBF considers that the 10% flexibility figure should be increased to 20% based on the past under delivery of the plan and the brownfield strategy. The homes being provided on green field sites are already commitments, so the greater flexibility is required as a result of the additional homes proposed being mainly on brownfield sites. At para. 5.13 these brownfield sites are described as 'major regeneration and renewal areas' experience has shown that these types of sites are often the hardest and take the longest to bring forward, a number in the current plan have failed to come forward. |                                       | There is no set flexibility figure required for housing supply within development plans. However, the current adopted RLDP includes a flexibility allowance of 10% which was supported by the Inspectors at the examination of the RLDP and is considered appropriate for city . It is good practice to allow for unknowns and the need for flexibility within a development plan is inherent from Welsh Government guidance. In Cardiff's case provision has been made to deliver an additional 10% flexibility allowance which is considered appropriate to enable to plan to cope with uncertainties that may arise over the plan period. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic                               | Plan Section         | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 13         | Infrastructure                      | Strategic Policies   | SP5           | The policy correctly states that any infrastructure requirements will be 'as a consequence of the development' however it then goes on to say 'meet the needs of existing communities' which would contradict the previous statement and suggests that developers could be asked to provide infrastructure which is to help resolve existing issues. HBF requests the wording is amended to avoid confusion.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 14         | Infrastructure                      | Strategic Policies   | SP5           | HBF does not agree that 'Social value such as local employment and training including replacement employment opportunities and affordable workspace where relevant.' is necessary Infrastructure as its requirement is not a direct result of building new homes. This wording should be removed from the policy, it could be referred to in the supporting text instead where it could be described as something that the Council will work with developers to deliver. |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 15         | Infrastructure                      | Strategic Policies   | SP5           | SuDS is covered by separate legislation and is required on all developments so does not need to be included in the policy.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 16         | Natural Resources                   | Strategic Policies   | SP22          | HBF are not aware of any national policy requirement to secure improvements to water quality and would therefore suggest that this requirement is removed from the policy.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 17         | Background Technical Paper Number 1 | Supporting Documents |               | Para. 4.6 Explains the reason for applying an additional discount to the number of homes expected to be delivered by the site, a figure which feeds into the landbank. There is no explanation as to why a similar additional discount has not been applied to any of the other large strategic sites. The HBF considers that both SuDS and more recently increased national policy requirements relating to   |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic                               | Plan Section         | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|            |                           |            |                                     |                      |               | Biodiversity are likely to reduce the developable area on sites leading to a reduction in the number of homes delivered and accordingly a reduced landbank.  |  | the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored.  |
| 85         | House Builders Federation | 18         | Background Technical Paper Number 1 | Supporting Documents |               | In the completions and landbank part of the table has the 20% reduction for the strategic site C. Also, in row 6 why two different figures. 16,654 in text in brackets but then 15,088 in final column. HBF requests clarification on the residual Balance figure no.24 in the table as there appears to be double counting identified in paragraph 7.3 relating to changes in existing stock (demolitions/ change of use) which has already been allowed for at no.13 in the table. |  | The difference between the two figures takes account of the housing trajectory homes with 16,654 total homes in the landbank and 15,088 of these targeted to be completed during the plan period. I confirm there is no double counting of changes relating to the existing stock (demolitions/changes of use) the reduced figure in row 24 incorporates the anticipated build rate in the housing trajectory. An updated table will be prepared to inform consideration of the matter further at the examination.   |
| 86         | ABP                       | 01         | Housing                             | Detailed Policies    | H2            | Object to site allocation H2.4 Roath Dock (North Side).  | Delete H2.4 Roath Dock (North Side) from RLDP. The proposed allocation is not deliverable as ABP has no intention to dispose of the land for housing in the plan period and the allocation conflicts with MW6, the RTS 2nd Review (2020) and PPW paras (5.14.8 and 5.14.9 and is also an incompatible development with the operational dock. | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan and any subsequent outcome as a result of implementation. The comments are noted and a statement of common ground will be prepared to help inform considerations around this issue at the forthcoming examination. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|----------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 86         | ABP      | 02         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.5 Strongly object to Porth Teigr & Alexandra Head boundary. Redraw boundary to exclude land that falls in ABP ownership and buffer land immediately adjacent to the boundary of the operational port. The quantum of development the residual area can viability deliver should be reviewed and is recommended a high level masterplan is prepared to consider all constraints in and adjacent to the site to determine development capacity in advance of the submission of the RLDP to WG so that all stakeholders, including ABP are engaged in the process. | Redraw boundary to exclude land that falls in ABP ownership and buffer land immediately adjacent to the boundary of the operational port.  | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan and any subsequent outcome as a result of implementation. The comments are noted and a statement of common ground will be prepared to help inform considerations around this issue at the forthcoming examination. |
| 86         | ABP      | 03         | Minerals and Waste | Detailed Policies | MW6           | Support MW6 for Sand Wharf Protection.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 86         | ABP      | 04         | Housing            | Proposals Map     |               | Object to site allocation H2.4 Roath Dock (North Side).  | Delete H2.4 Roath Dock (North Side) from RLDP. The proposed allocation is not deliverable as ABP has no intention to dispose of the land for housing in the plan period and the allocation conflicts with MW6, the RTS 2nd Review (2020) and PPW paras (5.14.8 and 5.14.9 and is also an incompatible development with the operational dock. | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan and any subsequent outcome as a result of implementation. The comments are noted and a statement of common ground will be prepared to help inform considerations around this issue at the forthcoming examination. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic                               | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 86         | ABP      | 05         | Housing                             | Proposals Map      |               | H2.5 Strongly object to Porth Teigr & Alexandra Head boundary. Redraw boundary to exclude land that falls in ABP ownership and buffer land immediately adjacent to the boundary of the operational port. The quantum of development the residual area can viability deliver should be reviewed and is recommended a high level masterplan is prepared to consider all constraints in and adjacent to the site to determine development capacity in advance of the submission of the RLDP to WG so that all stakeholders, including ABP are engaged in the process. | Redraw boundary to exclude land that falls in ABP ownership and buffer land immediately adjacent to the boundary of the operational port. | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan and any subsequent outcome as a result of implementation. The comments are noted and a statement of common ground will be prepared to help inform considerations around this issue at the forthcoming examination. |
| 86         | ABP      | 06         | Supporting the Role of Cardiff Port | Strategic Policies | SP9           | For clarity and consistency, ABP requests the removal of references to residential development.  | Remove references to residential development in SP9.  | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan and any subsequent outcome as a result of implementation. The comments are noted and a statement of common ground will be prepared to help inform considerations around this issue at the forthcoming examination. |
| 86         | ABP      | 07         | Supporting the Role of Cardiff Port | Strategic Policies | SP9           | Support SP9 that recognises the primary role of the port as an operational port providing key economic functions for Cardiff and south Wales, together with the reference to green energy generation and the provision of industrial and logistical uses which allow for flexibility for ABP to let its land on a short to   |   | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                      | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |                               |            |                                       |                    |               | medium term as is to meet a wide range of commercial requirements.   |                                       |  |
| 87         | Glenys Hayes                  | 01         | Affordable Housing                    | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Agree with the need for more affordable housing. Recent building in the city centre has either been tiny flats (like mine) or ugly, tower block student accommodation out of keeping with existing buildings nearby (Charles St). Many city centre flats still remain empty for long periods and the Council needs to tackle this.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 87         | Glenys Hayes                  | 02         | Economy                               | Detailed Policies  | EC10          | The RDLP emphasises the need to encourage the 'night time economy' I feel that the needs of residents are often ignored in favour of businesses. A balance needs to be re-set, 'vibrant' is often a synonym for 'excessively noisy' and anti-social behaviour is rife.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 87         | Glenys Hayes                  | 03         | Transport                             | Detailed Policies  | T2            | Transport in Cardiff has improved in some respects (local trains) but the bus service is woeful. I got rid of my car when I came to live in Cardiff so I use public transport all the time and I find that buses are often dirty and time-keeping poor. Also, just something as simple as re-timing traffic lights to favour pedestrians would be very welcome.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 87         | Glenys Hayes                  | 04         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | The provision of more green planting in the city centre is vital. I welcome the development of Mackenzie Park although 'park' is an exaggeration. The planted areas along Tudor St, Wood Street and the dustbowl outside the Bus Interchange have become rubbish tips in a very short space of time so planting needs to be followed up by maintenance.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 88         | John Winter<br>Student Houses | 01         | Housing                               | Detailed Policies  | H5            | Strongly object to the implied limits on new houses in multiple occupation (HMOs) and the blanket restriction of all new student accommodation to city-centre Purpose-Built Student Accommodation (PBSA). We're not anti-PBSA. We're calling for a balanced and flexible housing strategy – one that includes high quality HMOs alongside purpose-built options, reflects the reality of student preferences, and preserves Cardiff's unique housing character. The current approach will have serious unintended consequences for students, local |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic             | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response |
|------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---|------------------|
|            |               |            |                   |                    |               | neighbourhoods and the wider city of Cardiff. PBSA is dominated by large scale corporate developers and the current policy will push students into PBSA and accelerate a market monopoly and risks cutting out small/medium sized providers leaving students with less choice, flexibility and higher prices. There are a range of other important factors including : impact on student choice/affordability, shared living supports growth and mental health, design. student preferences and community living, economic impact and housing market disruption, intergenerational fairness and future generations, promoting responsible living and raising standards, a call for balance and real engagement. |   |                  |
| 89         | Common Wealth | 01         | Economy           | Detailed Policies  | EC2           | Although planning permission has been granted for EC2: Land south of St Mellons Business Park (Cardiff Parkway), there is strong local concern about its impact. Issues raised include worsening traffic and air pollution, fears of large-scale development altering the community's character, limited local transport benefit, and doubts over employment opportunities and amenities for residents. To address this, propose a commitment to a collaborative placemaking strategy between Cardiff Council and the local community, aligned with SP7, to ensure the development reflects local needs and values. An additional paragraph in the text should be included to reflect this .                    |   | Comment noted.   |
| 89         | Common Wealth | 02         | Placemaking Plans | Strategic Policies | SP7           | Request a new policy on Place Plans to enable a community-initiated Place Plan to inform the development of Site EC2: Land South of St Mellons Business Park. This is in relation to it's impact on the existing adjacent local community.  | Request a new policy on Place Plans to enable a community-initiated Place Plan to inform the development of Site EC2: Land South of St Mellons Business Park. | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                            | Rep Number | Topic     | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                         | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 89         | Common Wealth                       | 03         | Transport | Strategic Policies | SP19          | Residents expressed concern that traffic in St Mellons is already severe and that the proposed development would worsen congestion. Transport infrastructure had not been adequately considered, particularly in relation to local access. Scepticism about the benefits of the proposed rail interchange, with concerns that it would not be accessible for many residents due to long walking distances and increased travel costs if a bus is required. Overall, the consensus was that the transport proposals would not serve the local community effectively. |   | Comments noted.  |
| 90         | Cardiff and the Vale Health Charity | 01         | Housing   | Detailed Policies  | H1B           | Request that the proposed allocation H1.2 Rookwood Hospital is amended to include an additional area currently occupied by the health uses and parking area   | Amend boundary of H1.2 Rookwood Hospital                      | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan. |
| 90         | Cardiff and the Vale Health Charity | 02         | Housing   | Proposals Map      |               | Request that the proposed allocation H1.2 Rookwood Hospital is amended to include an additional area currently occupied by the health uses and parking area   | Amend boundary of H1.2 Rookwood Hospital on the Proposals Map | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would                                      |

| Rep Number | Rep Name     | Rep Number | Topic                         | Plan Section                  | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |              |            |                               |                               |               |   |                                       | have on the application of the Plan.   |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 01         | Affordable Housing            | Detailed Policies             | H3            | While Policy H3 (page 80-81) sets clear targets for affordable housing (20% on brownfield sites, 30% on greenfield sites), there are no equivalent targets for accessible housing.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 02         | Housing                       | Detailed Policies             | H1A and H1B   | Limited integration of accessibility in policies for strategic and non strategic housing sites which make no reference to accessible housing requirements.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 03         | Housing                       | Detailed Policies             | H8            | Inadequate policy framework for meeting Lifetime Homes requirements and plan lacks a comprehensive approach to creating lifetime neighbourhoods with housing that can adapt to changing needs.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 04         | Influences on the Plan        | Influences on the Plan        |               | The RLDP fails to acknowledge current disability statistics for Cardiff. According to the 2021 Census, approximately 20% of Cardiff residents have a long-term health condition or disability that limits their day-to-day activities. This equates to approximately 73,840 individuals (based on population of 369,202 mentioned in Section 3.4). Mobility impairments are the most common form of disability and directly impact housing needs  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 05         | Miscellaneous                 | Miscellaneous                 |               | There is no mention in the RLDP of the significant public expense associated with retrofitting inaccessible homes: The average Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) in Wales costs between £7,000-£10,000. The cost of moving someone from their home into residential care due to inaccessible housing is approximately £29,000 per year (Habinteg Housing). Building homes to M4(2) standard adds only around £1,100 to construction costs while M4(3) adds £7,700-£23,000 depending on specifications (MHCLG 2020 data) |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 06         | Monitoring and Implementation | Monitoring and Implementation |               | The plan lacks clear mechanisms to implement and monitor the delivery of accessible homes.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 07         | Design                        | Strategic Policies            | SP4           | The plan identifies but inadequately responds to demographic changes that will increase demand for accessible housing.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name     | Rep Number | Topic                      | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 08         | Housing                    | Strategic Policies          | SP1           | Include reference in SP1 to new policy on percentage targets for Category 2 (accessible and adaptable) and Category 3 (wheelchair user) dwellings.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 09         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods | Strategic Policies          | SP12          | The RLDP fails to reference key Welsh Government policy drivers: The Welsh Government's "Strategy for Older People in Wales 2013-2023" emphasizes the importance of appropriate housing to support independence. The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 includes goals for "A more equal Wales" and "A healthier Wales" that accessible housing would support. The Equality Act 2010 places a duty on public authorities to advance equality of opportunity for disabled people. |   | Comments noted.  |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 10         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods | Strategic Policies          | SP12          | Enhance point (i) on page 57 to explicitly reference accessible housing: "Providing a range of dwelling sizes, tenures, types and locations that meet the full range of housing needs, particularly affordable accommodation, accessible homes meeting M4(2) and M4(3) standards, accommodation that meets the needs of an aging population..."   | Enhance point (i) on page 57 to explicitly reference accessible housing: "Providing a range of dwelling sizes, tenures, types and locations that meet the full range of housing needs, particularly affordable accommodation, accessible homes meeting M4(2) and M4(3) standards, accommodation that meets the needs of an aging population..." | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 11         | Objectives                 | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Add a subsection specifically addressing housing needs related to disability and aging, presenting the demographic evidence.  |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill | 12         | Process                    | Whole Plan                  |               | There is no published Equality Impact Assessment for the RLDP consultation process available on the Council's website.  |   | The Council have undertaken an Equality Impact Assessment and this is available as a background document to the plan.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill              | 13         | Process   | Whole Plan         |               | Consider the RLDP to be unsound as the consultation process failed to meet the requirements of Section 15, Section 19, Section 20 and Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010; the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended); the Town and Country Planning (Local Development Plan) (Wales) Regulations 2005; the Human Rights Act 1998; the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD); the Local Development Plans Examination Procedure Guidance. The Council's approach was reactive, requiring disabled people to request accessible formats as stated on page 12 of the Delivery Agreement. | Request the Inspector: 1. Determines that the plan is not sound due to these procedural failures. 2. Directs the authority to remedy these failures by undertaking further consultation with proper accessibility measures in place from the outset. 3. Ensures that any Matters Arising Changes include provisions that properly address the needs of disabled people. 4. Requires evidence of how the authority has given "due regard" to its equality duties throughout the process. | The RLDP consultation process has been carried out in accordance with the Delivery Agreement which has been agreed with the Welsh Government. The Consultation Report sets out the consultation and engagement undertaken. |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill              | 14         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | Narrow definition of "accessibility" throughout the plan which primarily refers to transport connectivity rather than physical accessibility for disabled people.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 91         | Lucy Dunhill              | 15         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | Undertake a specialised study on accessible housing needs.  |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.     |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 01         | Air, Noise, Light Pollution and Contaminated Land   | Detailed Policies  | PC1           | Cefn Onn Park is not included in the list of quiet areas.   | Include Cefn Onn Park in the list of quiet areas.   | Comments noted.  |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 02         | Air, Noise, Light Pollution and Contaminated Land   | Detailed Policies  | PC1           | Concerned about air and noise pollution with increased house building along the M4 resulting in increased car use as developments are removed from public transport.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 03         | Open Space  | Detailed Policies  | OS2           | Planned sports facilities should be delivered on time and be fit for purpose.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | Welcome commitment to a green wedge.  |   | Support is welcomed.   |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic             | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response     |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---|----------------------|
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 05         | Housing           | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Welcome 50:50 brownfield/greenfield split of housing development.   |   | Support is welcomed. |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 06         | Housing           | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Para 6.28 states that the RLDP contains proposals and mechanisms to justify how deliverability and capacity issues can be addressed.  | The revision of relevant SPG should cover this to ensure that housing delivery meets all needs. | Comments noted.      |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 07         | Infrastructure    | Strategic Policies | SP5           | All new infrastructure should be planned and delivered in parallel, if not in advance of development.   | Request SPG to ensure infrastructure is delivered in parallel and/or in advance of development. | Comments noted.      |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 08         | Infrastructure    | Strategic Policies | SP5           | The plan proposes a range of dwelling types to address different needs but it is most likely that the larger more profitable houses are built first with smaller and affordable houses being reduced or provided elsewhere. | Recommend SPG to ensure housing is provided for the elderly.                                    | Comments noted.      |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 09         | Masterplanning    | Strategic Policies | SP3           | District and local centres planned for existing strategic sites should be provided in a timely manner.  |   | Comments noted.      |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 10         | Placemaking Plans | Strategic Policies | SP7           | Planners and developers should work with local communities on placemaking plans.  |   | Comments noted.      |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                  | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed               | Council Response |
|------------|---------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---|------------------|
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 11         | Planning Obligations                                | Strategic Policies | SP6           | All S106 payments should be agreed and protected to enable necessary infrastructure to be provided.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 12         | Transport   | Strategic Policies | SP18          | The 75% target for all journeys to be made by sustainable transport modes seems fanciful.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 92         | Lisvane Community Council | 13         | Transport   | Strategic Policies | SP19          | Ther plan does not include sustainable public transport facilities for NE Cardiff.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 93         | Ian Roberts               | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies  | CP4           | Deeply concerned that the policy wording to protect and enhance the river corridors is not strong enough or clear enough, protection of these corridors is not contained within a dedicated river corridors policy but contained within a wider and weaker policy for protection of a bundle of other areas. This is an inconsistent approach. The settlement limits policy makes it clear that development beyond those limits, such as housing, is not acceptable, the river corridor protection policy is not so strongly worded despite being of the same strategic importance to the city. This is inconsistent and renders the plan unsound in logic and reasoning. | Plan should say no building in the River Corridors. | Comment noted.   |
| 93         | Ian Roberts               | 02         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | Yes to building on allocated sites, no to building on protected areas.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 93         | Ian Roberts               | 03         | Whole Plan  | Whole Plan         |               | I wish to object to the whole plan. It is very long and verbose and unclear in many areas and very difficult to understand to the lay reader.   |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name               | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 94         | Kirran Lochhead Strang | 01         | Community Facilities and Safety       | Detailed Policies  | C1            | Places of worship have a very important role to play in the spiritual, emotional, cultural and social life of Cardiff residents - need to ensure there is enough space given to new developments of places of worship in new developments.  |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 94         | Kirran Lochhead Strang | 02         | Tall Buildings                        | Detailed Policies  | D1            | Accrued accommodation - believe in importance of building accumulated accommodation rather than spreading over the whole area. We should focus on building high density tall buildings rather than spreading development out across Cardiff.  |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 94         | Kirran Lochhead Strang | 03         | Miscellaneous                         | Miscellaneous      |               | Concerns that adequate temporary accommodation is provided suitable for single people, couples and families and avoid having to use hotels. The accommodation should consist of flats and shared houses with access to a kitchen.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 94         | Kirran Lochhead Strang | 04         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Encourage that grass, trees, water, wildlife and green/wild spaces are available and accessible to all residents of a city - and also visitors. The regime of Biodiversity Net Gain would be something great if it could be used as it is in England.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 94         | Kirran Lochhead Strang | 05         | Welsh Language                        | Strategic Policies | SP15          | Growth of the Welsh language in Cardiff is very important - should be seen as a priority. Suggest that every school that is being built as part of new developments should teach in Welsh. Important to raise the place of language, ensure that everyone sees the language as an integral part of its culture. |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name  | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed              | Council Response  |
|------------|---|------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|--|---|
| 95         | Cymdeithas yr Iaith Gymraeg (Swyddogol Cell Caerdydd) | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies | H3            | Proposed affordable housing targets of 20% (brownfield) and 30% (greenfield) are insufficient given the affordability crisis in Cardiff. With average house prices far exceeding average incomes, request a strengthened policy that ensures a greater proportion of genuinely affordable homes, with clearer criteria for what constitutes “exceptional circumstances.”   |  | The targets are derived from the findings of a housing viability study carried out by Avison Young which assessed the viability of a range of housing scenarios for different development types with varying levels of affordable housing. In order to demonstrate viability and take account of the higher costs associated with the development of brownfield sites the study recommended a two tiered affordable housing target and confirms that affordable housing is viable at 30% on greenfield sites and 20% on brownfield sites. |
| 95         | Cymdeithas yr Iaith Gymraeg (Swyddogol Cell Caerdydd) | 02         | Welsh Language     | Detailed Policies | C4            | The current target of 50% Welsh-medium provision lacks ambition and should be revised upward.  | Welsh Medium School provision should be increased. | This issue is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan and will require changes to the Council's current approach to the provision of Welsh Medium education.  |
| 95         | Cymdeithas yr Iaith Gymraeg (Swyddogol Cell Caerdydd) | 03         | Welsh Language     | Detailed Policies | C4            | Welcome the recognition of Welsh-medium education as a driver of language growth. However, urge the Council to go further. All new schools associated with RLDP sites should be Welsh-medium, with expanded secondary provision and equitable geographic distribution to ensure access across all communities.   |  | This issue is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan and will require changes to the Council's current approach to the provision of Welsh Medium education.  |
| 95         | Cymdeithas yr Iaith Gymraeg (Swyddogol Cell Caerdydd) | 04         | Welsh Language     | Detailed Policies | WL1           | Conditional phrasing—“where relevant”—is concerning. The Welsh language should be a central consideration across all developments. Paragraph 7.297 outlines measures such as joining the Bilingual Cardiff Forum and offering Welsh in marketing offices, but these are not sufficient to meaningfully grow and retain the language. We call for more robust, proactive planning to embed Welsh language growth into the RLDP’s strategic framework. Support the default use of Welsh names for new developments, streets, and signage, but urge the Council to ensure names are rooted in local history and dialect, reflecting the cultural and environmental character of each area. This will help reinforce the visibility and everyday use of Welsh across the city. |  | Comments noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name  | Rep Number | Topic                    | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 95         | Cymdeithas yr Iaith Gymraeg (Swyddogol Cell Caerdydd)   | 05         | Welsh Language           | Strategic Policies          | SP15          | The SP15 policy statement is contradictory. It states that proposals with significant adverse impacts on the Welsh language and culture will not be permitted—unless mitigation or enhancement measures are in place. Yet the need for such measures confirms that harm exists. Mitigating negative impacts or strengthening positives still implies an attempt to undo damage, rather than preventing it outright.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 96         | Community Engagement in Local Development Plans Project Team at Cardiff University; Privilege Café; Action in Caerau and Ely; Grange Pavilion CIO; Grange Pavilion Youth Forum; Splott Community Volunteers; Common Wealth. | 01         | Placemaking Plans        | Strategic Policies          | SP7           | The Plan makes no reference to Place Plans. PPW makes a clear separation between Place Plans and Placemaking Plans and identifies the role of the local community in initiating Place Plan's as a tool to improve placemaking. does not fit PPW's definition of Place Plans and the Plan does not demonstrate how it will deliver support for Place Plans prepared at the initiation of the local community. Suggest a new policy on Place Plans prepared at the initiation of the local community. |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 97         | Blocwork  | 01         | Alternative Housing Site | Alternative Housing Site    |               | Include land south of Cardiff Central in Policy H2: Housing Led Regeneration Areas as a site suitable for providing "high density, sustainable, mixed use development". Failure to do so, risks the focus of the site in plan making terms being just on "employment opportunities" or, on the requirements of T3 relating to enhancing the rail network and provides certainty to maximise opportunity.  |                                       | Comments noted. This site is located within the Cardiff Central Enterprise Zone allocation and Policy EC1 of the Deposit RLDP provides a framework for development of the site for "high density residential units together with a diversity of complementary uses, including commercial, leisure and service uses." |
| 97         | Blocwork  | 02         | Objectives               | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Objective 7 of the plan to “Maximise the potential for high density, mixed-use related to the regional sustainable transport hub at Central Square” should be extended to include reference to “Cardiff Central”, as this is at the heart of the regional transport hub and offers an opportunity to make a significant contribution to the evolution of the city centre in the next plan period.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic                    | Plan Section             | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 98         | Andrew Wales     | 01         | Whole Plan               | Whole Plan               |               | Support the realistic RLDP medium term growth in terms of dwellings, local services and jobs and brownfield strategy. Note that the existing greenfield development sites, including Strategic Housing Site C (Plasdwr) provide sufficient scope for house building throughout the life of the proposed LDP. Consider the presumption against development outside the settlement boundaries and the Green Wedge policy a benefit to biodiversity generally, SSSI's and SINC's and protects the two Candidate Sites in the Radyr area.  |   | Support is welcomed. Comments noted.   |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 01         | Alternative Housing Site | Alternative Housing Site |               | Consider Candidate Site 54 Goitre Farm should be allocated in the Plan as it could provide 300 homes and 90 affordable homes and could provide a 60 bed care/retirement facility. Cardiff has an aging population with younger populations relocating to access housing. Concern that the rate for delivery of affordable housing has been slow and risks failing to meet and address identified need over the plan period. New housing growth in the plan is concentrated in the central area and the north and west of the City leading to inequalities in the east. The delivery of the site could provide much-needed housing (including affordable) enabling younger populations to access affordable housing, make use of a suitably located site for residential development making a contribution to the modal shift to sustainable forms of transport (strategic bus route, Cardiff Parkway and segregated cycleway on Newport Road). The proposal has overcome the sustainability constraints set out in the Candidate Site Assessment and is both acceptable and deliverable as a housing site. The site has no fundamental constraints, would deliver significant economic, social and environmental benefits. It is viable, able to deliver policy compliant levels of affordable housing and will benefit from high delivery rates given its location within a strong market area. | Allocate Candidate Site 54 Goitre Farm as a housing site  | The representation supports the inclusion of a greenfield site not included in the Deposit LDP. Having considered the policy context, Plan strategy and other relevant material factors, it is concluded there is no demonstrable need to include the site in the Plan and the inclusion of the alternative site proposal is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 02         | Affordable Housing       | Detailed Policies        | H3            | Over-reliance on brownfield sites in the Plan risks undermining the overall deliverability of the housing targets. The Council requires a lower level of affordable housing on brownfield sites (20%) than greenfield sites (30%). The Council is acknowledging that brownfield sites  | The housing mix should include a greater proportion of houses delivered on greenfield sites, which will also deliver more affordable housing. | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
|            |                  |            |   |                   |               | are less viable and that greenfield sites have greater potential to deliver affordable housing.  |   |  |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 03         | Affordable Housing                                  | Detailed Policies | H3            | Plan should provide support for sustainable sites located outside of settlements by including an Affordable Housing Exception Site Policy which would allow for 100% affordable housing schemes to come forward outside or adjoining settlement boundaries.  | Include Affordable Housing Exception Site Policy to allow 100% affordable housing schemes on sites outside the settlement boundary but in sustainable locations.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies | CP1           | Generally supportive of CP1 but object to how restrictive the application of the policy is in practice, offering no flexibility for sustainable, well located greenfield sites to come forward.  | Policy should distinguish between sites which are unsustainable and located in the countryside and adjacent/adjoining sites which are outside the settlement boundary but may be sustainable, linked to active travel and have limited ecological or landscape constraints. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 05         | Housing   | Detailed Policies | H2            | The Plan has an over-reliance on previously developed land, with much of the greenfield allocations being existing 'rolled over' allocations from the adopted Plan. Allocating 'housing-led regeneration sites' brings a level of uncertainty to the delivery of the overall housing supply during the Plan period. Greenfield sites have improved deliverability and viability credentials. Allocations on previously developed land often fail to yield their expected contribution over the Plan period. The housing-led regeneration areas approach could undermine the overall housing supply and fail to meet the housing requirement over the Plan period. Unclear what level of scrutiny has been undertaken for the sites identified to demonstrate they are suitable, available, deliverable and will yield the suggested numbers. |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 06         | Housing   | Detailed Policies | H2            | Housing-led regeneration areas make up a significant proportion (approx. 66%) of the overall supply of new sites allocated in the RLDP. As their deliverability is questioned, this undermines the soundness of the Plan. There  | Change of strategy so that housing-led regeneration areas are not relied on to provide the bulk of the housing supply.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|            |                  |            |         |                   |               | is a risk that the houses on the housing led regeneration sites will be double-counted in the windfall category, leading to a shortfall of housing delivery. The housing supply proposed is not robust in identifying a sufficient level of windfall sites and a heavy reliance on brownfield sites coming forward. There is a risk that additional sites will need to be identified at Examination to make up the overall housing supply. There should be less reliance on housing-led regeneration sites and more greenfield sites should be allocated where it has been justified that the site is suitable for housing and can meet the identified need. |  | is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 07         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.3 The deliverability of site H2.3 Cardiff Gate Business Park (West) is questioned. The site is within an existing business park, has no relationship to any existing residential areas and access would be off the main thoroughfare through the business park, with poor pedestrian access. The character of the area is not suited to the proposed residential use. The Council's own ISA of the site confirms that the site fails on a number of sustainability matters and is largely inaccessible. The site does not support the aims and objectives of the RLDP and is not suitable for housing.  | Change of strategy so that housing-led regeneration areas are not relied on to provide the bulk of the housing supply. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 08         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | As H1.1 is the only other new allocation in the east of the city there is a risk of the site not coming forward and creating inequalities across the city.   | Change of strategy so that housing-led regeneration areas are not relied on to provide the bulk of the housing supply. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 09         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | Part of site H2.5 Porth Teigr and Alexandra Head is within flood zone 3 which prohibits its redevelopment for residential use. The site is constrained yet is identified as having the capacity to deliver 1310 homes. This is not realistic or practical and the site should not be relied upon to deliver housing. It lacks any services and amenities to serve the everyday needs of residents and scored poorly on the ISA. It is not a suitable or sustainable allocation.  | Change of strategy so that housing-led regeneration areas are not relied on to provide the bulk of the housing supply. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 10         | Housing   | Detailed Policies  | H2            | The identified housing-led regeneration sites do not represent sustainable locations for residential development. Many have existing access and traffic issues. Some lack proximity to everyday amenities such as schools and bus routes. These sites are unsuitable for residential development and do not represent suitable areas for housing.   | Change of strategy so that housing-led regeneration areas are not relied on to provide the bulk of the housing supply.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 11         | Housing   | Detailed Policies  | H2            | Delivery of the housing-led regeneration sites may lead to the loss of existing uses or prohibit other uses coming forward which would be more suitable to the locations. Policy H9 outlines that any proposal must demonstrate no overriding need to retain the existing use of the land or premises and that there is no overriding alternative local land use requirement. Any application to deliver the H2 sites should be required to demonstrate this. |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 12         | Housing   | Detailed Policies  | H9            | The principle of the policy is supported but it is too restrictive as it only accounts for the redevelopment of brownfield land as part of the strategy supporting residential developments on unallocated sites. If there is a lack of brownfield sites this would exclude greenfield sites which may be well-connected, sustainable and possibly redundant or no longer in use.   | Policy should extend to redundant or unused greenfield sites.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 99         | Edenstone Homes, | 13         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17          | Generally supportive of SP17 but object to how restrictive the application of the policy is in practice, offering no flexibility for sustainable, well located greenfield sites to come forward.  | Policy should distinguish between sites which are unsustainable and located in the countryside and adjacent/adjoining sites which are outside the settlement boundary but may be sustainable, linked to active travel and have limited ecological or landscape constraints. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                                 | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed      | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 99         | Edenstone Homes,                         | 14         | Housing            | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The chosen growth option does not go far enough in ensuring an appropriate level of new housing will be provided over the Plan period in order to meet and address the identified 'key issues'.   | A higher growth option is needed           | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 100        | Wholesale Fruit Centre (Cardiff) Limited | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Support the two-tier approach to affordable housing - which recognises the additional costs associated with development of brownfield sites and the need to ensure that developments are viable.  |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 100        | Wholesale Fruit Centre (Cardiff) Limited | 02         | Housing            | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.6 Object to part of the site falling within the boundary of a protected employment site (EC3.10). It also falls within part of the Penarth Road Area of protected employment area (EC.10). The inclusion of part of the housing led site within the wider protected employment area is unnecessary and could impede the delivery of new residential accommodation on the site. The boundary of the EC3.10 allocation should be amended with the area which overlaps with Policy H.26 being removed entirely. At present two overlapping allocations is not acceptable. | Amend proposals map allocation boundaries. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 100        | Wholesale Fruit Centre (Cardiff) Limited | 03         | Housing            | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.6 Strongly support proposed allocation of the Wholesale Fruit Market site/Hadfield Road/Bessemer Road as a housing-led regeneration site which could deliver approximately 200 residential units.  |  | Support is welcomed.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                                 | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed      | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 100        | Wholesale Fruit Centre (Cardiff) Limited | 04         | Housing                                   | Proposals Map      |               | H2.6 Object to part of the site falling within the boundary of a protected employment site (EC3.10). It also falls within part of the Penarth Road Area of protected employment area (EC.10). The inclusion of part of the housing led site within the wider protected employment area is unnecessary and could impede the delivery of new residential accommodation on the site. The boundary of the EC3.10 allocation should be amended with the area which overlaps with Policy H.26 being removed entirely. At present two overlapping allocations is not acceptable. | Amend proposals map allocation boundaries. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 100        | Wholesale Fruit Centre (Cardiff) Limited | 05         | Infrastructure                            | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Support the acknowledgement that planning obligations will be assessed on a case by case basis - the policy wording should include specific reference to the need to consider viability as part of this assessment. Important for brownfield sites which form a significant part of housing supply - viability must be taken into account to avoid undermining delivery.  |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 101        | Mark Boland                              | 01         | Affordable Housing                        | Detailed Policies  | H3            | The policy rightly provides some flexibility regarding the delivery of affordable housing, noting that in exceptional circumstances it may be delivered off-site.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 101        | Mark Boland                              | 02         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Detailed Policies  | HF2           | Flexibility should be provided depending on the nature of residential development being brought forward. For example, account should be taken of provision for other food growing space provided within new developments (in line with Policy HF3) or the nature of any private garden space provided.  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name    | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                    | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 101        | Mark Boland | 03         | Housing                               | Detailed Policies  | H1A           | <p>Strongly supports the identification of land to the north of Ty-Draw Road for new residential development (Ref: SH1.3). The site forms part of the wider Strategic Site F, which is allocated in the adopted RLDP. As noted in Para 7.1, it is important to take forward the residual parts of the strategic sites allocated so the wider masterplan aspirations can be fully delivered. Detailed design work will determine how many dwellings the site could accommodate, but it could foreseeably be in excess of 350 depending on density and layout.</p> <p>Para 7.1 of the Deposit Plan refers to the need for masterplans for strategic housing sites to take into account mitigation outlined in Table 8.4 of the Integrated Sustainability Appraisal (ISA). For Site SH1.3, Table 8.4 identifies the need for masterplans to consider the protection of a SINC and stream on and adjacent to the site and the provision of services at Strategic Site F. With regards provision of services, it should be recognised that these are likely to be provided within the wider strategic allocation rather than within Site SH1.3 itself. The masterplan for Site SH1.3 will give appropriate consideration to providing connectivity between the site and those services provided within the wider strategic allocation.</p> | Site SH1.3 could be developed in excess of 350 dwellings | Support is welcomed. Comments noted.   |
| 101        | Mark Boland | 04         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | <p>Policy states that a Green Infrastructure Assessment covering existing Green Infrastructure and future opportunities will be required for all significant development proposals. The policy should be clear that such an assessment will be prepared by the LPA, rather than developers. It will be for developers to submit a Green Infrastructure Statement with all relevant planning applications.</p>   |  | This minor change is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name    | Rep Number | Topic                | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 101        | Mark Boland | 05         | Housing              | Strategic Policies | SP1           | A higher flexibility allowance is recommend. We recommend an allowance of at least 15% is taken forward.   |                                       | There is no set flexibility figure required for housing supply within development plans. However, the current adopted RLDP includes a flexibility allowance of 10% which was supported by the Inspectors at the examination of the RLDP and is considered appropriate for city . It is good practice to allow for unknowns and the need for flexibility within a development plan is inherent from Welsh Government guidance. In Cardiff's case provision has been made to deliver an additional 10% flexibility allowance which is considered appropriate to enable to plan to cope with uncertainties that may arise over the plan period. |
| 101        | Mark Boland | 06         | Infrastructure       | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Paragraph 6.62 notes that the list provided in Policy SP5 is intended to give an indication only of what may be required. Not all infrastructure listed will be essential or necessary to bring forward all developments. For large scale strategic sites, it should also be acknowledged that some of the infrastructure listed will be delivered by other phases of development. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 101        | Mark Boland | 07         | Masterplanning       | Strategic Policies | SP3           | Supports the masterplanning approach in Policy SP3. However, for existing strategic sites like Strategic Site F—where much of the land already has permission—future applications should reflect SP3's principles proportionately. For residual areas such as Site SH1.3, some requirements are no longer relevant or may have been superseded.                                    |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 101        | Mark Boland | 08         | Planning Obligations | Strategic Policies | SP6           | Supports the acknowledgement in Policy that planning obligations will be assessed on a case-by-case basis. Reference should also be made to the need to take account of viability as part of this assessment.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                     | Rep Number | Topic      | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 101        | Mark Boland                  | 09         | Objectives | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Supports the commitment in Objective 1 to provide a variety of low carbon homes to address future housing needs. It is important that the Plan provides for a range and choice of new homes of different tenures – delivering both market and affordable homes.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 102        | Persimmon Homes (East Wales) | 01         | Housing    | Detailed Policies           | H1B           | The two non strategic housing sites allocated have not been subject to a planning application for redevelopment and no homes have been delivered on them over what has been an extensive period of time and relying on them to meet the housing requirement is unsound. Policy can remain to offer support for the redevelopment of these sites but they should not be relied on to meet the housing requirement.   |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored. |
| 102        | Persimmon Homes (East Wales) | 02         | Housing    | Detailed Policies           | H2            | The seven sites identified equate to 18% of the total number of homes that the RLDP is to make provision for however there is a high level of uncertainty around the deliverability and capacity of a number of these sites, either having been allocated in the adopted RLDP but not delivered, benefit from unimplemented historic planning permission or do not appear to have been subject to an appropriate level of master planning. Consider that these sites can remain aspirations and supported by policy but should not be counted as part of the housing land supply. In particular H2.2 Whitchurch Hospital/Velindre, H2.4 Roath Dock (North Side), H2.5, H2.6 Hadfield Road and H2.7 Callaghan Square |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                     | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 102        | Persimmon Homes (East Wales) | 03         | Housing            | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Housing requirement and plan length - housing requirement is not appropriate. Growth levels proposed represent a serious lack of ambition for Wales' Capital City. Raises questions about the value of preparing a RLDP with a 15 time period when no new residential allocations are proposed and where the majority of strategic and non strategic policies remain the same. Consider this at odds with Cardiff's position in the South East Wales Region and the responsibilities set out in Future Wales. It would also lead to an anticipated required annual delivery rate which is considerably lower than the rate included in the 8th Annual Monitoring Report which forecasts a higher rate for the next three years meaning that without adjustment the RLDP would deliver very few homes in the latter part of the plan. Consider that either a higher growth option should be selected or the RLDP's plan period is extended to cover a 20 year not 15 year period. Do not consider the flexibility allowance set will deliver and needs to be increased. |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit RLDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the RLDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The RLDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land.  |
| 102        | Persimmon Homes (East Wales) | 04         | Housing            | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Need to prepare a detailed housing trajectory forecasting housing completions on each site over the plan period rather than the approach in the RLDP which doesn't have a composite housing trajectory which forecasts delivery rates for individual sites for individual years so that there is no way of understanding the assumed delivery rates of either parts of the strategic sites that already have planning permission or the Policy H1A sites   |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored. |
| 103        | Newport CC                   | 01         | Minerals and Waste | Strategic Policies | SP11          | We would recommend that any Minerals Policy and statements made in the RLDP be worded in such a way that could accommodate assisting neighbouring Minerals Sub-Regions with any potential apportionment shortfall, in the event potential future discussions warrant this.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                           | Rep Number | Topic                  | Plan Section           | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 01         | Context and Key Issues | Context and Key Issues |               | Context & Key Issues Page 17 (final bullet point) - welcome the recognition of the economic benefits of a steady and adequate supply of raw materials, however text requires minor modification to accord with PPW12.  | Amend text so last sentence reads- The Replacement RLDP will need to safeguard known mineral resources and minerals infrastructure (PPW para 5.14.7) and make provision for sufficient mineral reserves to deliver a steady and adequate supply and meet the agreed apportionment of mineral reserves for the region over the plan period to 2036 and beyond. | This minor change of supporting text is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.  |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 02         | Housing                | Detailed Policies      | H2            | The Plan does not allocate any specific sites for future land based sand and gravel extraction. Whilst this accords with the conclusions of RTS (2020) in view of the potential threat to marine landings from the H2.4. and H2.5 allocations we feel the Council should explore and assess the feasibility of identifying land-based sand and gravel sites to ensure long-term supply resilience. |   | Comments noted.  |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 03         | Housing                | Detailed Policies      | H2            | Concerned H2.5 may restrict marine landing operations and opportunities.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 04         | Housing                | Detailed Policies      | H2            | H2.4 Allocation would impact the ability to land marine aggregate at Roath Dock  | Delete H2.4   | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan and any subsequent outcome as a result of implementation. The comments are noted and a statement of common ground will be prepared to help inform considerations around this issue at the forthcoming examination. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                           | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 05         | Minerals and Waste                            | Detailed Policies | MW2           | Typo  | Change M1 in policy to MW1   | This minor change of policy and supporting text is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.   |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 06         | Minerals and Waste                            | Detailed Policies | MW4           | Typo  | Change M4 to MW4   | This minor change of supporting text is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.  |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 07         | Minerals and Waste                            | Detailed Policies | MW7           | PPW requires LPAs to safeguard known mineral resources and minerals infrastructure (PPW 12 para 5.14.7).  | Amend policy to include minerals infrastructure.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 08         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | Major developments would benefit from a sustainable supply of local construction materials which could be achieved through the provision of resource assessments and supply chain audit considerations. | Consider additional requirement to source materials locally and require resource assessments and supply chain audit considerations for major development and infrastructure. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 09         | Housing                                       | Proposals Map     |               | H2.4 Allocation would impact the ability to land marine aggregate at Roath Dock   | Delete H2.4 from the Proposals Map   | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan and any subsequent outcome as a result of implementation. The comments are noted and a statement of common ground will be prepared to help inform considerations around this issue at the forthcoming examination. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                           | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 10         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4           | SP4 makes no requirements to procure local building materials. Major developments would benefit from a sustainable supply of local construction materials.  | Consider an additional requirement to source materials locally and require resource assessments and supply chain audit considerations for major developments and infrastructure.                                 | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 11         | Heritage and the Historic Environment             | Strategic Policies | SP14          | Largely support but question how local vernacular will be maintained in the absence of a policy on local building stone.  | Consider a specific policy to encourage the development of local building stone quarries.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 12         | Masterplanning                                    | Strategic Policies | SP3           | SP3 makes no requirements to procure local building materials. Major developments would benefit from a sustainable supply of local construction materials.  | Consider an additional requirement to source materials locally and require resource assessments and supply chain audit considerations for major developments and infrastructure.                                 | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 13         | Minerals and Waste                                | Strategic Policies | SP11          | The plan notes a shortfall of 7.475 million tonnes in crushed rock provision to 2041. Whilst the plan refers to existing reserves and potential extensions, the RTS 2 is based on reserve figures from 2016 with WG's own requirements in MTAN1 that the RTS is in need of review. The SSRC adopted by the Council in 2022 should also reflect recent planning decisions. | Plan evidence base should demonstrate how the identified reserves or extensions will meet an updated apportionment, or otherwise commit to identifying further allocations, preferred areas, or areas of search. | Background Technical Paper Number 6 Minerals demonstrates how the apportionment set out in RTS 2 will be met. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored.   |
| 104        | Minerals Product Association Wales | 14         | Minerals and Waste                                | Strategic Policies | SP11          | Support maintaining a supply of minerals.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 105        | The Coal Authority                 | 01         | Air, Noise, Light Pollution and Contaminated Land | Detailed Policies  | PC1           | Disappointed previous request for inclusion of criteria to policy PC1 relating to land instability has not been progressed. The risk that land instability can pose to new development proposals should be acknowledged and requirement to set out that such sites should be remediated if investigatory works conclude this is necessary.                                |  | Notwithstanding the appropriateness or otherwise of this suggested change, it is considered that this does not go to the soundness of the Plan and therefore no change is considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. However, no evidence will be offered to counter this representation given the limited impact such a change would have on the application of the Plan. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 01         | Economy                                 | Detailed Policies | EC1           | This allocation covers a substantial area of the city centre where numerous watermain areas are located - we will assess and advise on planning applications as they are submitted.   |                                       | Comment noted.       |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 02         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources | Detailed Policies | W1            | Importance of ensuring new developments suitably control surface water and run off using SUDS is a vital component of sustainable drainage  |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 03         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources | Detailed Policies | W1            | Pleased that the policy recognises the importance of managing surface water in sustainable way e.g. through the use of SUDS   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 04         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources | Detailed Policies | W2            | Welcome that the policy will only allow developments where provision is made for the necessary infrastructure to protect water quality and quantity.  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 05         | Gypsy and Travellers                    | Detailed Policies | H11           | A water supply can be provided for this site, the public sewerage network can accept potential foul flows from this development site. Cardiff Bay Wastewater Treatment Works can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development site. |                                       | Comment noted.       |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 06         | Housing                                 | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.3 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA) will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network.  |                                       | Comment noted.       |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 07         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.5 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network. |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 08         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.7 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 09         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.1 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 10         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.2 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 11         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 12         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.6 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 13         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1B           | H1.1 A water supply can be provided for this site   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 14         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1B           | H1.2 A water supply can be provided for this site   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 15         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.1 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 16         | Housing | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.2 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network.   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 17         | Housing | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.3 A water supply can be provided for this site  |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 18         | Housing | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.4 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network.   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 19         | Housing | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.5 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network.   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 20         | Housing | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.6 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network.   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 21         | Housing | Detailed Policies  | H2            | H2.7 A Hydraulic Modelling Assessment (HMA will be required to determine the point of connection to the water network.   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 22         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Pleased that the policy recognises the importance of climate responsive design - requiring developments to provide sustainable waste and water management solutions to protect water quality.  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru Welsh Water | 23         | Economy | Strategic Policies | SP2           | The following provisions are applicable to all development plots located within allocated employment sites: developers should be aware that the obligations of a water and sewerage undertaker extends to domestic supplies only, the individual plots available for development can represent a substantial area of land for which the potential demands upon our assets are unknown at present (a HMA may be necessary), water mains/sewage infrastructure required for any potential development can be acquired through the provisions of the Water Industry Act 1991, Welsh Water always has right of access to its assets. |                                       | Comment noted.       |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                 | Rep Number | Topic                | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 106        | Dwr Cymru<br>Welsh Water | 24         | Infrastructure       | Strategic Policies          | SP5           | Support the provision within the policy that where existing infrastructure is inadequate to serve the development - new or improved infrastructure must be provided.   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru<br>Welsh Water | 25         | Masterplanning       | Strategic Policies          | SP3           | Early engagement between Welsh Water, LPA and developer is essential to ensure infrastructure is developed in a timely manner to support development. We support such an approach to delivery of strategic development sites.  |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru<br>Welsh Water | 26         | Natural Resources    | Strategic Policies          | SP22          | Welcome that the policy will only allow development where it would not give rise to unacceptable harm to the quantity and quality of water resources - and that new development should have an adequate water supply and sewerage system to serve it.  |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru<br>Welsh Water | 27         | Planning Obligations | Strategic Policies          | SP6           | Support the provision within the policy that where existing infrastructure is inadequate to serve the development - new or improved infrastructure must be provided.   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 106        | Dwr Cymru<br>Welsh Water | 28         | Objectives           | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Support the inclusion of reference to ensuring adequate and timely provision of new infrastructure to support communities and future growth. Where specific infrastructure improvements are required to allow a development to process but where there are no current plans to invest through the Asset Management Plans - developers can secure the necessary improvements. |                                       | Support is welcomed. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                 | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 107        | Clive Gaitt              | 01         | Housing   | Detailed Policies  | H2            | <p>Concern in relation to sites H2.4 and H2.5 and their proposed densities which are very high and fail to reflect the true nature of the sites and their location within the Bay. Site H2.5 is physically isolated, with limited access routes that will make construction and long-term connectivity difficult. A priority bus corridor is planned, but that alone won't meet the transport needs of a large, high-density development.</p> <p>The scale of the site suggests tall buildings, so it's essential that design and build quality are high, with long-term durability. The development should be self-sufficient—providing its own services, green space, and leisure facilities—and create a genuine sense of community. These elements are currently lacking in nearby areas.</p> <p>Rather than applying a blanket high-density approach, the site should support a mix of uses and building types, with careful planning to ensure it integrates well with existing neighbourhoods and meets the needs of future residents.</p> |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 108        | Hilary Roberts           | 01         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4           | <p>Quality design is crucial to sustainability, high quality in terms of structural and design. Aesthetically obsolete buildings not structurally obsolete buildings are being demolished with buildings that will be shabby in 10 years time What is currently being built looks cheap and unimaginative. older buildings should be kept where possible adding to the character and sense of history. Should be height restrictions particularly by old buildings.. Human scale is crucial where people can walk or cycle and feel safe.. Use of natural materials and planting should be encouraged.</p>  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 109        | Joel James Senedd Member | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | <p>Opposed to any development in the Green Wedge and support the creation of a Green Belt</p>   |                                       | Comments noted. The designation of a Green Belt is beyond the scope of the Plan. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 109        | Joel James<br>Senedd Member | 02         | Housing                                       | Strategic Polices  | SP1           | Much of the housing allocation in the RLDP has already been included in the current plan and the new policies will only apply to a relatively small proportion of new housing developments. While it may be possible to retroactively apply the new policies to existing approved sites through Reserved Matters, the Council's intention regarding this issue remain unclear. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 109        | Joel James<br>Senedd Member | 03         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Policy SP4 Securing good quality and sustainable design is subjective and unclear  |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 109        | Joel James<br>Senedd Member | 04         | Economy                                       | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Agree with the level of jobs growth but needs to be more detail on how the jobs target of 32,300 will be achieved otherwise statements like "Cardiff's Ambitious Green Development Plan to create 32,300 jobs" could be said to be misleading.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 109        | Joel James<br>Senedd Member | 05         | Housing                                       | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Council should opt for the lowest housing growth option as there are still sites that are incomplete and this approach would reduce the pressure on the remaining green areas in the city.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 109        | Joel James<br>Senedd Member | 06         | Infrastructure                                | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Infrastructure is crucial and must be developed early for new projects and it is equally important that transport infrastructure does not lag behind, otherwise sustainable travel objectives of new sites may fail  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 109        | Joel James<br>Senedd Member | 07         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Strategic Policies | SP20          | Disappointing that the RLDP is not more ambitious in its aims to increase renewable energy microgeneration in new build properties. I understand the constraints imposed by building regulations along with the weak guidance from Welsh Government  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 109        | Joel James<br>Senedd Member | 08         | Transport                                     | Strategic Policies | SP18          | Sceptical that the Council will meet its stated targets for modal split and opposed to road user charging as would place an additional financial burden on residents or have no choice but to use private vehicles due to inadequate transport, as well as on businesses that rely on viable transportation options.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic                    | Plan Section             | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 109        | Joel James<br>Senedd Member | 09         | Cross Boundary           | Whole Plan               |               | Support a regional approach to growth but have concerns about development in neighbouring authorities that push up to the boundary, adding pressure to infrastructure and services while remaining outside the Council's control and encourage early cooperation with other local authorities as we approach the Strategic Development Plan in 2036. |  | Comments noted.  |
| 110        | Mr Thomas                   | 01         | Alternative Housing Site | Alternative Housing Site |               | RDLP must include a more diverse mix of smaller sites such as Land East of Heol Pant-y-Gored, Creigiau (Site 22) to ensure consistent housing delivery.  | Allocate Candidate Site 22 Land East of Heol Pant-y-Gored, Creigiau in the plan. | The representation supports the inclusion of a greenfield site not included in the Deposit RLDP. Having considered the policy context, Plan strategy and other relevant material factors, it is concluded there is no demonstrable need to include the site in the Plan.   |
| 110        | Mr Thomas                   | 02         | Housing                  | Strategic Policies       | SP1           | Need for higher level of housing and employment growth (Option C) due to historical housing under delivery.  | Propose Option C   | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name  | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 110        | Mr Thomas | 03         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Housing figures based on 10% flexible allow is insufficient   | Propose 15% flexibility allowance.    | There is no set flexibility figure required for housing supply within development plans. However, the current adopted RLDP includes a flexibility allowance of 10% which was supported by the Inspectors at the examination of the RLDP and is considered appropriate for city . It is good practice to allow for unknowns and the need for flexibility within a development plan is inherent from Welsh Government guidance. In Cardiff's case provision has been made to deliver an additional 10% flexibility allowance which is considered appropriate to enable to plan to cope with uncertainties that may arise over the plan period. |
| 110        | Mr Thomas | 04         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Plan lacks sufficient evidence and a balanced approach to ensure Cardiff's housing needs are meet. SP1 relies on a substantial landbank of committed sites which is the result of under delivery and the 70% landbank proportion limits growth opportunities. There is an over reliance on windfall sites and housing led regeneration site including previously allocated sites without sufficient reassessment is questionable. |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 110        | Mr Thomas             | 05         | Objectives         | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Supports Objective 1 to provide low carbon homes for future needs.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 110        | Mr Thomas             | 06         | Objectives         | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Support Objective 2 to maximise Cardiff's role as an economic hub.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 110        | Mr Thomas             | 07         | Vision             | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Supports ambition to create a sustainable city.   |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies           | H3            | Should be reviewed and be more ambitious with the aim of providing a greater number of affordable homes in order to deliver those needed from the evidence in the LHMA and other sources. Need more clarity on how the target will be delivered |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 02         | Affordable Housing                    | Detailed Policies | H3            | Welcome step towards identifying where new affordable housing could be built on a large scale at brownfield locations but there is conflict with SP21 and BG1 and potential flooding issues on some sites. No indication if the proposed numbers can be delivered without unacceptable damage not what the contingency plans are should these sites not deliver . It would be desirable if affordable housing was more evenly spread across the city as it is needed everywhere. |   | Comments noted.  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 03         | Affordable Housing                    | Detailed Policies | H3            | Should explain the delivery breakdown of affordable housing units, including the size of units and how many units will offer accessible adapted and or supported housing. Supporting paper submitted RLDP Preferred Strategy consultation submission on Affordable Housing   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 04         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG1           |  | Before 'All mitigation for such sites', add 'Council will follow the Stepwise Approach of Planning Policy Wales Chapter 6 in determining applications on designated sites.' Add to paragraph 7.352, 'Council will secure a written opinion from the local authority Ecologist and may request a Green Infrastructure Assessment.' | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 05         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG2           |  | Insert new para 7.nnn 'The built environment in an important habitat for many species, including nesting, roosting and hibernation sites for birds, bats and insects.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|--|
|            |                       |            |                                       |                   |               |                          | <p>Developments will include features such as nest boxes (built into buildings where possible/appropriate); Native, nature-friendly trees and other vegetation; ponds/water features (non-ornamental); hedgehog holes in fences; scrub; nature friendly landscaping – e.g. grassland meadow, lighting; wildlife corridors .</p> <p>Developers will need to provide evidence in cases where these cannot be provided/are not appropriate.’</p> <p>In paragraph 7.364, replace ‘swift nest boxes provide a safe and secure breeding and nesting box for swifts’ with ‘universal nest boxes (suitable for swifts and other hole-nesting birds) should be included at a ratio of one box to each proposed unit of accommodation, in accordance with BS 42021:2022.’</p> | is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 06         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG3           |                          | <p>In paragraph 7.366, replace ‘Local Biodiversity Action Plan’ with ‘Local Biodiversity / Nature Recovery Action Plan, which applications must show how they have considered and will support.’ In paragraph 7.370, replace ‘the Council may’ with ‘the Council will’; replace ‘The Council will encourage’ with ‘The Council expects’. In paragraph 7.371, replace ‘it may’ with ‘it will’. In paragraph 7.366, replace ‘Local Biodiversity Action Plan’ with ‘Local Biodiversity / Nature Recovery Action Plan’; add ‘Council will use the outcome of this monitoring to ensure that</p>   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
|            |                       |            |   |                   |               |   | habitats or species identified as at risk are prioritised in assessing applications.'  |  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 07         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure   | Detailed Policies | BG4           |   | Add to paragraph 7.374, 'in line with guidance from Welsh Government'.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 08         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure   | Detailed Policies | BG5           | Trees provide shading and active cooling which will be required particularly in the city centre and district centres where older and young people gather and people with mobility impairments. Policy should be strengthened to provide more detail on implementing SP20 in the city, district and local centres. CCS concerned about the loss of mature trees and the urban dessert of places like Central Square. |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 09         | Economy                                 | Detailed Policies | EC10          | Should include access to public toilets   |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 10         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources | Detailed Policies | W1            | Amend policy.   | Replace 'should apply' with 'must apply'   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 11         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources | Detailed Policies | W2            | Amend policy.   | Add new paragraph, 'Council will place conditions to ensure provision of adequate water supply and sewerage, and will monitor and enforce those conditions to ensure compliance, including if necessary halting the development and/or obliging the developer to carry out | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|--|
|            |                       |            |   |                   |               |                          | remedial works at their expense.'   |  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 12         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources | Detailed Policies | W3            | Amend policy.            | Add new paragraph, 'Council will place conditions to ensure mitigation of flood risk, and will monitor and enforce those conditions to ensure compliance, including if necessary halting the development and/or obliging the developer to carry out remedial works at their expense.'   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 13         | Heritage and the Historic Environment   | Detailed Policies | HE1           | Propose change           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•5 (iv) add 'Highway and other proposals that may not require formal planning permission will preserve the character of Registered Historic Landscapes, Parks and Gardens';</li> <li>•Add 5 (vii), 'The exercise of Permitted Development Rights should preserve the character of both designated and non-designated heritage assets.</li> </ul> | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|--|------------------|
|            |                       |            |                                       |                   |               |  | Council may require changes made under PDRs to be reversed if they fail to do this; •In paragraph 7.251, after 'development pressures', add 'But these are not the only areas where archaeology will be a factor in the determination of applications. Sites should be checked for recorded archaeological remains early in the design process of any proposal; •In paragraph 7.257, after 'The Local List of Buildings of Architectural or Historic Interest will be reviewed during the plan period' add 'in consultation with residents'. |                  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 14         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies | HE1           | Plan is unsound as it fails to fit with PPW 12 and will not deliver Objective 11. Heritage does not simply cover landmark, historic buildings, it also encompasses cultural heritage and much-loved community buildings that give each neighbourhood its sense of place and add to the character and soul of the city – the urban character. needs to provide clear, concise, and watertight language that protects the city's buildings and the wider historic context in which they are situated.. fails to recognise the importance of heritage for its own sake, nor the value of heritage tourism to the economy. The Plan must prevent the city's urban character from being diluted by the whims of cash-hungry developers and look beyond short-term gain for a few. The Plan does not recognise the value of thriving cultural areas within the city, nor endeavour to defend them. The Plan has a duty to protect such historically and socially important buildings. Failure to protect working-class heritage will give a one-sided and deeply impoverished view of the city's fabric. Again, the Plan should be more specific in its wording to protect the city's heritage assets and urban character. |  | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 15         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | Plan is unsound as it is inappropriate given the evidence on the sources of carbon (or equivalent) emissions in development and will fail to deliver Objective 4. Only considers carbon emissions that result directly from energy consumption, primarily during the operational lifespan of the development and not issues such as demolition or materials, around indirect emissions and embodied carbon. Policy HE1 refers to embodied energy and carbon. This should relate to all developments. Cardiff Council has shown leadership in declaring a climate emergency and adopting its One Planet vision. It should follow through on that by incorporating a whole lifecycle understanding into its new RLDP. | RE2 should be extended to include indirect as well as direct emissions to deliver net zero development: •Add 'plus minimising carbon emissions throughout the whole lifecycle' to the first sentence; •Replace 'an energy statement' (second sentence) with 'an energy and emissions statement'; •Add 'across the whole lifecycle' after 'Net Zero Development' (second bullet point); •Add 'Opportunities should be sought to reduce emissions associated with embodied carbon, such as repurposing rather than demolition or using low emission materials.' to paragraph 7.468; •Replace the first sentence of paragraph 7.471 with 'Developers are expected to submit a whole life carbon assessment of the development, covering both direct and indirect emissions, along with an independent energy assessment investigating the financial viability and technical feasibility of incorporating energy supply schemes.' | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 16         | Open Space                                    | Detailed Policies | OS1           |   | •Add (vi) 'The development enhances accessibility and amenity without damaging the natural environment or generating inadequately managed waste'; •In paragraph 7.439, replace 'assessed against a functional green space requirement' with 'assessed against a local functional green space requirement'; •Add to paragraph 7.440, 'Special protection will also be afforded to open space in  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic      | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|            |                       |            |            |                   |               |  | areas with a local shortage';<br>•In paragraph 7.443, replace 'Be of at least equal value to that being lost' with 'Be of at least equal natural and amenity value to that being lost'; •In paragraph 7.443, replace 'Be agreed between the Council and the developer' with 'Be agreed between the Council, the local community, and the developer'. |  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 17         | Open Space | Detailed Policies | OS2           | The plan does not sufficiently recognise the lack of open spaces in parts of the city and how this worsens the imbalances of inequality and deprivation and exclusion. Reducing those imbalances requires not just protecting existing open space but extending and enhancing where there is a local shortage. Suggest amendments to the plan to help address the issue as set out in para 7.447. The Plan should not delegate the criteria for decisions to the Open Space SPG. |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 18         | Open Space | Detailed Policies | OS2           | Should include access to public toilets  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 19         | Open Space | Detailed Policies | OS2           |  | •Add to paragraph 7.447. 'In densely populated areas, underused spaces such as rear lanes could become pocket parks or play areas with appropriate security measures'; •In paragraph 7.451, after 'high quality open space', insert 'green infrastructure'; •In paragraph 7.456, after 'opportunities for children to play', insert 'safely'.        | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 20         | Open Space | Detailed Policies | OS2           | Should also include the need for seating   |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                      | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
|            |                       |            |                            |                   |               |   |   | is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 21         | Play                       | Detailed Policies | P1            |   | Add (v), 'Developments should not remove spaces providing opportunities for formal or informal play without adequate compensation in the immediate vicinity.' | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 22         | Play                       | Detailed Policies | P1            | Should include access to public toilets   |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 23         | Play                       | Detailed Policies | P1            | Should also include the need for seating as those accompanying young children often need it. Charging points would also help those who need mobility scooters |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 24         | Retail and Evening Economy | Detailed Policies | R1 to R7      | Should include access to public toilets   |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 25         | Retail and Evening Economy | Detailed Policies | R8            | Should require outlets to make their toilets publicly available without the need to make a purchase.  |   | The comment is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 26         | Transport                             | Detailed Policies  | T1            | Amend policy   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•T1(ii) add, 'developments will protect and enhance existing and potential rights of way';</li> <li>•T1 (iii) add, 'developer contributions [s.106] should enable and integrate onsite cycling and walking routes to offsite developing walking and cycling routes';</li> <li>•T1(vi) add, 'such facilities will be provided at main public transport interchanges and park-and-ride locations';</li> <li>•Add new T1 (x), 'place planning will enable provision of cycle sharing with docking stations'</li> </ul> | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 27         | Transport                             | Detailed Policies  | T2            | Amend policy.  | Add new T2 (v), 'strategic bus corridors and stations will also apply to coach services and Infrastructure, especially with regard to safe and convenient transport interchange [T5]   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 28         | Transport                             | Detailed Policies  | T3            | Amend policy.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•T3 (iv) add, 'with easy access to platforms for carriage of cycles on trains and tram/train services';</li> <li>•Add new T3 (x), 'rail freight development will be supported, especially to the Port of Cardiff in support of SP9, and to transport interchanges'</li> </ul>   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 29         | Transport                             | Detailed Policies  | T6            | Amend policy.  | T6 (viii) add, 'plus easy access to platforms for carriage of cycles on trains and tram/train services.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 30         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Does not recognise buildings as vital nesting, roosting and hibernation sites for a variety of birds, bats and insects |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 31         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | does not fit the legal and policy obligations of the Local Authority, nor is it appropriate given the evidence of biodiversity decline leading to the declaration of a nature emergency. Detailed policies do not add much to SP21. They do not deliver on the legal duty to maintain and enhance biodiversity and mainly comprise statements of facts and or replicate information from PPW. Policies need to explain what the LPA expects from development. Some of these proposed changes to SP21 could instead be considered for detailed policies. | Add opening paragraph, 'Cardiff Council has declared a nature emergency, recognising the threat posed by the decline in biodiversity shown by the State of Nature 2023 Wales report. Council is committed to meeting its legal duties to maintain and enhance biodiversity under the Environment (Wales) Act 2016 and Planning Policy Wales and is a signatory to the Edinburgh Declaration on Biodiversity. Nature and biodiversity will be given a high weight in assessing the planning balance for all developments.' In sentence commencing 'Development will be expected', insert 'provide habitat and space for nature'. In paragraph 6.163, replace 'for its own sake' with 'essential for human existence'. Add new paragraph 6.nnn, Council expects all development applications to comply with - Planning Policy Wales; this RLDP, including its accompanying policies and guidance; any future relevant law, policies and guidance produced by Welsh Government, the Council and UK Government; any relevant guidance produced by professional bodies – e.g. British Standards Institution. Applications must demonstrate how they have complied with these documents. Applications that do not show how they are being met will be refused.' Add a new paragraph 6.nnn Council will refuse development applications | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic | Plan Section | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response |
|------------|----------|------------|-------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|
|            |          |            |       |              |               |                          | <p>that destroy, damage or degrade statutory conservation areas such as SSSIs. Non statutory sites of Interest for Nature Conservation (SINCs), including those sites that meet the criteria for SINC designation, but have not been so designated, may be considered for development, providing that the features for which the site has been designated are protected. Council recognises that some brownfield sites are rich in biodiversity. Accordingly, all development sites will be considered on their individual biodiversity merits. Brownfield sites will not automatically be favoured for development over greenfield sites.' Add new paragraph 6.nnn, 'Council recognises that the built environment can provide important habitat for biodiversity. Biodiversity must be central to development decisions on: Trees and hedgerows – must not be destroyed for development unless there are wholly exceptional circumstances. Mature trees are particularly precious – for example, a large oak tree provides a habitat for about 2,300 species - All available opportunities must be taken to plant native, nature-friendly trees and hedges; Ponds – provide a habitat for species such as waterfowl, fish, frogs, newts and dragonflies. No existing ponds can be destroyed and all available opportunities must be taken to build new (but not</p> |                  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic | Plan Section | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response |
|------------|----------|------------|-------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|--|------------------|
|            |          |            |       |              |               |                          | <p>ornamental) ponds;<br/> Landscaping – must be at least 75% native, nature-friendly habitats and plants. Grassland areas must be managed to promote meadow habitats; Built environment – all building proposals must include integrated bird, bat and insect boxes, hedgehog holes in fencing, log piles, etc;<br/> Lighting – poorly designed lighting is highly destructive of biodiversity and detrimental to human health . It must be avoided; Areas of scrub – often described as 'low amenity', scrub is a very important habitat. Areas of scrub must be preserved and new areas allowed to develop; Wildlife corridors – developments must ensure that wildlife can move from one habitat to another, to prevent the isolation – and probable loss - of a wide variety of species, such as hedgehogs. Planning applications must show that they have considered each of these issues and provide evidence if they are unable to incorporate these features. If they do not, the application will be refused. Biodiversity degradation, such as replacing a biodiverse area with a less biodiverse one, will not be permitted.'<br/> Replace paragraph 6.166 with 'Where planning permission is granted, it may be the subject of conditions or management agreements to ensure suitable protection, monitoring, mitigation or compensation and favourable</p> |                  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|            |                       |            |   |                    |               |  | management. Where Section 106 agreements or planning conditions are not being complied with, the LPA will take enforcement action.'  |  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 32         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure               | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Report highlights many issues with the current state of nature and biodiversity although frequently ignored in the Plan  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 33         | Central and Bay Business Area                       | Strategic Policies | SP9           | Whilst SP 9 stresses the economic benefits of tourism this is only in terms of new development - this view is too narrow. - heritage assets also includes assets such as urban parks and distinctive public houses. Protecting and promoting heritage and culture encourages visitors to stay longer enhancing economic benefits. Plan is too loosely worded to protect the historic environment and provide protection for what visitors come to see. |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 34         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17          |  | Add 'This Policy does not imply that developments within settlement boundaries need not provide sufficient high-quality open space and green infrastructure'.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 35         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Should include provision for public toilets  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 36         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Propose new policy on placemaking  | All developments with significant impact on communities, or on the natural or built environment, will follow the principles of the Wales Placemaking Charter, whether or not a Placemaking Plan is produced. The local community will be involved in | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic | Plan Section | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response |
|------------|----------|------------|-------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|
|            |          |            |       |              |               |                          | <p>the development of proposals. The needs, aspirations, health and well-being of all people will be considered at the outset. Proposals will be shaped to help to meet these needs as well as to create, integrate, protect and/or enhance a sense of community and promote equality. 1. Land will be used efficiently, supporting and enhancing existing places, and promoting the most sustainable locations. The location of housing, employment, leisure and other facilities will be planned to help reduce the need to travel and to protect and enhance nature and biodiversity. 2 Walking, cycling and public transport will be prioritised to provide a choice of transport modes and avoid dependence on private vehicles. Well-designed and safe active travel routes will connect to the wider active travel and public transport network, and public transport stations and stops will be positively integrated; 3 3. Development will be of a density and mix of uses and tenures that helps to support a diverse community and vibrant public realm, providing opportunities for community development, local business growth and access to jobs, services and facilities via walking, cycling or public transport; 4 Streets and public spaces will be well defined, welcoming, safe and inclusive with a distinct identity. They will be robust</p> |                  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic | Plan Section | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response |
|------------|----------|------------|-------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|---|------------------|
|            |          |            |       |              |               |                          | <p>and adaptable with well-integrated landscape, green infrastructure and sustainable drainage. They will be well connected to existing places and promote opportunities for social interaction and a range of activities for all people.; 5</p> <p>The unique features and opportunities of a location, including heritage, culture, language, built and natural physical attributes, will be identified and responded to, valuing and respecting the positive, distinctive qualities of existing places. 7.nnn</p> <p>Before any intervention in a new or existing place, the unique features of the location, its context, character, heritage and culture, must be understood. This involves analysis of physical features as well as engagement and involvement of the community from the outset. All professionals involved in shaping the built environment should be committed to placemaking and work collaboratively and creatively with other professionals and local people throughout the process to achieve this. 7.nnn</p> <p>Placemaking aims to create a place where there are opportunities to get involved in local life, with a vibrant public realm, where people can get to places easily through sustainable and active travel, and where people can get to know each other. This involves early planning considerations which begin to determine the potential level of activity in a</p> |                  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name | Rep Number | Topic | Plan Section | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response |
|------------|----------|------------|-------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|--|------------------|
|            |          |            |       |              |               |                          | <p>place. 7.nnn Placemaking creates or identifies spaces where community life and social interaction can take place. There should be sufficient, well located and well-designed public realm to support the life of a place, provide the opportunities for people to come together and a sense of community to develop. To support the identity of the place these spaces should be distinctive in their design and reflect their location, history and/or culture. Features of character and local distinctiveness should be defined in new development utilising the Welsh Language whenever possible. 7.nnn High quality architecture, landscape design and placemaking are a priority for all new development, housing, buildings, places, streets and green spaces. Places should be widely perceived as beautiful by those who live, work or visit there. 7.nnn Development should enable activity in spaces to support the association of meaning and a sense of connection to a place. This activity can range from large scale public events to small scale locally initiated events and activities such as play streets or community gardens. 7.nnn The physical form of streets and spaces used by the community should be robust and flexible to allow for changing use over generations. Opportunities for a sense of ownership and belonging to be expressed</p> |                  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name   | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
|            |  |            |   |                    |               |   | physically within properties, front gardens or a public space will help to enhance the identity of a place. 7.nnn High density mixed-use development will be promoted. The sub-division of existing homes, including flat conversions and HMOs, will be strictly controlled. |  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society  | 37         | Design                                    | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Plan should do more to fit the requirements of Planning Policy Wales para 3.6 regarding development addressing the issues of inclusivity and accessibility for all, including making provision to meet the needs of people with sensory, memory, learning and mobility impairments, older people and people with young children particularly in terms of public realm and open space  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society  | 38         | Design                                    | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Need for seating should apply throughout the public realm   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society/Cardiff University/Dr Daisy Dixon and Dr Panos Paris School of English, Communication and Philosophy | 39         | Design                                    | Strategic Policies | SP4           | RLDP omits the concept of beauty in its Strategic Policies in particular SP4 concerning design. SP4 is not consistent with the RLDPs overall goals for a stronger fairer greener city. Truly inclusive and environmentally friendly design is beautiful design. Embedding an appropriate concept of beauty as a matter of justice - beauty for all - would strengthen the internal consistency of the RLDP, ensuring that all communities can live in places embodying such beautiful design. Consider the concept of beauty should be woven into all the strategic policies. Supporting Paper Beauty in Design: Aesthetic Justice in Civic Society |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society  | 40         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Strategic Policies | SP13          | Should include provision for public toilets   |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 41         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Strategic Policies | SP13          | Propose change           | Add new paragraph 6.nnn, 'Biodiversity and green infrastructure play a vital role in securing health, wellbeing and resilience. Developments will protect and enhance biodiversity and green infrastructure for the sake of the health, wellbeing and resilience of the city's residents.'   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 42         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Strategic Policies | SP13          | Propose change           | Add after 'accessible and useable green and blue spaces', 'especially where there is a local shortage of these'.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 43         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Strategic Policies | SP13          | Propose change           | Add new paragraph 6.nnn, 'The extent and beauty of the city's natural and built environment, including its parks and heritage buildings, play a vital role in securing health, wellbeing and resilience. Developments will be expected to have beneficial effects on the contribution of the city's natural and built environment to the health, wellbeing and resilience of its residents.' | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 44         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Strategic Policies | SP13          | Propose change           | Within sentence starting 'This will be achieved by supporting developments', add 'provision of sufficient high quality drinking water and sewerage plus effective protection against flooding  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised                    | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 45         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Strategic Policies | SP14          | Propose change                              | In paragraph 6.112, add after 'shape the future of the city', 'New developments should enhance rather than detract from the heritage and culture of the city, including the character of local areas and the setting of historic buildings, and should aim to leave a legacy of which future generations can be proud.'  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 46         | Infrastructure                        | Strategic Policies | SP5           |   | Add under Necessary Infrastructure 'Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity Net Benefit'.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 47         | Infrastructure                        | Strategic Policies | SP5           |   | Under Essential/Enabling Infrastructure, after Flood Mitigation/defences add 'and sewerage'  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 48         | Infrastructure                        | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Should include provision for public toilets |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 49         | Natural Resources                     | Strategic Policies | SP22          |   | In paragraph 6.172, after 'serve the development', add 'without negatively impacting water and sewerage services elsewhere. Council will place conditions on all approved developments to ensure provision of adequate water supply and sewerage and the mitigation of flood risk, and will monitor and enforce those conditions to ensure compliance, including if necessary halting the development and/or obliging the developer to carry out remedial works at their | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
|            |                       |            |   |                    |               |  | expense. If unanticipated problems arise, Council may halt development until an assessment can be carried out and, if necessary, new conditions applied, monitored and enforced.'   |  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 50         | Natural Resources                             | Strategic Policies | SP22          | There are growing problems of flooding and sewerage worsened since the current RLDP was adopted. Plan is unsound as its policies are not sufficiently appropriate and rigorous.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 51         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Strategic Policies | SP20          |  | In paragraph 6.161, insert after 'This cooling will be required particularly in the city centre and District Centres', 'in dense residential areas with little open space or green infrastructure'.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 52         | Placemaking Plans                             | Strategic Policies | SP7           | The plan is unsound as it does not commit to the Wales Placemaking Charter. Objective 9 is only weakly implemented in Strategic Policies and there is no detailed policy on placemaking risking failure to deliver this objective. Propose an amendment to SP7 | Add initial sentence, 'All developments with significant impact on communities, or on the natural or built environment, will follow the principles of the Wales Placemaking Charter'. Add sentence to paragraph 6.71, 'All Masterplans should include a Placemaking Plan unless Council determines one is not required. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 53         | Planning Obligations                          | Strategic Policies | SP6           | Should include provision for public toilets  |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic                      | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 54         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods | Strategic Policies | SP12          |   | Add (viii) 'Providing sufficient high-quality open space and green infrastructure'   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 55         | Tourism                    | Strategic Policies | SP10          | Should include provision for public toilets   |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 56         | Tourism                    | Strategic Policies | SP10          | Propose change  | Add new paragraph 6.nn, 'The extent and beauty of the city's natural and built environment, including its parks and heritage buildings, play a vital role in attracting tourists to visit and stay. Development proposals will be assessed for their effects on the attractiveness of the city's natural and built environment to visitors | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 57         | Transport                  | Strategic Policies | SP18          | Broadly support the policy but the Plan could be enhanced to fit better with the goals of public and active travel. Integration with public transport or vehicular modes needs supporting text, while coach travel and nodes are neglected as is freight transport. |  | Comments noted.  |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 58         | Transport                  | Strategic Policies | SP18          | Policy should also include benches or other seating as many people cannot stand or walk for long periods. T2, T3 and T5 recognise the need for seating.   |  | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name              | Rep Number | Topic      | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 59         | Transport  | Strategic Policies | SP19          | Eastern Bay Link should be removed from the SP19 list of supported infrastructure projects as it does not fit with the Welsh Government's Roads Review   | Para 6.154 should be rewritten to read: 'The Eastern Bay Link Road has been a longstanding aspiration of the Council and was featured in the 2010 South East Wales Transport Alliance Regional Transport Plan. But the 2023 Welsh Government Roads Review Report raises doubts over whether it would be consistent with current policy. This project cannot now be supported unless it can be shown that it would not increase either capacity for private cars or car mileage per person.' | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 111        | Cardiff Civic Society | 60         | Whole Plan | Whole Plan         |               | RLDP is unsound as it does not coherently outline the vision, strategy and policies for the future development and use of land in Cardiff and does not fit the standards of the Development Plans Manual. The document is disjointed and lacks coherence required to understand how the policies will deliver the vision. Grouping of the objectives is different to the grouping of the strategic and detailed policies and the grouping of the detailed policies doesn't align with the strategic policies making it difficult for the reader - needs to be more consistent. The expansion of the strategic policies into detailed policies is uneven - a matrix with hyper links would help. There is excessive reliance on Supplementary Planning Guidance to provide detail. Appendix 4 lists 17 SPGs with no timeline for preparation. Five strategic policies and 24 detailed policies rely on SPG for further detail. Attendance at official in person consultation events was low and efforts to engage with under represented communities was largely ineffective. |   | Comment noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name               | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response |
|------------|------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|--|------------------|
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 01         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure               | Detailed Policies  | BG1           | Further protection is required for SAC's and SSSIs.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 02         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies  | CP3           | Questions the true validity of the sentiment to strengthen the Special Landscape Area around the Cardiff perimeter. A development of 650 houses has been permitted South of Creigiau and concerns about future development in the area. The SLA and should offer a stronger and more permanent protection for the long term - perhaps the Council should call for this as part of any regional plan. | I would like to see the council seeks to lobby for greater and permanent protection for the proposed special landscape area. | Comments noted.  |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 03         | Minerals and Waste                                  | Detailed Policies  | MW1           | Land west of the current quarrying activity above Radyr and below Pentyrch is set aside for mineral reserve for future decades - this is of great concern. Part of the land was/is classed as a SINIC. The landowner has recently dug over the land which has badly set it back - the land should be protected for future generations.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | Questions the true validity of the sentiment to strengthen the Green Wedge around the Cardiff perimeter. A development of 650 houses has been permitted South of Creigiau and concerns about future development in the area. The Green Wedge should offer a stronger and more permanent protection for the long term - perhaps the Council should call for this as part of any regional plan.        | I would like to see the council seeks to lobby for greater and permanent protection for the proposed Green Wedge.            | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name               | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 05         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The plan to build so many homes in Cardiff relies on just a handful of volume housebuilders with little incentive for small or medium sized housebuilders. Some smaller sites could be built quicker. Large scale developments in the city centre prevent homes becoming available for lower income families. It is highly unlikely that enough housing and amenities will be able to meet demand. Key sites will only be 70% by 2033 - 20 years after building commenced. |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 06         | Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Poor delivery rate of infrastructure and dwellings on Plasdwr to date. Lack of community facilities and public transport network - so many rely heavily on car use. There is little to no east/west transport links. The current build rate will not meet the target by 2036 so infrastructure will be delayed and traffic will get worse.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 07         | Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP5           | S106 funds from the developers under the category 'Community Facilities' has proven highly divisive. Many previous commitments to community facilities have not materialised. Some facilities have been provided but existing schools are already overcrowded and are under strain.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name               | Rep Number | Topic             | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 08         | Natural Resources | Strategic Policies | SP22          | Land needs greater protection for farming and food production uses. I do not believe enough priority and emphasis is being placed on this in the RLDP.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 09         | Cross Boundary    | Whole Plan         |               | I would also like to see the Council work in greater capacity with surrounding councils to improve interconnectivity and development in neighbouring towns and cities.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 10         | Housing           | Detailed Policies  | H1A           | Should be protected as special landscape area, or greater protection, for future generations of farming and food growing, is poorly connected by any form of transport or active travel. Any further release of land in this area jeopardises the already slow rate of building on existing permitted development.– Strong opposition from existing residents of Creigiau, and new residents of Junction 33 site.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 11         | Housing           |                    | H1A           | SH1.5 A section of the land to the west of St Illteyrn Church and Church Hall, between the church hall and the road to Pencoeed House, is not within the permitted boundary for development, while the remainder of this candidate site is. Badgers have been found on the land. If a solution for an access road can be sought, without harming the piece of protected land, then this site should be supported. In an ideal world, no development should exist here, and the site is rejected. But we find ourselves in a far from ideal world.– Developers would need to be held to the equivalent of their second revised proposal (more environmental measures such as solar |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name               | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
|            |                        |            |   |                   |               | power, electric car charging, ground/air source heat pumps) in a smaller permitted plot.  |                                       |                  |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 12         | Housing   |                   | H1A           | SH1.6 Goes against intention of current LDP proposal – to not release further land without permission for development.– New residents of J33 site concerned by overdevelopment of the area, while the current development is slow to progress   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 112        | Councillor Rhys Livesy | 13         | Minerals and Waste                                |                   | MW1           | Object to any housebuilding on land near quarries for following reasons:— Goes against intention of current LDP proposal – to not release further land without permission for development.– Land forms western a break between quarries and housing.– Land could be protected for future generations of farming and food growing.– poorly connected by any form of transport or active travel.– Recent approved planning applications in the area will already congest the area with traffic and add to the busy Heol Pant y Gored, Heol Goch/Main Road and Pentyrch connection with the A470 due to poor public transport and non existent train services in this area.– Any further release of land in this area jeopardises the already slow rate of building on existing permitted development. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 113        | Mandy Attwell          | 01         | Air, Noise, Light Pollution and Contaminated Land | Detailed Policies | PC1           | Need to measure clean air? Especially as identified that high readings in deprived areas such as Grangetown.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 113        | Mandy Attwell           | 02         | Housing            | Detailed Policies  | H1A           | SH1.7 Not enough information. Support this proposal but would like to see better transport links especially rail linking to Penarth Road  |   | Comment noted.   |
| 113        | Mandy Attwell           | 03         | Transport          | Strategic Policies | SP19          | Disappointing that there is no plan for rail in Grangetown. It is an area that is heavily driven through which is detrimental to health and well being. Need to adopt policy and put money into it. Need more measures to slow traffic down and put in cameras and other speed control measures. Support clean air zones and the 15 minute city approach which moves away from the keep traffic moving approach rather than creating liveable places. Need to consider pedestrians and cyclists more. |   | Comments noted.  |
| 113        | Mandy Attwell           | 04         | Process            | Whole Plan         |               | Suggest breaking the RLDP down into smaller more manageable documents   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Whilst the provision of affordable housing is important, it must be recognised that viability issues will impact on the delivery of some brownfield sites and at different stages of the planning process.  | Amend paragraph 7.24 to recognise that viability must be considered through the development management process. | Comment noted.   |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 02         | Economy            | Detailed Policies  | EC1           | Whilst the Cardiff Central Enterprise Zones and Regional Transport Hub is included under the Economy section it is important to recognise the contribution sites within the areas will make to the delivery of new housing, including land to the west of Dumballs Road.  |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                                      | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 03         | Economy                                       | Detailed Policies | EC3           | Important to ensure the policy allows sufficient flexibility for appropriate alternative uses to come forward such as residential. Policy should be amended to allow flexibility  | Delete the word significant from Criterion ii and replace with appropriate | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 04         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | Support the requirement for major development proposals to be supported by an energy statement, proportionate to the scale and nature of the development. Important as set out in para 7.471 that assessments consider the financial viability and technical feasibility of connecting to energy supply systems. Important to have flexibility so that each scheme can determine the most appropriate means of utilising renewable and low carbon technologies taking into account feasibility and viability. |  | Comments noted.  |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 05         | Open Space                                    | Detailed Policies | OS2           | We welcome the recognition in Paragraph 7.454 that the standards referred to in Policy OS2 will be applied flexibly for residential schemes of more than 20 dwellings. This recognises that in some instances, particularly on brownfield sites, strict adherence to standards would not be appropriate or practical.   |  | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic                         | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 06         | Retail and Evening Economy    | Detailed Policies  | R8            | Support the acknowledgement that the Central and Bay Business Area is appropriate location for food and drink uses. Need to provide flexibility for such uses to come forward on ground floors of new residential schemes. Where they can provide activation at the ground floor.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 07         | Tall Buildings                | Detailed Policies  | D1            | Supports the encouragement of tall buildings and their contribution to the delivery of homes , jobs and other uses. Proposals should be considered on a case by case basis. RLDP should include support for tall buildings but detailed guidance should be set out in SPG. Requirement for 'adaptability statements should be removed as it is not considered necessary for all developments and could create unnecessary requirements for future planning applications or make it clear that such statements are not required in all instances.                                 |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 08         | Central and Bay Business Area | Strategic Policies | SP8           | Strongly support the recognition that a wide range of uses are appropriate in the Central and Bay Business Area. Support the encouragement of new residential development as part of mixed use areas in the Central and Bay Business Areas. Need to acknowledge that retail / leisure uses will be supported within the wider Central and Bay Business area, where proportionate and appropriate to a particular location/development proposal, important to ensure that regeneration sites have sufficient flexibility to bring forward an appropriate and vibrant mix of uses. |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                                      | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 09         | Design         | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Support the recognition that high densities and tall buildings should be encouraged.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 10         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Para 6.30 recognises the important role of the existing landbank in delivering new homes. To ensure delivery through brownfield sites other policies in the plan need to provide a positive framework for the delivery of new homes.   | Para 6.39 should also include The Embankment - land west of Dumballs Road) | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 11         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Support the role and importance of major regeneration sites in delivering the preferred strategy housing and new jobs required over the plan period such as the land west of Dumballs Road in the Deposit Plan   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 12         | Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP5           | It should be recognised that not everything listed in the policy will be relevant to all developments. Need to reference the importance of viability when considering the provision of new infrastructure. It is noted that district heating and sustainable energy infrastructure is listed under necessary infrastructure. Need to ensure flexibility is allowed to enable individual schemes to assess the best technologies and measures available for a particular site/proposal - should be presented in an energy statement which takes into account feasibility and viability. |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 13         | Masterplanning                        | Strategic Policies          | SP3           | Supported in principle but must allow flexibility to allow master plans , parameter plans etc to be developed on a site by site basis.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 14         | Planning Obligations                  | Strategic Policies          | SP6           | Need to make reference to the need to consider viability in the policy and supporting text.  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 114        | Vastint UK Holdings Ltd | 15         | Objectives                            | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | Supports Objective 1, Objective 2 ,Objective 7, and Objective 9  |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 115        | Rob Murray              | 01         | Transport                             | Proposals Map               |               | The RLDP should identify and protect sites for stations at Crwys Road, Butetown, Cardiff Parkway, Ely Mill, Roath Park, Gabalfa, Newport Road and Pierhead Street.   |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 115        | Rob Murray              | 02         | Transport                             | Strategic Policies          | SP19          | The RLDP should identify and protect sites for stations at Crwys Road, Butetown, Cardiff Parkway, Ely Mill, Roath Park, Gabalfa, Newport Road and Pierhead Street. Failure to identify and protect station sites will potentially prejudice the coming forward of these sites and threaten the delivery of Objective 5. The Plan is to 2037 many of the station were identified for completion by 2024 |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 116        | For Cardiff             | 01         | Economy                               | Detailed Policies           | EC10          | Welcomes reference to the agent of change principle.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 116        | For Cardiff             | 02         | Economy                               | Detailed Policies           | EC10          | Support policy inclusion but suggests a broader definition.  | Include a broader definition to reflect daytime hospitality and cultural uses. | Comments noted.  |
| 116        | For Cardiff             | 03         | Economy                               | Detailed Policies           | EC6           | Marketing information required when sites are considered for alternative uses such as residential uses needs more clarity.   | More clarity needed on marketing information.                                  | Comments noted.  |
| 116        | For Cardiff             | 04         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies           | HE1           | Policy makes no reference to the CBA and the Civic Centre.   | Include reference to the CBA and particularly the Civic Centre.                | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name    | Rep Number | Topic                         | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 116        | For Cardiff | 05         | Retail and Evening Economy    | Detailed Policies  | R2            | There is tension between 7.163 that recognises a broader range of uses beyond retail is key for centres of the future and 7.162's desire to maintain a quantity of shops. Retail vacancy in Cardiff is higher than the GB average - Cardiff 25% compared to 15% nationally. This represents an over supply of retail units. |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 116        | For Cardiff | 06         | Retail and Evening Economy    | Detailed Policies  | R2            | Support Policy R2   |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 116        | For Cardiff | 07         | Retail and Evening Economy    | Detailed Policies  | R3            | Refers to potential for conversion to A2 and A3 uses where appropriate but not D1 and D2.   | Consider including D1 and D2 uses in line with the Town Centre First Approach and the trend for high streets becoming spaces for community functions rather than pure retail. | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 116        | For Cardiff | 08         | Retail and Evening Economy    | Detailed Policies  | R3            | Excludes reference to Dominions Arcade.   | Include reference to Dominions Arcade (an Edwardian Arcade) alongside the Victorian Arcades.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 116        | For Cardiff | 09         | Retail and Evening Economy    | Detailed Policies  | R3            | Support concept of retail frontages as far as is suitable within a wider framework  |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 116        | For Cardiff | 10         | Retail and Evening Economy    | Detailed Policies  | R8            | Assessing A3 proposals against Policy R3 would hinder Cardiff's growing food scene.   |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 116        | For Cardiff | 11         | Transport                     | Detailed Policies  | T1            | Only allowing planning permission for development that minimises the need to travel is unhelpful and in direct competition with wider aims to encourage tourism activity in the city.   | Policy should focus on developments encouraging those who can travel by active methods (walking, cycling, wheeling etc) rather than reducing the need to travel overall.      | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 116        | For Cardiff | 12         | Central and Bay Business Area | Strategic Policies | SP8           | The City Centre Recovery Plan is near its end date and it would be useful to understand how a replacement document will interact with the RLDP.   |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                     | Rep Number | Topic                      | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 116        | For Cardiff                  | 13         | Design                     | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Policy lacks ambition and focus in relation to the city's identity and provides limited guidance on how tall building can provided attractive, original and distinctive architecture. | Policy needs to ensure the unique local elements of historic buildings and other points of interest are not value engineered out of projects and avoid previous practice of maintaining historic frontages but damaging their interface with the wider place by installing incongruous all buildings behind them. | Comments noted.  |
| 116        | For Cardiff                  | 14         | Design                     | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Welcome the reference to gender sensitive design but there is little follow up detail on this new element within the plan.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 116        | For Cardiff                  | 15         | Economy                    | Strategic Policies | SP1 and SP2   | Repetitions   | Delete repetition   | Paragraph 6.35 applies to Policy SP1 and paragraph 6.38 applies to SP2.  |
| 116        | For Cardiff                  | 16         | Economy                    | Strategic Policies | SP2           | B8 uses are better suited to the edge of the city given their interaction with transport infrastructure.  | Exclude the protection of B8 uses in the CBA.   | Comments noted.  |
| 116        | For Cardiff                  | 17         | Infrastructure             | Strategic Policies | SP5           | The reference to public art as a necessary infrastructure is welcomed.  |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 116        | For Cardiff                  | 18         | Sustainable Neighbourhoods | Strategic Policies | SP12          | The description of the primary function of district centres as providing 'local shopping facilities' is restrictive.  | Expand to include primary services such as health care, cultural services and community facilities in line with the principle of 15-20 minute neighbourhoods and this would also align better with para 7.158 which recognises that centres are moving away from traditional retail roles.                        | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 117        | Menter Caerdydd, Heulyn Rees | 01         | Welsh Language             | Detailed Policies  | C4            | The ambition should be that 100% of new schools are Welsh medium rather than the 50% in the proposed policy.  | Change policy to 100% of new school provision being in Welsh medium. The intention should also be strengthened by removing the words 'seek to'  | This issue is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan and will require changes to the Council's current approach to the provision of Welsh Medium education.   |
| 117        | Menter Caerdydd, Heulyn Rees | 02         | Welsh Language             | Detailed Policies  | WL1           | Request that a condition is attached to all planning permissions requiring support for community projects promoting the Welsh   |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                     | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section           | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|            |                              |            |                                       |                        |               | language, promoting Welsh language education and safeguarding the Welsh language   |  |  |
| 117        | Menter Caerdydd, Heulyn Rees | 03         | Welsh Language                        | Strategic Policies     | SP15          | Given the large number of proposed developments identified in the south of the city it would be appropriate to identify land for additional Welsh medium education establishments in the south of the city | Amend Proposals Map to show land for Welsh medium education in the south of the city   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 117        | Menter Caerdydd, Heulyn Rees | 04         | Welsh Language                        | Strategic Policies     | SP15          | Welcome the clear vision set out in relation to Welsh language and associated sections   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales      | 01         | Context and Key Issues                | Context and Key Issues |               | Page 22 of the Deposit Plan states the River Ely is in 'bad condition.' We advise the River Ely is in poor condition.  |  | This minor change of supporting text is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales      | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies      | BG1           | It may not be necessary to include both statutory and non-statutory sites in the policy. Paragraph 7.349 refers to 177 SINCS, however the constraints map shows 181 - which is the correct number.         | The paragraph 7.349 should refer to 181 SINCS not 177.   | This minor change of supporting text is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales      | 03         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies      | BG1           | This paragraph includes an unequivocal statement regarding SSSI notification which we are unable to support.   | Amend to remove the underlined text: '7.350 Geological and geomorphological sites of importance that do not merit notification as a SSSI may also be designated as a SINC or Regionally Important Geological Site (RIGS). Such sites define the most important places for geology and geomorphology outside those that are statutorily protected. Geological sites within Cardiff will be designated during the Plan period. The aim of this Policy is to protect the LNRs, SINCS and RIGS referred to above.' | This minor change of supporting text is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response     |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|--|----------------------|
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 04         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG2           | We welcome this policy - it aligns well with the RENs from Area Statements.  |  | Support is welcomed. |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 05         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG3           | We welcome the policy but have a number of suggestions. We consider iii is unclear about what 'self sustaining' means. | Re-word point iii as follows: 'iii. Mitigation measures that are considered both self-sustaining for an appropriate term post construction, and sustainable financially are provided by the developer.' For the avoidance of doubt - we also suggest the policy is amended to include the following underlined text: 'Where harm is unavoidable it will be minimised by effective mitigation to ensure that there is no reduction in the overall nature conservation/ecological value of the area or species/group. Such mitigation must inform rather than be informed by design. Where this is not possible compensation measures designed to conserve, enhance, manage and, where appropriate, restore natural habitats and species will be provided by the applicant.' | Comments noted.      |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 06         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG3           | Suggest some wording from the paragraph is removed.  | Remove the underlined text: '7.368 Development proposals that have the potential to cause a significant adverse effect on priority habitats and species will need to be accompanied by an ecological survey and  | Comments noted.      |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response     |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|--|----------------------|
|            |                         |            |                                       |                   |               |   | an assessment of the likely impact of the development on the protected species. The need for such assessments will be undertaken at the appropriate time of year...  |                      |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 07         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG3           | Suggest some additional text is included in the paragraph.  | '7.370 Where planning permission is granted, the Council may attach conditions or enter into agreements that would overcome the potentially damaging effects of development on the habitats or species of conservation importance. The Council will encourage the applicant to identify and include measures that contribute to the restoration or expansion of important habitats and species...' | Comments noted.      |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 08         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG4           | We support the policy but reference to the GI Assessment as a document tool to be used in the preparation of GI Statements and any SPG should be included.  |  | Support is welcomed. |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 09         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG5           | We advise reference is also made to ensure other woodlands adjacent to developments are suitably buffered. We also suggest the reasoned justification refers to the need for tree planting proposals to include as assessment of the habitats to be replaced to ensure tree planting does not impact on semi natural or existing species rich habitats. |  | Comments noted.      |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 10         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG6           | We strongly support this policy.  |  | Support is welcomed. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 11         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure               | Detailed Policies | BG7           | We strongly support this policy. The RJ refers to the sites as National Sites - however we advise it is still appropriate to refer to them as 'European Sites'. Collectively the UK's European sites now comprise what is referred to in the Habitats Regs as the National Site Network. |   | Support is welcomed.   |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 12         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies | CP4           | Whilst we support the policy in principle, it should be amended to ensure there is also sufficient protection for the tributaries and small headwaters of those strategic rivers in the city boundary.   | Change policy CP4 so the policy relates to the protection of all watercourses not only the strategic river valleys which are referenced in the 1st paragraph of the policy.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 13         | Economy   | Detailed Policies | EC3           | EC3.4 This employment land would benefit from a strategic biodiversity conservation plan to safeguard protected species and habitats - the current piecemeal approach is challenging in terms of taking developments forward and delivering coherent ecological mitigation.              |   | Comment noted.   |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 14         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources             | Detailed Policies | W3            | We support the policy and are happy with the wording. We make some suggestions regarding the reasoned justification.   | The Caldicot and Wentloog Levels Internal Drainage Board was absorbed into NRW in 2014. We suggest you include objectives of the draft Local Flood Risk Management Strategy in paragraph 7.491. It would be also beneficial to include your Authority's role in managing local flood risk as well as being the SUDS approving body.   | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 15         | Flood Risk and Managing Water Resources             | Detailed Policies | W3            | We support the policy and are happy with the wording. We make some suggestions regarding the reasoned justification.   | Paragraph 7.488 can be updated to reflect the introduction on the new TAN 15 and remove any old terminology from the previous 2004 version. The word 'high' should be removed from the following statement as this is not included in the definition within the Flood Map for planning : 'The Flood Map for Planning shows high flood risk areas and are based on Natural Resources Wales | This minor change of policy is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.   |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic                | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                   | Council Response |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---|------------------|
|            |                         |            |                      |                   |               |  | extreme flood outlines for tidal and fluvial flooding.' |                  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 16         | Gypsy and Travellers | Detailed Policies | H11           | Further assessment regarding breach and/ or overtopping is carried out before progressing with the proposed allocation. The occupation of the site would be subject to the completion and implementation of the flood defence scheme.  |   | Comment noted.   |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 17         | Housing              | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.7 We advise this site is suitable as an allocation within the Plan, subject to certain matters being addressed at the planning application stage.  |   | Comment noted.   |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 18         | Housing              | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.2 We're uncertain whether there has been an ecological assessment of this site. We have some reservations whether the eastly parcel could be taken forward given its nature conservation value.   |   | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 19         | Housing              | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.5 The primary risk of flooding to the site is from tidal sources, information contained within the Stage 2 SFCA shows acceptable risk of flooding and it is therefore a suitable allocation within the Plan - subject to certain matters being addressed at the application stage.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 20         | Housing              | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.7 It is difficult to interpret the risk to the Callaghan Square site, based on the SFCA - we query why a breach and/or overtopping assessment hasn't been considered. We advise this is considered prior to RLDP submission. If the site is carried forward you should commit to avoiding highly vulnerable development on the ground floors. |   | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 21         | Housing              | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.2 The site will likely need significant environmental provision - including buffer to the woodlands on site, robust ecological corridors. The site may need functional separation of public open space and areas for biodiversity compensation. A masterplan should be provided to reflect this.   |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 22         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4 These sites should be subject to a combined masterplan along with the J33 development to demonstrate how nature conservation interests will be maintained and enhanced and how habitat connectivity and resilience will be provided across the site and wider area.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 23         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1B           | H1.1 Further information and assessments will be need to better understand whether the impacts on biodiversity and ecology are in line with PPW's stepwise approach. Need to consider if the SSSI will be affected and whether this will affect how many dwellings could potentially be built on the site. Green infrastructure should be designed with policy EC3.12. In light of it being in an area at risk of flooding, we query why a breach/overtopping assessment hasn't been considered. We advise further assessments are carried out to assess this. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 24         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.6 The Stage 2 SFCA confirms the site is flood free during a 1% climate change fluvial event and at low risk of tidal flooding. The site is suitable as an allocation within the Plan, subject to matters being addressed at the application stage.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 25         | Key Diagram                           | Key Diagram        |               | River corridors – Key Diagram in the Deposit Plan shows river corridors extend to the local authority boundary. Whereas on the Proposals Map, river corridors are not shown to extend to the local authority boundary, but the river SINC's do. |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 26         | Proposals Map                         | Proposals Map      | H2.3          | On the Proposals Map, site H2.3 Cardiff Gate Business Park (West) is not labelled.  | On the Proposals Map, site H2.3 Cardiff Gate Business Park (West) is not labelled.  | This minor change to the Proposals Map is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 27         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | We support this policy principle but have a number of suggestions for the reasoned justification.   | Para 6.165 - we consider the sentence should end at 'resilience of ecosystems'. The addition of the second part of the sentence regarding balancing wider economics and social needs is not from the Act. | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 28         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | We support this policy principle but have a number of suggestions for the reasoned justification.   | Para 6.166 - amend the text as follow: 'Where development is permitted, planning conditions and/or obligations will be used to maintain or enhance the green infrastructure network.                      | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
|            |                         |            |                                       |                    |               |   | New developments should incorporate new and / or enhanced green infrastructure of an appropriate size, type and standard to ensure no fragmentation or loss of connectivity and maintain or enhance the diversity of local species and habitats.'  |  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 29         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Suggest introducing a new paragraph focused on Area Statements - we advise the South Central Area Statement and the Marine Area Statement   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 30         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | We fully support and agree with the objective and principles of the guidance within paragraph 6.167. Public open spaces associated with new developments need to be substantial enough to provide this recreational resource but also conserve any existing biodiversity.   |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 31         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | There is a typo in SP21: Maintaining and Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity Point iv) remove 'the'.  | There is a typo in SP21: Maintaining and Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity Point iv) remove 'the'.   | This minor change of policy is not necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound but for the reason stated is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes. |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 32         | Design                                | Strategic Policies | SP4           | We welcome this policy, in particular requirement for schemes over 100 houses to have a masterplan. We advise reference is made to the need to set arise bespoke areas for biodiversity - which are in addition to and not always part of the public open space requirement.  |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 33         | Masterplanning                        | Strategic Policies | SP3           | We generally support this policy. There is some overlap with SP4. There is no reference to natural heritage or biodiversity within the policy. Allocated sites will need robust GI. In addition to requiring the hierarchy of green and urban public spaces, the reference could be stronger in terms of requiring the DAS to demonstrate the site can deliver habitats for biodiversity. | Amend policy to include the need to identify and consider the biodiversity features or habitats on site. We advise D) should also highlight the need for Design and Access Statements. Consider preparing guidance on the format and expected content of a Green Infrastructure Management Strategy. Include reference to the GI Assessment and that the masterplanning approach should be influenced by this. | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic                                  | Plan Section         | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|--|----------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 34         | Final Integrated Sustainability Report | Supporting Documents |               | It would be helpful to mention in 7.4 that coastal squeeze issues relating to SMP HTL policies were considered in the AA, but a conclusion of no adverse effect was made due to the fact that this issue has already been addressed through the SMP HRA and associated Imperative Reasons of Overriding Public Interest case.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 35         | Green Infrastructure Assessment        | Supporting Documents |               | It is positive to see the GI Assessment highlight examples of nature networks. We welcome the reference to the Cardiff Nature Recovery Action Plan (NRAP) and note the inclusion of Nature Recovery Zones and RENs as part of that. When discussing the key issues and challenges highlighted in the South Central Area Statement (3.2.4), we advise all Area Statement themes are referenced. Geological based SSSIs as well as broader geodiversity interests within the county, should also be included in the GI Assessment. We suggest listing all four RENs (e.g. Gwent levels, Ely, Cardiff City and North Cardiff Woodlands), with the corresponding nature recovery zones within them. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 36         | Green Infrastructure Assessment        | Supporting Documents |               | In the footnotes on page 10 of the GI Assessment, the hyperlink to Cardiff NRAP goes to the Cardiff Third Sector Council website. We suggest this could link to the Cardiff Local Nature Partnership - Outdoor Cardiff website instead.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 37         | Green Infrastructure Assessment        | Supporting Documents |               | In section 3.2.5 of the GI Assessment when discussing Ecosystem profiles, we suggest reference is made to NRW, as done in section 3.2.8.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 38         | Green Infrastructure Assessment        | Supporting Documents |               | In section 3.2.25 of the GI Assessment, as per our comments above on BG7: Severn Estuary and Cardiff Beech Woods Recreational Pressure, SAC and SPA are European designated sites, not International designated sites. Ramsar site is an International designation.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 39         | Green Infrastructure Assessment        | Supporting Documents |               | Appendix 1 'GIA 2024 – Data Set Summary' of the GI Assessment does not contain any information.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 40         | Green Infrastructure Assessment        | Supporting Documents |               | In the Drawings appended to the GI Assessment, on the Overview Ward Plan, the label is missing from the Canton ward.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                | Rep Number | Topic                                   | Plan Section         | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                            | Council Response |
|------------|-------------------------|------------|---|----------------------|---------------|---|--|------------------|
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 41         | Habitats Regulations Assessment         | Supporting Documents |               | We have identified a range of issues regarding the HRA which could make a material difference to the outcome of the decision making process for this project - we consider these matters may be resolved through further assessment, further evidence or amendments. The issues highlighted relates to the follow areas - Marine and Coastal Physical Processes, Marine Fish, Marine Ornithology, Marine Water Quality, Freshwater Fish, Cardiff Beech Woods Special Area of Conservation.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 42         | Strategic Flood Consequences Assessment | Supporting Documents |               | In light of the changes made in the revision to TAN15 a number of recommendations are made regarding the SFCA in terms of additional information that is required. In particular regarding two sites (International Sports Village H2,1 and Roath Dock North Side (H2.4) as they were not considered in the SFCA. Further information in the SFCA is required regarding the potential for breach or overtopping on proposed sites which benefit from flood defences and fall within a TAN 15 Defended Zone.   | Additional information is needed to be included within the SFCA. | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 43         | Strategic Flood Consequences Assessment | Supporting Documents |               | There are typos in the SFCA. 'Cwym Farm' should be Cwm Farm, and 'Llwynnioli Farm' should be Llwynioli Farm.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 118        | Natural Resources Wales | 44         | Strategic Flood Consequences Assessment | Supporting Documents |               | In the SFCA there are two site appraisals called Cardiff Enterprise Zone. We suggest the second of these appraisals is incorrectly labelled and should perhaps be labelled Whitchurch Hospital/Velindre Site.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 119        | Ian Vincent             | 01         | Whole Plan                              | Whole Plan           |               | <p>The Deposit Plan is seen as well-intentioned but flawed, with gaps and vague commitments likely to be overridden by "The Planning Balance." It conflicts with Cardiff's Nature Emergency declaration and the Well-being of Future Generations Act, especially given the environmental damage from overdevelopment and poor infrastructure.</p> <p>While short-term delivery may be possible, the long-term impact—particularly around flooding and water quality—is concerning. There's a call to protect nature, halt species loss, and support community-led solutions for essentials like food, housing, and energy. Clean water and flood risk</p> |  | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name   | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
|            |  |            |                                       |                   |               | are highlighted as the most urgent issues, with detailed input submitted via Cardiff Civic Society.   |   |  |
| 120        | Cardiff, Vale and Valleys Green Party/Ken Barker | 01         | Affordable Housing                    | Detailed Policies | H3            | Amend policy  | that contain 5 or more dwellings, omit “or”, replace with “and” sites of or exceeding 0.1 hectares.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 120        | Cardiff, Vale and Valleys Green Party/Ken Barker | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Detailed Policies | BG5           | Amend policy  | “removal of and / or significant harm to trees, woodlands and hedgerows will not be permitted.” [delete after]  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 120        | Cardiff, Vale and Valleys Green Party/Ken Barker | 03         | Play                                  | Detailed Policies | P1            | Amend policy  | iii. add, “developer contributions may also be sought for provision of play and informal recreation outside of the local area, where sufficiency is not being met.” | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 120        | Cardiff, Vale and Valleys Green Party/Ken Barker | 04         | Tall Buildings                        | Detailed Policies | D1            | Amend policy  | Tall buildings will be .. [omit “generally”] supported where they’, add, “meet all of the following criteria:”  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 121        | Llewellyn Jones                                  | 01         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies | HE1           | Protecting Cardiff's Character and Heritage - Cardiff's unique charm is being lost as historic buildings are replaced with bland modern developments and generic skyscrapers. The city centre needs stronger protection and more thoughtful planning. Why not focus modern large scale developments in Cardiff Bay where contemporary architecture fits more naturally with the surroundings. |   | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name        | Rep Number | Topic         | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 121        | Llewellyn Jones | 02         | Transport     | Detailed Policies  | T1            | Cycle Lane Implementation - The currently layout of cycle lanes in the city centre is impractical and on parts of Newport Road came at the cost of a dedicated bus lane meaning buses now have to use general traffic lanes. In 10 years, many people will be driving EVs and cycle lanes may be underused. Cycle lanes should be moved off major roads and routed through quieter backstreets or minor roads running parallel to main ones. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 121        | Llewellyn Jones | 03         | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous      |               | Short-term building lifespans - Why are still building structures that are destined to be torn down in 30 to 40 years? We should be creating more long term assets like the Civic Centre.  |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 121        | Llewellyn Jones | 04         | Transport     | Proposals Map      | T3            | The plan should include the new train stations and lines set out in the South Wales Metro.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.               |
| 121        | Llewellyn Jones | 05         | Economy       | Strategic Policies | SP2           | The plan should do more to promote independent businesses to give our communities their distinct character.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 121        | Llewellyn Jones | 06         | Transport     | Strategic Policies | SP19          | The plan should include a new bridge over the Taff which could significantly reduce congestion and commuter times across the city.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 121        | Llewellyn Jones | 07         | Process       | Whole Plan         |               | Need to directly engage with the public, not hope we stumble across your plans online. It often feels like decisions are made behind closed doors, and our money is spent without genuine consultation.  |                                       | The RLDP consultation process has been carried out in accordance with the Delivery Agreement which has been agreed with the Welsh Government. The Consultation Report sets out the extensive consultation and engagement undertaken. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                             | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 122        | Jo Jacob                             | 01         | Design                                | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Hopes planners have experienced navigating Cardiff with diverse groups including children, elderly and people with disabilities to understand accessibility challenges and references the work of influential planners/urban thinkers with an emphasis on community focussed urban spaces, design pattens that support human well being and pedestrian friendly spaces. Refers to Goldsmith Street (Norwich) as a model for sustainable high quality social housing and emphasises the value of art and small scale environments that foster human connections.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 122        | Jo Jacob                             | 02         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Strategic Policies | SP14          | Highlights the importance of cultural heritage.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 123        | Philip Lenthall & Bernadette Crowley | 01         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies  | HE1           | City's historic centre should be protected. City centre should be kept as attractive as possible for visitors and residents.  |  | Comments noted.  |
| 123        | Philip Lenthall & Bernadette Crowley | 02         | Tall buildings                        | Detailed Policies  | D1            | Too many recent developments are not aesthetically pleasing - Brains Brewery. Not necessary to always build tower blocks when a lower building with a larger footprint could deliver the same units.  |  | Comment noted.   |
| 124        | Quin & Co & CPS Homes                | 01         | Economy                               | Detailed Policies  | EC6           | Requiring an office to be vacant for 2 years is dubious - it is likely that after two year of lack of maintenance that the likelihood of a commercial occupant would reduce - as well as any change of use. This would cause direct harm to the area.   | It is therefore suggested that paragraph 7.124 is omitted on the basis no evidence has been provided to justify its conclusion and therefore is not sound.   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 124        | Quin & Co & CPS Homes                | 02         | Housing                               | Detailed Policies  | H5            | Object to the threshold of 20% in the Cathays and Plasnewydd wards being included in the policy, which is even further restrictive by point iii) 'the development would not result in a Class C3 dwelling being sandwiched between adjoining HMO properties.' The 20% threshold has been extensively tested by Welsh Government Planning Inspectors through a number of appeals - were it was found that the Council could not demonstrate or evidence the cumulative impact of HMO conversions affecting the amenity and/or character of the area. The evidence the Council uses is based on the HMO RLDP Background Paper by Newport Council. | If the planning inspector was minded to accept the RLDP H5 policy, it is suggested that in light of findings of the appeals on the issues pertaining to amenity and character of the area, a level of 65% would be in line with the planning inspectorate appeal | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 125        | Jennifer McClelland        | 01         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure               | Strategic Policies | SP21          | The plan fails to protect Cardiff's remaining green spaces. Small, fragmented areas with immature planting are not a meaningful substitute for the larger, established spaces already lost. This is especially concerning given the city's growing population and the need for accessible, quality open space.   |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 125        | Jennifer McClelland        | 02         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Support the use of more brownfield sites for more housing, instead of using greenfield areas.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.  |
| 125        | Jennifer McClelland        | 03         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change       | Strategic Policies | SP20          | Increased flood risk is a major concern, with more hard surfaces and reduced natural drainage likely to worsen the situation.  |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 126        | Cardiff Conservative Group | 01         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | Support the creation of a Green Belt to replace the Green Wedge  |                                       | Designation of a Green Belt is beyond the scope of the Plan |
| 126        | Cardiff Conservative Group | 02         | Design  | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Consider 'securing good quality and sustainable design' is subjective and question what it means   |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 126        | Cardiff Conservative Group | 03         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Should opt for the lowest growth option on housing as there are sites not completed and don't want to be in a position where developments are started but don't complete their planned development before the trigger points for obligations are met. Keep the higher level of jobs growth as this is good for Cardiff and also encourages more housing outside Cardiff acting as an incentive to improve transport links. Needs more details on how the jobs target will be met. Support the aim to focus on brownfield development and dont support sites north of the M4. |                                       | Comment noted.  |
| 126        | Cardiff Conservative Group | 04         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Much of the housing allocation included in the RLDP has already been included in the current plan therefore new policies will only apply to a relatively small proportion of new housing. Whilst it is possible to retrospectively apply the new policies to existing approved sites through reserved matters it is unclear just what the Council's intentions are in this matter.   |                                       | Comment noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                   | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|----------------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 126        | Cardiff Conservative Group | 05         | Infrastructure                                      | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Infrastructure is key and needs to be constructed at an early stage on new developments. Important that transport infrastructure does not slip.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 126        | Cardiff Conservative Group | 06         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change       | Strategic Policies | SP20          | We note the intention to support renewable energy 'where possible' however it is disappointing that the RLDP isn't more ambitious in its aims for increasing renewable energy microgeneration on new build properties, although understand the constraints with Building Regulations and Welsh Government Guidance.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 126        | Cardiff Conservative Group | 07         | Transport   | Strategic Policies | SP18          | Not confident that the targets in modal split will be achieved leading to some form of Road User Charging Opposed to any form of Road User Charging   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 126        | Cardiff Conservative Group | 08         | Cross Boundary                                      | Whole Plan         |               | We are concerned regarding development in neighbouring authorities close to boundary adding pressures on our infrastructure and services yet remaining out of our control. Would encourage early co operation with other LAs as we move towards an SDP in 2036.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 127        | Sarah Jones                | 01         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure               | Detailed Policies  | BG5           | The Council seems at odds with Coed Caerdydd's aims by chopping down trees for no reason. All trees within the central areas should have preservation orders on them to protect the loss of street and back garden trees and habitat and corridors for garden wildlife. If householders want to chop down a tree on their property they should be forced to get permission and plant replacements. In addition retail parks should be required to maintain the trees they had with their planning permission.   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 127        | Sarah Jones                | 02         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies  | CP3           | The fields at Newton Road, off Wentloog Avenue are shown at special landscape area on the plan. Page 146 of the RLDP states that the Wentloog Levels will be protected. However, the Council has a poor record of protecting this area. Fly tipping has blighted the Wentloog Levels and as it is on the border between Cardiff and Newport seems to get forgotten. Cardiff has located large industrial parks there. But these have degraded the roads due to heavy trucks and Neal's Soil Suppliers have been allowed to stockpile at great heights, which must have destroyed the virgin soil structure beneath these stockpiles. Groundwater flows will have been affected. |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name    | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
|            |             |            |                                       |                   |               | How Is the RLDP proposing to protect these areas of 'special landscape'? There are no details of what will or won't be allowed.   |                                       |                  |
| 127        | Sarah Jones | 03         | Gypsy and Travellers                  | Detailed Policies | H10 & H11     | The plan is not clear, whether the two existing sites will be closed and the pitches provided in the new area of Seawall Road. H10 states that the current provision is 80 (59 at Shirenewton and 21 at Rover Way). Further that the requirement is for 117 by 2035. The new site proposed at Tremorfa is stated to allow 80 pitches (para 7.73). The area shown on the interactive map is however, very large. I am in favour of using the new Tremorfa Site, but providing the full 117 pitches here on a slightly larger site if needed. The Rover Way site and the Shirenewton site should be closed. It also allows the Traveller children to attend the local school. |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 127        | Sarah Jones | 04         | Heritage and the Historic Environment | Detailed Policies | HE1           | ALL remaining historic buildings in the city centre need to be protected from demolition. Refurbishment of them is possible if the Council enforce this. There should be no further high rise buildings because these totally change the character of the city. There should now be a ban on anything over 7 stories within the city centre area.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name    | Rep Number | Topic         | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 127        | Sarah Jones | 05         | Transport     | Detailed Policies | T1            | Support active travel but replacing bus lanes with cycle lanes is counterproductive given our climate makes bus transport is more viable than cycling. Cycle lanes are better suited to side roads, which run parallel to main roads as they are safer and more appealing to cyclists. In addition the proposed cycle bridge over the Taff at Grangetown is seen as excessive given the location of the a nearby bridge. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 127        | Sarah Jones | 06         | Transport     | Detailed Policies | T1            | The Wales National Coast path goes through Cardiff. The areas that this goes through need to be improved to encourage locals and visitors to use it and so gain benefits by exercise and mental health. Public transport to the Wales Coast Path should also be improved. As residents in Roath we would like to use buses to get to the path.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 127        | Sarah Jones | 07         | Transport     | Detailed Policies | T2            | The Cardiff bus on Wentloog Avenue should connect with the extended Newport Bus service to Peterstone. This would benefit commuters to EC3 and improve access to the Wales Coast Path.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 127        | Sarah Jones | 08         | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous     |               | I acknowledge that we need more houses. But I question whether building houses next to the M4 motorway is the best location – due to pollution causing health issues. Surely a green corridor along the M4 would benefit new residents by keeping houses away from the traffic noise and fumes. It would also benefit wildlife by providing a corridor for travelling along.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 127        | Sarah Jones | 09         | Miscellaneous | Miscellaneous     |               | I strongly want to have no mow areas in Cardiff Parks. The area designated currently is very, very small. Only one small triangle in Waterloo Gardens The Sandies and the next in that river corridor is not until Roath Park itself. This does not demonstrate that the Council has any commitment to biodiversity.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                                     | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|--|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 127        | Sarah Jones                                  | 10         | Miscellaneous  | Miscellaneous      |               | The Council could be more active in helping residents set up community larders. I use those in my area and contribute to them. OLIO App is for volunteers who pick up end of sell by food from supermarkets. The amount of bread left over is huge. This bread could be collected by the council, frozen and used for free school breakfasts. Volunteers can only do so much. The Council needs to be the organiser and project manage these schemes to make them efficient. Food waste is a huge issue in Cardiff. The Council should do more education, particularly for those who do not speak English.   |   | The comment is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan   |
| 127        | Sarah Jones                                  | 11         | Transport      | Strategic Policies | SP19          | Access into Cardiff from the east is inadequate, with traffic bottlenecking at the end of the A48(M) on Newport Road. This issue worsens significantly during concerts, causing severe delays—residents often avoid leaving the city on such days due to the difficulty of returning home. Cross-city car travel is also problematic, with routes like the one to Sophia Gardens forcing drivers through congested central areas like in front of Cardiff Castle. While a road through Bute Park is not supported, there is a clear need to improve traffic flow or significantly enhance public transport. A regional approach to event venues—such as building them in Newport—could help reduce pressure on Cardiff.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 128        | Campaign for Welsh Medium Secondary Schools. | 01         | Welsh Language | Strategic Policies | SP15          | The RLDP is not robust as it does not adequately address the educational infrastructure (Welsh medium schools) to support the growth in the Welsh language, a situation that will be exacerbated by the large numbers of proposed new housing in south Cardiff. Ysgol Gynradd Hamadryad serves a wide catchment area and is fully subscribed and therefore there is a need for a new Welsh medium secondary school in the south of the city and a Welsh medium primary school in Grangetown. Cardiff South is the most deprived area in Wales. Lack of local Welsh-medium secondary education contributes to educational inequality. Families from diverse backgrounds (e.g., Butetown, Grangetown) face barriers to accessing Welsh-medium education, including | The Plan should include an unambiguous reference to Cardiff Council's intention to establish a new Welsh-medium secondary school in the south of the city and a new Welsh-medium primary school in Grangetown . | This issue is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan and will require changes to the Council's current approach to the provision of Welsh Medium education. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|            |                  |            |   |                    |               | long travel distances to schools like Glantaf. The Council has a duty under the Welsh in Education Strategic Plan (WESP) to create demand for Welsh language not just meet it. Furthermore, the Council must act in line with its equalities duty and UNICEF's Child Friendly Cities initiative. A local school would reduce car travel and aligns with the 15 minute neighbourhood principle in the WESP. |  |  |
| 129        | Melissa Boothman | 01         | Air, Noise, Light Pollution and Contaminated Land | Detailed Policies  | PC1           | Sound is mentioned as important in public spaces, but no specific mention of temporary planning. The importance of sound can be devalued or ignored for long periods of time covered by temporary planning permission, with seemingly less regulation.   | To include temporary planning for initiatives which may impede the wider goal of a greener city and sustainable development. Include more about how current 'quiet areas', such as Bute Park are protected. Add more quiet areas and more about the general approach to soundscapes. | Comments noted.  |
| 129        | Melissa Boothman | 02         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure             | Strategic Policies | SP21          | No clear plan on how to protect or adapt green spaces , including how communities and groups could use green space. Should be more emphasis on transport for travel to green spaces, rather than focussing on commuting. More on how children and older people can interact with their city and green spaces.  | Greater emphasis on independent and active transport to and from green spaces.   | Comments noted.  |
| 129        | Melissa Boothman | 03         | Process   | Whole Plan         |               | There has been a low capacity for community engagement and consultation. Drop-in sessions were not convenient. The document should be broken into smaller subjects, communicated in different ways and available in hubs to increase engagement, particularly with those usually under-represented.  |  | The RLDP consultation process has been carried out in accordance with the Delivery Agreement which has been agreed with the Welsh Government. The Consultation Report sets out the extensive consultation and engagement undertaken. |
| 129        | Melissa Boothman | 04         | Process   | Whole Plan         |               | Another consultation period should be added including a more accessible format and increased capacity for community engagement.  |  | The RLDP consultation process has been carried out in accordance with the Delivery Agreement which has been agreed with the Welsh Government. The Consultation Report sets out the extensive consultation and engagement undertaken. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic                           | Plan Section      | Policy Number   | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| 129        | Melissa Boothman   | 05         | Whole Plan                      | Whole Plan        |                 | The energy crisis and climate crisis mean sustainable development goals need to be delivered within the RLDP period. Economic growth and sustainable development are not compatible and continued search for growth will lead to resource collapse.  |  | Comment noted.   |
| 129        | Melissa Boothman   | 06         | Whole Plan                      | Whole Plan        |                 | The Plan is not radical enough. The sustainable development goals are positive, but the plan's actions are about delivering the status quo and 'economic growth'. Headline statements are untrue and an attempt to manipulate the public into supporting political or private sector demands.  |  | Comment noted.   |
| 130        | Andrew Bodgin      | 01         | Whole Plan                      | Whole Plan        |                 | Would like to see fewer ugly high rise buildings. Want more emphasis on protecting green spaces in Cardiff - parks are being used for profit rather than for the well being of citizens. New buildings should have long term appeal. More education should be rolled out regarding cycling infrastructure, the 15 minute city should be introduced in certain areas of the city - especially in new build areas. |  | Comments noted.  |
| 131        | Cardiff Baseliners | 01         | Community Facilities and Safety | Detailed Policies | C2              | Reference to sports clubhouse should be included in the policy. Criterion (i) is supported, but the term "within the vicinity" is vague. It's suggested that "within the same ward" be added to help define the geographical limit, while still allowing flexibility based on the type of facility. This would ensure relocated facilities continue to serve their communities effectively.                      | It is suggested that current criterion (i) text "within the vicinity" is replaced with "within the same ward". | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 131        | Cardiff Baseliners | 02         | Housing                         | Detailed Policies | H1A, H1B and H2 | Support the identification of housing allocations listed to meet the identified housing requirement set out in SP1.  |  | Support is welcomed.   |
| 131        | Cardiff Baseliners | 03         | Housing                         | Detailed Policies | H9              | Support the criteria based approach to H9, but object to paragraph 7.58 singling out policy EC5A. The reason justification paragraph should either list all relevant policies or avoiding highlighting a specific policy. If the former is chosen, then policies C2 and OS1 should be included.  |  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |



| Rep Number | Rep Name           | Rep Number | Topic                    | Plan Section             | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed                  | Council Response   |
|------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 131        | Cardiff Baseliners | 04         | Open Space               | Detailed Policies        | OS1           | The policy is supported in principle, but greater clarity is needed around which areas are protected. In urban contexts, the changing nature of land use makes it difficult to map all open spaces. A key issue is that the Open Space Assessment, which would help define what is covered by policy OS1, isn't listed as part of the Evidence Base and isn't publicly accessible. To improve transparency and usability, it's recommended that the Local Development Plan include the Open Space Assessment in its Evidence Base, allowing users to clearly identify the areas protected by the policy.  |  | Support noted. The Open Space Assessment is incorporated in the Green Infrastructure Assessment which forms part of the evidence base for the plan. This assessment sets out on a map all the green and blue infrastructure across the city which is protected by the relevant policies in the plan.                               |
| 132        | Cllr Helen Gunter  | 01         | Housing                  | Miscellaneous            |               | Suggest a new policy to prevent an over concentration of Homelessness Hostels, particularly those catering for individuals with complex needs within an area, due to the impact this can have on the character of the area and a detriment to the general health and well being of the local community and on businesses. To successfully deliver the objectives and vision of the Plan consideration should be given to the creation of a policy similar in focus to the HMO policy.   | New policy   | Comments noted. The Plan policies, supporting text and documentation set out how the Council proposes to manage the delivery of new development within the city. This approach shows how development can be managed and impacts mitigated including factors relating to the impacts on residents and businesses in the local area. |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey      | 01         | Alternative Housing Site | Alternative Housing Site |               | To provide a range of greenfield sites the site known as Candidate Site 20 'Land off Brummell Drive' represents a logical extension to the existing settlement of Creigiau. As demonstrated within the accompanying Landscape Assessment the site is naturally well-contained by existing vegetation and benefits from excellent connections to a range of existing public transport networks along with active travel routes. The characteristics fully accord with PPW12 and would facilitate the delivery of much need affordable and full market housing in a highly sustainable location without relying on significant infrastructure improvements. The latter is particularly important to provide sufficient certainty that residential dwellings are able to be delivered in a timely matter in order to for a consistent supply of to be delivered in a timely matter and ensure the plan effectively responds to local need, and thereby soundly prepared. | Allocate Candidate Site 20 Brummell Drive in the plan. | The representation supports the inclusion of a greenfield site not included in the Deposit RLDP. Having considered the policy context, Plan strategy and other relevant material factors, it is concluded there is no demonstrable need to include the site in the Plan.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|---------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 02         | Affordable Housing                                  | Detailed Policies | H3            | TW acknowledge Cardiff's affordable housing requirement and are more than willing to collaboratively work with the Council to help meet this need. TW support the maintained approach to affordable housing thresholds/targets and consider that if it as any higher then development would require financial assistance from Welsh Government for it to be deliverable.   |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 03         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure               | Detailed Policies | BG4           | TW support the principle of BG4 and agree in principle that a GI Statement will be proportionate to the scale, nature and complexity of the development proposed. TW do question if the policy is required altogether as it I a repetition of national planning policy.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 04         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Detailed Policies | CP3           | TW object to the inclusion of Candidate Site 20 Land at Brummell Drive within the Garth Hill and Pentrych Ridges SLA.  |                                       | The Special Landscape Area (SLA) has been defined in accordance with the approved methodology (NRW LANDMAP Guidance Note 1: Landscape Areas (NRW 2017) see paragraph 7.329 of the Deposit RLDP). The Review of SLAs provides the details of the methodology used and justification for SLAs. The SLA boundary is consistent with the Review of Settlement Boundaries and the Green Wedge designation. |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 05         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability           | Detailed Policies | HF2           | The practical constraints of implementing this policy raise concerns as land take is not only for plots but also for surrounding land required for access and parking, among other facilities as well as solar orientation and soil quality issues. The policy should be amended to only relate to larger strategic sites where there is more scope to deliver them without impact on land available for housing and other green infrastructure. In addition on non-strategic sites the requirement for allotments would be small making them impractical as the maintenance and management of a limited number of allotments would have disproportionate operational costs. In relation to offsite contributions TW maintain a concern that |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |               |            |   |                   |               | further information is required to understand the costs and any impacts this will have.   |                                       |  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 06         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability     | Detailed Policies | HF3           | Although not a fundamental concern TW question the need for this policy as the principles are very similar to HF2 Provision of Allotments. Whilst TW understand the principle the issues of management and maintenance are again raised and how practical this would be. TW provide sufficient garden space to allow on-plot growing areas and can explore the provision of landscaping which provides fruit trees.   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 07         | Housing                                       | Detailed Policies | H2            | TW maintain that in order for the plan to effectively meet Cardiff's housing needs it needs to avoid having an over-reliance on previously developed land and incorporate a more diverse mix of sites. Given there is no explanation within the plan or Technical Housing Paper, it remains unclear whether these new Housing-led Regeneration Areas intend to account for the shortfall as a result of historic under delivery on previous site allocations and/or unmet need arising from CCC's failure to meet its housing targets over the past 10 years. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 08         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | TW has concerns in relation to the application of the policy to major developments of 100+ dwellings as this is inconsistent with paragraph 5.8.5 of Planning Policy Wales which directs such higher standards only to strategic sites. The policy should be aligned with national policy in order to ensure the delivery of sustainable homes without compromising viability or housing supply.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 09         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Detailed Policies | RE2           | TW support a prioritisation of fabric first to utilise sustainable construction techniques and local supplies through the adoption of the circular economy principles where possible. TW supports emerging policies seeking to tackle climate change with proactive sustainable measures, However, policies must be sufficiently flexible and fit for purpose and factor in the viability appraisal of proposed planning  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |               |            |   |                    |               | policies and to allow developers to utilise the most appropriate technology available at that time, whilst national policy is finalised. In the case of Policy RE2, it is noted that a detailed energy statement is required and where the assessment confirms that Net Zero cannot be achieved for financial reasons it should be demonstrated how the development is designed and constructed to accommodate adaptations in the future to achieve net zero. Furthermore, where Net zero cannot be achieved for other than financial reasons a financial contribution is sought to support local carbon reduction projects. In this case, it is questioned as to how the financial contribution will be utilised and relevant to the proposed development. TW question if the financial contribution will be used for other developer led proposals or if it will be public led development? |                                       |  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 10         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP17          | TW consider that Candidate Site 20 Land at Brummell Drive needs to be included in the settlement boundary.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 11         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Whilst TW do not necessarily oppose the Council's aspirations to pursue a 'brownfield first approach', it is imperative that the RLDP recognises that not all previously developed land is suitable for development given the potential need for extensive remediation works which can impact on the deliverability and viability of the scheme.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 12         | Housing   | Strategic Policies | SP1           | TW note that 70.8% of the housing supply proposed in the plan is already committed within the existing landbank of housing sites. TW believe this proportion is excessive and undoubtedly restricts opportunities to growth contrary to the aspirations of a National Growth Area in Future Wales. The implications of which mean there is only a need for 7,692 additional dwellings to actually be delivered over the emerging plan period. This reinforces the point that in reality the overall quantum of new homes will be significantly lower than the figure set out within the plans vision and strategic objectives. In turn this shall prevent   |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |               |            |         |                    |               | opportunities for new sites to come forward and in doing so hinder the Council's ability to account for historic unmet need . Collectively this substantiates TW's position that in order for the plan to be considered sound the Council must accommodate higher levels of growth.   |                                       | from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound.  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 13         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | In order to account for housing sites not coming forward as anticipated, the Council have incorporated a 20% non-delivery allowance. In addition an exceptional discount has been applied to the Plasdwr site to reflect the fact the site will be delivered at a lower density than originally envisaged. However there does not appear any further information on the projected shortfall, and potential requirement for a new site to be allocated. Moreover Plasdwr is not unique in this respect and the Council need to apply the same approach to all the strategic sites particularly given the need to satisfy new legislative requirements in respect of SuDS, biodiversity and green infrastructure. In the interests of soundness it is crucial that these circumstances are analysed in further detail to more accurately determine the potential impact this would have on housing numbers and where necessary need for new sites to be identified to meet the shortfall. |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored. |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 14         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The Council's windfall allowance significantly exceeds any previous completion rates and brings into question the overall capacity of Cardiff's existing urban areas to accommodate the projected level of growth. We believe the supporting evidence based is not sufficiently robust to demonstrate that the proposed windfall allowance is deliverable within the context of Cardiff and thus the plan is not considered to be appropriate   |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |               |            |         |                    |               |  |                                       | allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored.  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 15         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The plan is reliant on 3 large Strategic Sites to come forward, along with 2no. comparatively smaller non-strategic sites. According to the latest Annual Monitoring Report none of these sites have met their housing completion targets over the past five years. Furthermore, as depicted within the table below despite having been formally allocated within the adopted RLDP the overall number of completions recorded falls well below the number of homes expected to be delivered over the same period, which has resulted in significant shortfalls across the board.   |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored. |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 16         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Whilst we do not necessarily dispute that, in principle, the five proposed existing site allocations carried forward from the adopted RLDP should ordinarily be expected to come forward over the emerging plan period. In order to ensure the plan is soundly prepared the Council must produce a suitable evidence to demonstrate that each of these sites have been comprehensively reassessed to justify inclusion within the plan. To date we understand such information is yet to be formally published and the absence of which could result in the plan failing to meet the requirements of the Development Plans Manual. The latter clearly places the responsibility on the Local Planning Authority to provide sufficient certainty that these sites will deliver the requisite number of homes in the future. In the event this is not forthcoming, we maintain other sites will need to be considered and subsequently allocated |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |               |            |         |                    |               | within the RLDP to enable the plan to meet local housing need.  |                                       |  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 17         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | The Council's housing trajectory suggests that completion rates will increase steadily up to 2028/29, where they are expected to peak at 1,280 dwellings, before decreasing at a similar trajectory to account for longer lead in times to ensure sufficient infrastructure is in place prior to significant volumes of housing being delivered. Whilst TW agree with this approach it highlights the need for smaller sites to be allocated to ensure a diverse portfolio of sites not subject to significant infrastructure works |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored. |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 18         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Furthermore, after comparing the average annual delivery rates experienced over the adopted RLDP with those projected for the plan, we understand significant increases will be required on all allocated sites. Whether those levels are achievable on the five sites which have been selected remains to be seen.   |                                       | Further evidence on this matter is presented in the Housing Background Technical Paper Number 1 Housing. It is not considered appropriate to make any changes to the Plan as work carried out to date is considered to demonstrate the RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different approach. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure the RLDP is sound. The progression of the Replacement   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------|------------|---------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |               |            |         |                    |               |   |                                       | RLDP through to examination allows this issue to be more thoroughly explored.  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 19         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | TW broadly support the principle of pursuing a "brownfield first approach" but it is important to recognise that not all brownfield sites are suitable for development and over-reliance on such sites to come forward within certain timeframes could have significant delays to their deliverability which shall impact the Council's trajectory. By virtue of its nature there is a limited supply of brownfield land and it is crucial the plan is not over-reliant on the delivery of brownfield land and as such the Council acknowledges a need to identify a diverse portfolio of sites in order to ensure the plan is soundly prepared.                |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 20         | Housing | Strategic Policies | SP1           | All the greenfield sites proposed in the plan form part of the existing larger strategic allocations and therefore are similar in respect of their context. Given their nature these sites are also subject to major infrastructure improvements which inevitability impacts the financial viability of any scheme and often leads to significant delays in housing delivery, especially earlier on during the plan period. Given this it is important that the plan allocates a range of sites including small to medium greenfield plots which can help maintain a supply of housing throughout the plan period and thus account for longer lead-in times and |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is   |



| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |               |            |                |                    |               | other unforeseen issues on larger strategic sites.   |                                       | considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound.  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 21         | Housing        | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Level of growth in plan does not go far enough in recognising Cardiff's position as capital city of Wales and as a internationally competitive city. In order to support economic investment, it is crucial the plan is equally ambitious to capitalise upon opportunities as they arise and thus prevent stifling growth. |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 22         | Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP5           | TW question if policy is required as physical, community and green/blue infrastructure will be assessed on an individual basis and secured via legal agreements entered into under S106. Where specific infrastructure is required for allocated sites then this information is most relevant in that policy.              |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response  |
|------------|---------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 23         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change | Strategic Policies | SP20          | TW understand the requirement for preventing development that places additional de-carbonising burden on the city, however the criteria provided is very broad with no specific details as to how they would be achieved. It is noted that SPG will be developed to provide further interpretation of this policy. Whilst this collaborative approach is supported, without any further details then it is considered that the current policy would not be as effective and is open to interpretation through being ambiguous. TW raise concerns as to whether this policy requirement is supported by a relevant evidence base and appropriate viability assumptions to ensure it is justified and meets the test of soundness. Furthermore, TW highlight how applying SP20 to all housing allocations is inconsistent with paragraph 5.8.5 of Planning Policy Wales, which directs such higher standards only to strategic sites. A more targeted and consistent approach, aligned with national policy, would ensure the delivery of sustainable homes without compromising viability or housing supply. |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 24         | Planning Obligations                          | Strategic Policies | SP6           | TW do not consider that this policy is required as this dealt with under separate legislative and policy frameworks and does not add anything further.  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.  |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 25         | Transport                                     | Strategic Policies | SP18          | TW supports in principle the objectives associated with Policy SP18. However, TW do note that Policy SP18 requires a target for 75% of all journeys to made by sustainable transport modes by 2030, with this being an increase from the adopted RLDP Policy KP8 which required 50%. TW questions the if the previous 50% target has been met and if the 75% requirement will have any detrimental impact upon delivery of homes?   |                                       | Comments noted.   |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 26         |   | Strategic Policies | SP2           | Having reviewed the evidence base we understand the growth figures are underpinned by a number of different factors which are often subject to both short-term and long-term fluctuations and therefore skew trends. The need for a step change in housing delivery is fully supported by the findings of the 8th AMR   |                                       | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------|------------|-------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
|            |               |            |       |                    |               | which confirms a 39% under provision of housing during the plan period to date. Given this under provision the plan should accommodate the highest level of growth.   |                                       | full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound.   |
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey | 27         |       | Strategic Policies | SP3           | In order to build an additional margin of flexibility and enable sites to come forward to account for potential slippage elsewhere a 15% flexibility allowance is required to reflect the city's growth aspirations. This would result in an additional 1,200 dwellings under Option B (equating to housing requirement of 27,600 dwellings), or an additional 1,525 dwellings under Option C (equating to a housing requirement of 35,075 dwellings). These levels of growth better align with the aspirations for the Cardiff Capital Region whilst also accounting for the fact the plan intends to prioritise brownfield development and existing strategic allocations with possible deliverability and viability issues. The need for a higher flexibility allowance is also compounded by the 8th AMR findings which show a significant under provision of housing during the plan period. |                                       | There is no set flexibility figure required for housing supply within development plans. However, the current adopted RLDP includes a flexibility allowance of 10% which was supported by the Inspectors at the examination of the RLDP and is considered appropriate for city . It is good practice to allow for unknowns and the need for flexibility within a development plan is inherent from Welsh Government guidance. In Cardiff's case provision has been made to deliver an additional 10% flexibility allowance which is considered appropriate to enable to plan to cope with uncertainties that may arise over the plan period. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section                | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 133        | Taylor Wimpey               | 28         | Objectives     | Vision, Aims and Objectives |               | In principle TW support Objective 2 to facilitate jobs and maximise Cardiff 'role as the capital city of Wales and economic driver of South East Wales to improve the prosperity of the region but believe it would be prudent for the Council to accommodate the highest level of growth possible in order to maximise opportunities for inward investment and in doing so enhance the economy. |  | Evidence contained within the Deposit LDP and supporting documentation demonstrates the need to provide the level of new homes and jobs set out in the LDP which is consistent with the overall Plan Strategy and takes full account of cross-boundary considerations. The LDP shows how this need can be met including the allocation of a range and choice of sites with over 50% of dwelling provision over the plan period being met from brownfield land. It is considered that the LDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for a different level of growth, a different approach or alternative sites to deliver the evidenced need. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the LDP is sound. |
| 134        | Welsh Language Commissioner | 01         | Welsh Language | Detailed Policies           | C4            | Agree that education and training are the primary means of creating Welsh speakers but want to better understand how Cardiff will pursue its ambition of developing new Welsh medium schools and where they will be located.   | It would be useful if the RLDP analysed by Ward where the greatest need for Welsh language education in the county is and compare against where new school development is envisaged over the plan period and follow up with SPG. | Comment noted.   |
| 134        | Welsh Language Commissioner | 02         | Welsh Language | Detailed Policies           | WL1           | Welcome the requirement on developers of major developments to identify the positive measures that improve the interests of the Welsh language, increase speaking opportunities and introduce mitigation measures where adverse effects on the Welsh language arise.   | The Guide to Producing Bilingual signs could be shared with developers.  | Comments noted.  |
| 134        | Welsh Language Commissioner | 03         | Welsh Language | Detailed Policies           | WL1           | Welcome that Welsh place names are expected by default on development proposals.   | Reference to Welsh Language Commissioners list of standard place names would be useful.  | This minor change to the supporting text is not necessary to make the plan sound but is supported and included in the Schedule of Minor Changes.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|--|---|--|
| 134        | Welsh Language Commissioner | 04         | Welsh Language | Strategic Policies | SP15          | Pleased with significant efforts to lay the basis of relevant and sound evidence regarding the Welsh Language in Cardiff and agree with the assessment in Background Technical Paper 9 that the RLDP should not be a barrier to the growth of Welsh language but part of the solution for it to flourish.  |   | Comments noted.  |
| 134        | Welsh Language Commissioner | 05         | Welsh Language | Strategic Policies | SP15          | The RLDP is a good example of how an LPA should consider the strategic direction of the Welsh Language.  | Would like to discuss the process used to ensure the Welsh language is a central consideration for the RLDP and the challenges experienced and the scope of the CCA and the relevance of the work to the Welsh language.                    | Comments noted. The Welsh Language Impact Assessment and Background Technical Paper 9 Welsh Language set out the process the Council followed to ensure that Welsh Language was a central consideration for the RLDP.  |
| 134        | Welsh Language Commissioner | 06         | Welsh Language | Strategic Policies | SP15          | Welcome RLDP refers to official status of the Welsh language, however reference to ensuring the Welsh language is not treated less favourably than English is vague.   | The RLDP should be more prescriptive and describe situations where the principle should apply e.g. in the provision of education or on the visibility of the Welsh language on signs. The Commissioner's Codes of Practice will be helpful. | Comments noted.  |
| 135        | Mrs Katy Lewis - Pluss CIC  | 01         | Housing        | Detailed Policies  | H1A           | Object to proposals that involve large scale housing development in Creigiau and the surrounding area fundamentally altering the character and turning are into urban extensions of Cardiff without sufficient consideration for sustainability, infrastructure or local socio economic dynamics. Fail to meet the tests of soundness C2, CE2 and CE4. Need to reassess the allocation and ensure development is proportionate to the size and capacity of the village, introduce stricter design and environmental controls to prevent poorly planned, low cost estates that harm local character and property values, conduct a full independent environmental and socio economic impact assessment, ensure housing projects include significant investment in infrastructure. |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                              | Rep Number | Topic                                 | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 136        | Scott Harries C & P Harris Properties | 01         | Housing                               | Detailed Policies  | H4            | Need to avoid setting minimum space standards for conversions 40 sqms whereas a high quality 30 sqm apartment allows more flats to be provided. Should enforce good design and restoration of building frontages  |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 136        | Scott Harries C & P Harris Properties | 02         | Housing                               | Detailed Policies  | H5            | Should prevent any more HMOS in areas where the number is above 10%   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 136        | Scott Harries C & P Harris Properties | 03         | Miscellaneous                         | Miscellaneous      |               | Should work with the Government to move the prison out of the city to allow for housing development.  |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 136        | Scott Harries C & P Harris Properties | 04         | Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure | Strategic Policies | SP21          | Council should be more proactive for example Companies House could form part of a Green Corridor from Bute Park to Roath Park   |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 136        | Scott Harries C & P Harris Properties | 05         | Design                                | Strategic Policies | SP4           | Should allow higher density development in return for good design. Should push for an intensification of housing in the city centre which will lead to an economic boost.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 136        | Scott Harries C & P Harris Properties | 06         | Housing                               | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Support the focus on brownfield development.  |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 137        | Emelie Metcalfe                       | 01         | Affordable Housing                    | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Para 7.21 Grave concerns regarding the potential for developer abuse within this policy. On site affordable housing is demonstrably inadequate and requires stronger enforcement. To achieve genuine social cohesion and build an equitable city must mandate and rigorously enforce the integration of affordable housing within all developments. Issue with build to rent developers paying off the council rather than providing affordable rentals within their developments. Seems this will be allowed in co-living schemes too. |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name             | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response     |
|------------|----------------------|------------|---|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 137        | Emelie Metcalfe      | 02         | Housing   | Detailed Policies  | H7            | Para 7.50 Expanding housing availability is critical, and this expansion must prioritise opportunities for individual homeownership to bolster supply and moderate price inflation. A housing market dominated by large corporate entities undermines social equity and access to homeownership.  |                                       | Comment noted.       |
| 138        | Tigerbay/Wasem Said  | 01         | Infrastructure                                      | Strategic Policies | SP5           | Social value should be transparent in every aspect of the project in order for the community to understand what will be beneficial for the long term.   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 139        | Anas Alansi          | 01         | Miscellaneous                                       | Miscellaneous      |               | Plan fails to mention any benefits for Butetown. There should be opportunities for apprenticeships.   |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 140        | Mrs Marian Roberts   | 01         | Welsh Language                                      | Detailed Policies  | C4            | Support the new emphasis on Welsh language in education provision.  |                                       | Comment noted.       |
| 140        | Mrs Marian Roberts   | 02         | Welsh Language                                      | Detailed Policies  | WL1           | Support policy which recognises that the Welsh Language is a factor in Planning Applications.   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |
| 141        | Mr Christopher Engel | 01         | Miscellaneous                                       | Miscellaneous      |               | The old tax office has recently been demolished but this site doesn't seem to have any planning approvals . This would be ideal for additional housing, employment or mixed use rather than some of the allocations that impact on special landscape areas, such as SP1 - Pentrebane  |                                       | Comment noted.       |
| 142        | Mr Stephen Finch     | 01         | Net Zero, Renewable Energy and Climate Change       | Detailed Policies  | RE2           | The developments are still being built with traditional gas boiler heating. It appears it is being left to developers when to introduce heat pumps, solar panels or other energy saving measures. Without legislation or directive given the pressure on building targets and the need to sell at affordable prices this is likely to be an opportunity missed. |                                       | Comments noted.      |
| 142        | Mr Stephen Finch     | 02         | Countryside, Landscape Protection and River Valleys | Strategic Policies | SP16          | Support the Green Wedge   |                                       | Support is welcomed. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                         | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section       | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| 142        | Mr Stephen Finch                 | 03         | Housing            | Strategic Policies | SP1           | Support the 50/50 brownfield/greenfield split of housing development with the no need to identify any further greenfield sites. Lisvane has already contributed an excessive area of land via the sites already allocated with minimal regard for lack of transport and infrastructure.   |                                       | Support is welcomed.   |
| 142        | Mr Stephen Finch                 | 04         | Infrastructure     | Strategic Policies | SP5           | The plan identifies the increasing age structure of the Cardiff population (by 2037 the 65-84 group will increase by 42%) and number over 85 will have doubled. There is little in the plan to address the needs for new health, education and social care facilities in the new developments. (Pontprennau still has only one medical centre with 4 GPs and one chemist. The school arrived in 2015, 19 years after Pontprennau was created). From responses from Cardiff Council so far the onus will be on developers to provide the infrastructure and facilities for the new residents and we know only too well from recent experiences how they will make every effort to avoid, delay or minimise their contribution. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 142        | Mr Stephen Finch                 | 05         | Transport          | Strategic Policies | SP18          | The 75% sustainable transport travel target for areas such as Lisvane by 2030 and 15 minute neighbourhoods seems fanciful.  |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 142        | Mr Stephen Finch                 | 06         | Whole Plan         | Whole Plan         |               | It is right that every Council should work to an RLDP - it provides protection against developers building piecemeal, gives residents insight into the future direction and should ensure that that local needs are being addressed. Concern that despite all the work and research that has gone into producing this masterplan it may turn out to be over ambitious and unachievable - Only time will tell. Plan has 100 policies - 51 enhanced, 24 the same and 25 new. Lots of targets and aspirations but very little on how they will be implemented or paid for example the target is to build 1760 homes per annum (26,400 in total of which 5-6000 affordable) and create 32,000 new jobs.                           |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies  | H3            | Change the wording from ' <i>Affordable housing will be sought to be delivered on-site unless there are exceptional circumstances</i> ' to Affordable housing must be   |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change |



| Rep Number | Rep Name                         | Rep Number | Topic                           | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response  |
|------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|--|---|
|            |                                  |            |                                 |                   |               | required on-site unless there are exceptional circumstances.  |  | is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound.   |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 02         | Affordable Housing              | Detailed Policies | H3            | The proposed 20% affordable housing requirement for Brownfield sites is insufficient, particularly in high-density urban areas like Grangetown. To address the critical need for family housing, the Council should mandate a minimum of 30% affordable housing on all sites, regardless of whether they are Brownfield or Greenfield. Grangetown is home to numerous families struggling to find suitable and affordable accommodation. The need for family-sized housing units is particularly significant here. Prioritising families in these developments will ensure the housing stock benefits those most in need. |  | The targets are derived from the findings of a housing viability study carried out by Avison Young which assessed the viability of a range of housing scenarios for different development types with varying levels of affordable housing. In order to demonstrate viability and take account of the higher costs associated with the development of brownfield sites the study recommended a two tiered affordable housing target and confirms that affordable housing is viable at 30% on greenfield sites and 20% on brownfield sites. |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 03         | Community Facilities and Safety | Detailed Policies | C1            | Suggest new criterion   | The facility is co-developed through meaningful co-production with the local community it intends to serve, demonstrably meeting identified community needs, and exploring opportunities for community asset ownership or management where appropriate.' | Comments noted.   |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 04         | Community Facilities and Safety | Detailed Policies | C2            | Amend criterion iii to make the policy more inclusive and capture all young people in line with Child Friendly Cardiff  | It can be demonstrated that the existing provision is surplus to the community's needs and does not support the educational, cultural, or sporting opportunities of all children and young people.   | Comment noted.  |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 05         | Economy                         | Detailed Policies | EC1           | Concerned about gentrification and lack of consultation and exclusion with reference to the development of Central Quay as an example. Placemaking if inclusive can support appropriation and future planning must prioritise community voices and needs. Recommends enhancing community engagement beyond statutory requirements, aligning S106 funding with community needs and fostering long term partnerships and inclusive design.  |  | Comment noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                         | Rep Number | Topic                                     | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed   | Council Response   |
|------------|----------------------------------|------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 06         | Economy                                   | Detailed Policies | EC10          | Cardiff's nighttime economy should reflect the diverse demographics of its local community, not just traditional entertainment venues. The current policy risks overlooking the needs and preferences of a diverse range of residents. To revitalise the evening economy must move beyond a narrow definition of leisure and entertainment and so the policy should encourage a broader spectrum of uses, including but not limited to late night cafes, community spaces, sports, games and play spaces and art and cultural centres |   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 07         | Economy                                   | Detailed Policies | EC6           | Appears overly restrictive a more flexible approach to repurposing office spaces is needed to adapt to evolving economic and social landscapes which could involve repurposing old offices into creative workspaces, community spaces or affordable housing units with ground floor commercial spaces that cater to local needs.  | Change wording from 'The alternative use of offices within the Central and Bay Business Areas will only be permitted where:' to 'The alternative use of offices within the Central and Bay Business Areas will be permitted where:'.  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 08         | Economy                                   | Detailed Policies | EC8           | Amend policy  | Adding a new policy line criterion of 'proposals actively enhance local employment opportunities, either through the creation of new jobs within the development itself, or through contributions to local employment and training initiatives.'  | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 09         | Health, wellbeing and Food Sustainability | Detailed Policies | HF1           | Add criterion   | are designed to be child-friendly, promoting healthy development and supporting children and young people.'   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 10         | Heritage and the Historic Environment     | Detailed Policies | HE1           | Suggest policy amendment  | <i>Change wording from 'Development that impacts a heritage asset will be expected to preserve or enhance its significance and/or setting, and contribute positively to its character or appearance.'</i> to <i>'Development that has an impact on a heritage asset will be required to preserve or</i> | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                         | Rep Number | Topic      | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
|            |                                  |            |            |                   |               |   | <i>enhance its significance and/or setting, and make a positive contribution to its character or appearance.'</i>  |  |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 11         | Housing    | Detailed Policies | H2            | Should define the mixed uses. Too often housing led regeneration is treated as primarily about delivering housing numbers when they should be expected to deliver community infrastructure, employment space, green areas, and social facilities alongside residential development.   |  | Comments noted.  |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 12         | Housing    | Detailed Policies | H6            | Restricting student accommodation proposals solely to the Central and Bay Business Area unfairly concentrates development within specific neighbourhoods, particularly Butetown. This policy risks fundamentally altering the character of Butetown, potentially leading to the displacement of long-term residents and a significant rise in local house prices. Such a concentrated approach fails to distribute the impact of student housing development equitably across the city. Student housing needs to be integrated into existing communities, not isolated. | Change wording from ' <i>Proposals for student accommodation will only be permitted when</i> ' to ' <i>Proposals for student accommodation will be permitted when.</i> '   | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 13         | Open Space | Detailed Policies | OS2           | Amend wording   | Provision for open space, outdoor recreation, sport and opportunities for children's play and sport will be sought in conjunction with all new residential developments over five units.' to Provision for open space, outdoor recreation, sport and opportunities for children's play and sport will be required in conjunction with all new residential developments over five units.' This strengthens the language to ensure the needs of all young people and children are met in line with the policies of Child Friendly Cardiff, and makes | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                         | Rep Number | Topic                      | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed  | Council Response   |
|------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
|            |                                  |            |                            |                   |               |   | the city a great place for children and young people to grow up.   |  |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 14         | Retail and Evening Economy | Detailed Policies | R4            | Adding criterion on 'Proposals that demonstrate a commitment to supporting locally owned and independent businesses will be favourably considered.'   |  | The comment is noted but is beyond the scope of the Plan |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 15         | Retail and Evening Economy | Detailed Policies | R5            | Tudor Street has been associated with late-night fast food outlets catering to nightlife from the city centre rather than providing services, spaces, or facilities that support everyday life for residents. There is a distinct lack of community infrastructure, culturally relevant retail, or inclusive services that represent and celebrate the area's multicultural communities. This designation as a "local centre" should come with a responsibility to enhance what is offered here to meet better the needs of local people, including spaces for community gathering, culturally sensitive services, and affordable units for local entrepreneurs. Without this, the designation risks being superficial and disconnected from the lived experiences of Riverside and Grangetown residents. |  | Comments noted.  |
| 143        | Grange Pavillion Youth Forum CIC | 16         | Tall Buildings             | Detailed Policies | D1            | Adding criterion on 'taking into account the potential negative impacts on the mental and physical wellbeing of the local community and residents.' Does the "Mix of use" have an element of public or community space? Include a height/ floor limit not to build any higher than what we have—consider placemaking guidance on height. Add in consideration for wind and biodiversity.  | change the wording from 'Tall buildings will be encouraged in highly accessible locations and in areas where the scale and visibility of a development can be accommodated without adversely compromising an established historic or neighbourhood character' to 'Tall buildings will be considered in highly accessible locations and areas where the scale and visibility of a development can be accommodated without adversely compromising an established historic or neighbourhood character.' | Comment noted.   |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic                          | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 144        | Splott Community Volunteers | 01         | Gypsy and Travellers           | Detailed Policies | H11           | Consideration has been given to the Gypsy & Traveller community and those visiting the area, but none appears to have been given to the community already existing in the Tremorfa area of the proposed new site. Whilst acknowledging the need for the site to be moved from its present location on Rover Way, I feel that no thought has been given to the impact on the community with the influx of 80 families with their caravans, lorries, cars, horses and buggies. There is a huge green space to the north of Cardiff where the Gypsy site could be situated without impinging on existing communities. Splott and Tremorfa are already having to cope not only with heavy dirty industrial practices, but also disruption in an ageing population base, stress on land, income generation and services, all in a rising population                            |                                       | Comment noted.   |
| 144        | Splott Community Volunteers | 02         | Retail and the Evening Economy | Detailed Policies | R5            | Splott Road has been highlighted as a protected shopping Area but no plans are in place to protect the type of shop which could be opened. Currently a surfeit of vape shops, barber shops, nail bars and shell shops( used for other purposes and never open to the public) but a distinct lack of local greengrocers, fishmongers, butchers, local artisans, etc, much needed since the demise of Splott Market, which would enhance local economy. I understand the great need for accommodation in Cardiff, retention of residential accommodation at upper floors of retail units shows no thought for residents. Do they really want constant noise and footfall in the building which is, after all, essentially their home? It prevents a wider scope of use for the retail units, evident in more affluent areas, such a wine bars, restaurants and music venues |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 144        | Splott Community Volunteers | 03         | Miscellaneous                  | Miscellaneous     |               | Planning shapes the thinking and somewhat controls the where, scale and speed but has no substantial and direct power to take the lead and deliver the actions on the ground. Many of the specific elements are delivered by other departments.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                    | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response   |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| 145        | Mr David Jones              | 01         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H5            | 10% is quite a large number for an already crowded area and will bring an already struggling area down. Houses are sliced up into little rooms, houses aren't cared for and rubbish spills out onto the street. Its almost impossible for the houses to be returned to their original purpose and young families move out of the area .  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 145        | Mr David Jones              | 02         | Miscellaneous      | Miscellaneous     |               | Consider there is no real consideration for local residents - appears that there are future proposals in the pipeline for Splott including demolition of buildings for more social housing, which will bring more problems to the community, already have the incinerator on top of the steel works and now there is to be a massive 1000MW battery energy storage system and data centre with its thermal runaway risk, the link road has never been completed which casing more pollution and resident safety problems. Previous vague proposals for woods north of Rover Way appears to be a very large travellers site.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 146        | Rightacres Property Company | 01         | Affordable Housing | Detailed Policies | H3            | The draft policy does not allow for viability testing to establish the maximum reasonable provision of affordable housing. Consider that the obligations placed on a development should ensure that it contributes appropriately to affordable housing and other related benefits however in many areas as the Council's own Viability Assessments demonstrates, it is not viable to deliver a policy compliant level of affordable housing. As worded in the policy this could mean a scheme that makes a valuable contribution to housing supply, but a level of affordable housing below the policy would be considered contrary to the plan. the lack of flexibility to allow commercial realities to be considered in decision making would likely have an impact on investment and development in the City through the Plan period. Policy needs to acknowledge that a properly evidenced viability case can allow for a reduced level of affordable housing. including payments in lieu a be policy compliant |                                       | It is considered that the Deposit RLDP meets the tests of soundness without the need for changes relating to this matter. Therefore, the proposed change is not considered necessary to ensure that the RLDP is sound. |

| Rep Number | Rep Name     | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 147        | Network Rail | 01         | Economy            | Detailed Policies | EC1           | Generally supportive particularly as it promotes high density residential development alongside mixed uses. Do not share Welsh Governments view regarding the sites commercial focus consider a mixed use scheme more appropriate.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 147        | Network Rail | 02         | Economy            | Detailed Policies | EC2           | Refers to potential for a new station south of St Mellons Business Park (Cardiff Parkway). Use of rail should be promoted through the RLDP as a means of connection between Cardiff and the airport. In addition to passenger links can also be used for freight.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 147        | Network Rail | 03         | Transport          | Detailed Policies | T5            | Encouraged to see the high value attached to rail as part of the LDP Strategy however recommend that the coverage of sustainable goods distribution and freight network aspects at a regional and local level are given greater attention   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 147        | Network Rail | 04         | Minerals and Waste | Detailed Policies | MW1           | Would encourage rail access to quarries to be promoted as part of the LDP.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 148        | Ali Abdi     | 01         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.7 There is no assessment of how the existing community of Butetown will cope with the negative impact of development such as strain on resources, potential social tensions, increased crime and impact on the physical environment.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 149        | Gabby Bowen  | 01         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.7 Exclusionary displacement where housing choices for low-income residents are being limited by the density of private unaffordable housing developments within the Butetown community, contributing to additional pressures on existing services and infrastructure that support low-income families affecting community cohesion and safety as residents compete for access to recreational, educational, housing and medical resources. Historically private developments across Butetown have not contributed adequate section 106 contributions toward local infrastructure, adding to the widening gap of economic inequality across Cardiff's Southern Arc. |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                     | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 150        | Sarah Bowen                  | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.7 The proposed density of planned residential, business, hotel, retail, food and drink and leisure is an expansion of the city centre and night time economy into Butetown where young people are already more vulnerable to educational displacement, criminal exploitation, serious youth violence, adverse policing, health and social inequality. There is no detail of how community safety will be impacted by the commercialisation of North Butetown or consideration as to how young people growing up in Butetown will be exposed to higher levels of exploitation as a result of the growth of the night time economy in the community. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 151        | Seren Elaine Antoniette Aske | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.7 Cultural Displacement of Butetown, Wales oldest multicultural community. loss of cultural heritage and community ties as residents are forced to relocate due to rising housing costs, competition for school places, acquisition of community assets for redevelopment, lack of affordable business real estate and other development-related changes resulting in low-income residents being economically, culturally and educationally displaced.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 152        | Catrin Jones                 | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.5 Consider development would have an impact on this well used open space used for walking.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 153        | Joe O'Brien                  | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4 Do not have the infrastructure/facilities/amenities/transport/roads etc to support yet more housing in this area.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 153        | Joe O'Brien                  | 02         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.5 Do not have the infrastructure/facilities/amenities/transport/roads etc to support yet more housing in this area.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 155        | Christopher Williams         | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4 The existing infrastructure and planned improvements does not support the increase in population in the area.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name   | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 156        | Greg Clark | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4 Object to the proposal to replace forests, open fields, and green space with residential-led development, would result in the irreversible loss of vital natural landscapes, harming biodiversity, increasing carbon emissions, and reducing much-needed green space for local communities. Their destruction contradicts Welsh Government policies on sustainability, climate resilience, and nature recovery, particularly the commitments in *Future Wales: The National Plan 2040* and the *Well-being of Future Generations Act*. Furthermore, the loss of these spaces would have a detrimental impact on residents' health and well-being, depriving them of essential recreational areas. Alternative, more sustainable development locations should be prioritized, avoiding unnecessary destruction of green infrastructure. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 156        | Greg Clark | 02         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.5 It's outrageous to consider development on such beautiful rural land with so much brownfield, empty business parks and unused commercial/industrial land nearby.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 156        | Greg Clark | 03         |         | Detailed Policies |               | SH1.2 Object to the proposal to replace forests, open fields, and green space with residential-led development, would result in the irreversible loss of vital natural landscapes, harming biodiversity, increasing carbon emissions, and reducing much-needed green space for local communities. Their destruction contradicts Welsh Government policies on sustainability, climate resilience, and nature recovery, particularly the commitments in *Future Wales: The National Plan 2040* and the *Well-being of Future Generations Act*. Furthermore, the loss of these spaces would have a detrimental impact on residents' health and well-being, depriving them of essential recreational areas. Alternative, more sustainable development locations should be prioritized, avoiding unnecessary destruction of green infrastructure. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 156        | Greg Clark | 04         |         | Detailed Policies |               | SH1.1 It's outrageous to consider development on such beautiful rural land with so much brownfield, empty business parks and unused commercial/industrial land nearby.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name   | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 156        | Greg Clark | 05         |                    | Detailed Policies |               | SH1.3 Object to the proposal to replace forests, open fields, and green space with residential-led development, would result in the irreversible loss of vital natural landscapes, harming biodiversity, increasing carbon emissions, and reducing much-needed green space for local communities. Their destruction contradicts Welsh Government policies on sustainability, climate resilience, and nature recovery, particularly the commitments in *Future Wales: The National Plan 2040* and the *Well-being of Future Generations Act*. Furthermore, the loss of these spaces would have a detrimental impact on residents' health and well-being, depriving them of essential recreational areas. Alternative, more sustainable development locations should be prioritized, avoiding unnecessary destruction of green infrastructure. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 156        | Greg Clark | 06         |                    | Detailed Policies |               | SH1.6 It's outrageous to consider development on such beautiful rural land with so much brownfield, empty business parks and unused commercial/industrial land nearby.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 157        | Keri James | 01         | Minerals and Waste | Detailed Policies | MW1           | Ton Mawr Quarry Local houses in Pentyrch are already experiencing structural damage eg cracking from the blasting at Creigiau quarry. The extension of Ton Mawr would bring further damage risk from a different direction and potentially double the risk of damage. This land is a wildlife haven and has a number of rights of way that are enjoyed by many thus providing significant wellbeing benefits.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 157        | Keri James | 02         | Housing            |                   | H1A           | SH1.4 The existing new developments along Llantrisant Rd are not sustainable with an already significant increase in traffic through local villages Creigiau and Pentyrch. Local infrastructure will not be able to cope with further increase and its Green Field   |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name               | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 157        | Keri James             | 03         | Housing |                   |               | SH1.5 The existing new developments along Llantrisant Rd are not sustainable with an already significant increase in traffic through local villages Creigiau and Pentyrch .. local infrastructure will not be able to cope with further increase. There has been too much new development along Llantrisant Rd already. I live in Pentyrch and the significant increase in traffic cutting through the village is not acceptable and further housing would make it unsustainable |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 158        | Matthew Smith          | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4 Over developed with poor local infrastructure plus damage to wildlife and other environmental damage   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 158        | Matthew Smith          | 02         |         | Detailed Policies |               | SH1.5 Over developed with poor local infrastructure plus damage to wildlife and other environmental damage   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 159        | Michael Sherwood       | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4 The Current Cardiff LDP is taking much longer to build out than originally told. National government figures do not support your growth projections. Why then are you proposing to consider building on more greenfield land?You have already created transport chaos in the North West of Cardiff, this will only add to it and you seem to have no plan to alleviate the congestion!   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 159        | Michael Sherwood       | 02         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.5 The Current Cardiff LDP is taking much longer to build out than originally told. National government figures do not support your growth projections. Why then are you proposing to consider building on more greenfield land?You have already created transport chaos in the North West of Cardiff, this will only add to it and you seem to have no plan to alleviate the congestion!   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 159        | Michael Sherwood       | 03         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.6 The Current Cardiff LDP is taking much longer to build out than originally told. National government figures do not support your growth projections. Why then are you proposing to consider building on more greenfield land?You have already created transport chaos in the North West of Cardiff, this will only add to it and you seem to have no plan to alleviate the congestion!   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 160        | Councillor Neil McEvoy | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | Oppose all greenfield site development whilst so many brown field sites could be developed.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name                      | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 160        | Councillor Neil McEvoy        | 02         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H2            | Oppose all greenfield site development whilst so many brown field sites could be developed.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 160        | Councillor Neil McEvoy        | 03         | Minerals and Waste | Detailed Policies | MW1           | Oppose all greenfield site development whilst so many brown field sites could be developed.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 160        | Councillor Neil McEvoy        | 04         | Whole Plan         | Whole Plan        |               | I oppose all greenfield site development whilst so many brown field sites could be developed<br>Please apply this generically to each site with green field development.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 161        | James                         | 01         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.2 If done considerately, this could be a worthwhile project to benefit the area.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 162        | Andrew Bryant                 | 01         | Minerals and Waste | Detailed Policies | MW1           | I do not think extension of the quarry to the proposed area of land is appropriate and will have a detrimental effect on the flora and fauna in this secluded area of Cardiff.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 163        | Gwent Wildlife Trust/A Karran | 01         | Economy            | Detailed Policies | EC2           | It is understood that this site has Outline Planning Permission., however we still maintain our strong objection to this site as it falls entirely within a protected site, namely the "Gwent Levels – Rumney and Peterstone SSSI". We have considerable concern relating to the Cumulative Impacts on the suite of SSSI across the Gwent Levels from extant development (considerable historical loss of SSSI), and a number of other proposed developments, that include this site, as well as a number of large Solar Proposals at various stages in the Planning Process. We would strongly suggest that this site doesn't progress any further, should it do so we would reserve the right to object at further planning stages. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 164        | Chris Jones                   | 01         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.3 Direct access to the M4 at Junction 33 needs to be provided for residents on Llantrisant Road before this development can be considered. Llantrisant Road and surrounding areas (Radyr, Llandaff, etc) are already very congested at peak hours and there is a lack of public services and public transport. Providing direct access to the M4 at J33 for Llantrisant Road residents would help alleviate the congestion in the surrounding areas by providing easy access to the M4. Additional & convenient public transport also needs to be provided both to Talbot Green and into the centre of Cardiff before this development can be considered.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name       | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|----------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 165        | Caroline Lloyd | 01         | Economy | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.3 This should be maintained as green space.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 166        | Dan            | 01         | Housing |                   | H1A           | SH1.5 Not against responsible development, but existing issues of poor infrastructure and facilities needs to be addressed first before further housing is added.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 167        | Elaine Sobey   | 01         | Housing |                   | H2            | H2.3 This site has been left to deteriorate since 2016. It has huge potential and it's a shame to see such a resource being wasted   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 168        | Ellen Perry    | 01         | Housing |                   | H1A           | SH1.5 Cardiff residents must have green spaces to lead active healthy lives – physically and mentally. At a time when GPs are prescribing green spaces for mental health, we need a joined up plan for ensuring people can have access to them, on their doorstep and not a car journey away. Wildlife also needs green spaces in our city to thrive. Quality of life for all is just as important as building homes |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 168        | Ellen Perry    | 02         | Housing |                   | H1A           | SH1.1 Cardiff residents must have green spaces to lead active healthy lives – physically and mentally. At a time when GPs are prescribing green spaces for mental health, we need a joined up plan for ensuring people can have access to them, on their doorstep and not a car journey away. Wildlife also needs green spaces in our city to thrive. Quality of life for all is just as important as building homes |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 168        | Ellen Perry    | 03         | Housing |                   | H2            | H2.3 Cardiff residents must have green spaces to lead active healthy lives – physically and mentally. At a time when GPs are prescribing green spaces for mental health, we need a joined up plan for ensuring people can have access to them, on their doorstep and not a car journey away. Wildlife also needs green spaces in our city to thrive. Quality of life for all is just as important as building homes  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 169        | Francis Callus | 01         | Housing |                   | H1A           | SH1.2 The volume of traffic in the Cyncoed / Llanishen area has increased dramatically in the last few years. Further residential development will put greater strain on the Thornhill Road, Fidas Road and Cyncoed Road. The level of public transport for Cyncoed and Lisvane is completely inadequate and suggestions of minor improvements will not address the issue  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name        | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-----------------|------------|---------|--------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 170        | Harriet Le Gros | 01         | Housing |              | H1A           | SH1.5 This site is of huge importance to bio-diversity, Persimmon already have a large amount of land under development, and this level of housing is simply not needed. There is already houses being built all the way to the Motorway and we understand the need for this. This small strip has lots of undergrowth and supports a large range of insects, mammals and birds. The council had a stronger, fairer, greener theme, if this is more than just words this is a chance to show it. While the field may not be "pretty" and is hidden from view by the road, it is important nonetheless to our ecology and environment. I object to this being turned into houses. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 171        | Iain Claridge   | 01         | Housing |              | H2            | H2.2 Take forward in combination with Sites 78 Whitchurch Hospital and 79 Velindre Station and integrated with the development of the new Velindre Cancer Care Centre's green and blue infrastructure and community activities. Must apply the principles of SP3. Need to have well designed set of housing, green spaces and community assets from the Library and its park across the area to the new Velindre Cancer Centre and down to the canal and riverside, including allotments and other facilities for food growing in parts of Site 78 across the former grange.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 171        | Iain Claridge   | 02         | Housing |              | H2            | H2.4 I trust the development will go forward in line with those of site 76 (Velindre Cancer Centre) and site 79 (Velindre Station) so as to produce an integrated mix of housing and community assets across the area between the New Velindre Cancer Care Centre and Whitchurch Library Park following Policy SP3. Ned to involve the Whitchurch Hospital History Society, the support group to Whitchurch Hyb/Library, the sports clubs working. It is essential to secure community access and use of the Whitchurch Hspital Theatre  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name        | Rep Number | Topic          | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 172        | Janina Kuczys   | 01         | Housing        | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.6 Whilst I approve of the idea of building more AFFORDABLE housing, the infrastructure and council services in this geographical area – e.g, schools, GP's, dentists, dealing with local waste and fly-tipping, providing adequate support services to people with addiction problems or who are homeless etc are already under pressure. There are also already other housing developments being built in Grangetown, which will add even more pressure as time goes on. Bessemer Rd and the surrounding areas are nowhere near big enough to cope with a new housing development in this area. It's already really busy, with no cycle paths in Bessemer Rd or Sloper Rd which are already dangerous to cycle through without the addition of even more traffic. Please make it into a park / playground or some kind of community facility instead. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 172        | Janina Kuczys   | 02         | Tall Buildings |                   | D1            | Object in the very strongest terms to any more high rise developments in the area, Cardiff is already being ruined by them,   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 173        | Jeanette George | 01         | Housing        |                   | H1A           | SH1.2 There has been far too much development in this area of the city already. Road access is not developing to keep pace with the volume of housing and the increased number of cars. Geography of the area limits the number of people who will be able to cycle and public transport is limited, expensive and unreliable.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 174        | Jeff Andrews    | 01         | Housing        |                   | H2            | H2.5 There is potential for some development on this site – both housing and light business – the major problem is the associated already heavily over loaded road network which simply could not accommodate a large number of houses.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 175        | Karen           | 01         | Housing        |                   | H1A           | SH1.5 This site needs shops play and a play area , more housing can wait  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 176        | Kathy Clark     | 01         | Housing        |                   | H1A           | SH1.5 It's outrageous to consider development on such beautiful rural land with so much brownfield, empty business parks and unused commercial/industrial land nearby.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name       | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|----------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 176        | Kathy Clark    | 02         | Housing |                   | H1A           | SH1.2 Object to the proposal to replace forests, open fields, and green space with residential-led mixed-use development. This plan would result in the irreversible loss of vital natural landscapes, harming biodiversity, increasing carbon emissions, and reducing much-needed green space for local communities. Forests and open fields provide essential environmental benefits, including carbon sequestration, flood prevention, and air quality improvement. Their destruction contradicts Welsh Government policies on sustainability, climate resilience, and nature recovery, particularly the commitments in *Future Wales: The National Plan 2040* and the *Well-being of Future Generations Act*. Furthermore, the loss of these spaces would have a detrimental impact on residents' health and well-being, depriving them of essential recreational areas. Alternative, more sustainable development locations should be prioritized, avoiding unnecessary destruction of green infrastructure. I urge the local authority to reconsider this proposal and focus on development strategies that protect natural spaces while meeting housing needs in a sustainable and responsible manner. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 177        | Lynette        | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.2 Too many cars already in the village leading to air pollution, also would affect wildlife and newts in the area   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 178        | Manon Phillips | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1B           | H1.2 Question whether its possible to consider this site for a new Welsh Medium Secondary School  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 178        | Manon Phillips | 02         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.6 Question whether its possible to consider this site for a new Welsh Medium Secondary School  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 179        | Mike Wallace   | 01         | Economy | Detailed Policies | EC2           | This is an area that is already on the limit with the extent of commercial development in the St Mellons Business Park, traffic levels are extreme at peak times, despite a significant proportion of the current buildings being vacant. What safeguards are being adopted to ensure the existing buildings are occupied before new buildings are built? Building more buildings is contrary to sustainability principles, and will simply add to the existing buildings being vacated by tempting occupiers out into new buildings.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |



| Rep Number | Rep Name         | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 180        | Rebecca Jones    | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4 We need to retain some green sites and this is one of the best and only remaining in this area. A lot of people live in this area and have bought houses on the basis that it is semi rural and is surrounded by fields and green space. Infrastructure and facilities are also struggling already   |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 182        | Rhys             | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | Green fields being taken away, plenty of brownfield sites to use • Infrastructure, hospitals, GP's, shops are always last to be factored in. Services area over stretched already. Housing companies make enough money and 'caveats' of 'things will be eventually built once x number of houses or people first'. Increase in crime, police presence and drugs are not welcomed and this has already been witnessed in the area. Cardiff west is 13% above the national average for Crime. The community pay a premium to be on the outskirts of Cardiff, they don't want to be in the town. Being 'green', none of the new houses have solar panels heating pumps etc. How does this factor into sustainability? |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 183        | Richard Houdmont | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H2            | H2.2 A sensible use of the land. However, any development will need to take into account the traffic jams on Velindre Road, so Velindre Road will need widening or parking should be restricted along one side of the road. If so the new development should include parking spaces for residents of Velindre Road as well as the new development.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name      | Rep Number | Topic              | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised  | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|---------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 184        | Richard Jones | 01         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4, SH1.5 and SH1.6 Sites are unsuitable for development due to the already unprecedented levels of road traffic in the area. Existing roads are struggling to cope with current demand and are not equipped to support the significant increase in traffic that further development would bring. In addition, the green spaces under consideration play a vital role in the wellbeing of residents in Creigiau, Pentyrch, and surrounding areas, including those living in more recently developed communities. These natural areas provide recreational, environmental, and aesthetic value, contributing to the character and quality of life in these villages. Their loss would be deeply felt by local communities. I urge the Council to reconsider the inclusion of these sites and to prioritise sustainable development that genuinely reflects the infrastructure and environmental needs of our communities. |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 184        | Richard Jones | 02         | Minerals and Waste | Detailed Policies | MW1           | This land is environmentally and aesthetically important to the residents of Pentyrch, Morganstown and Gwaelod. This is not a sensible development application when there is a super-quarry next door.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 185        | Si Wool       | 01         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.3 A lot of Housing is being built to the North of Pentwyn in Pontpennau/Lisvane. There are no transport links, no new main roads, doctors surgeries, Schools, community hubs/libraries or other facilities. It is important to establish community resources first. Why isn't a metro line being built? where is the Active travel/footpath network? Developers keep taking advantage of Cardiff without investing in the community first.  |                                       | Comments noted.  |
| 185        | Si Wool       | 02         | Housing            | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.6 Can see that Cardiff Council is aiming to build more to the North and West of the city and North of the M4. This is a mistake. Look at the errors in planning at Newport. There are now significant issues as Wales Major Motorway – M4 goes through a residential area. If Cardiff Is going to build westward, planners need to ensure this remains south of the M4 to protect Areas of outstanding beauty, agricultural land and to keep residents safe from pollution.   |                                       | Comments noted.  |

| Rep Number | Rep Name          | Rep Number | Topic   | Plan Section      | Policy Number | Summary of Issues Raised   | Changes to the Plan / Policy Proposed | Council Response |
|------------|-------------------|------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 186        | Tomas Hollinshead | 01         | Housing | Detailed Policies | H1A           | SH1.4 There is simply no need to develop ever single square inch of greenfield site, this area borders the new persimmon estate and building up this land will make it feel like very other housing estate in cardiff, full of houses. There needs to be fields and biodiversity surrounding small pockets of houses to create a "garden" city the council has ambitions for. This is just simply not needed |                                       | Comments noted.  |